



2019

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE
CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT
INFORMATION SYSTEM**

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

**GAVIN C. NEWSOM
Governor**

**DAVID S. KIM, Secretary
California State Transportation Agency**

**STEVEN P. GORDON
Director**

© California Department of Motor Vehicles, 2020

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE*Form Approved*
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) June 2020		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2019 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Helen N. Tashima				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Branch P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER CAL-DMV-RSS-20-260	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES - Corresponding author: Sladjana.OuladDaoud@dmv.ca.gov					
14. ABSTRACT In this twenty-eighth annual legislatively-mandated report, 2016 and 2017 driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs (DUI) data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents cross-tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, postconviction sanctions, driver license suspension/revocation actions, and on drivers in alcohol- or drug-involved crashes. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of 27 years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 2005. An analysis was conducted to evaluate if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent DUI incidents and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The proportions of convicted first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2016, who were referred, enrolled, and completed DUI programs are also presented. Additionally, the numbers and percentages of DUI offenders who installed ignition interlock devices are presented by county and DUI offender status.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, DUI Program, license suspension/revocation, drugged driving, ignition interlock					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: Unclassified			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
A. REPORT Unclassified	B. ABSTRACT Unclassified	C. THIS PAGE Unclassified	None	173	Karin Oakley
					19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 916-657-5768

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2007- 2017

DUI measures	YEAR										
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
DUI arrest rate (per 100,000 licensed drivers)	863	906	880	823	752	712	651	619	546	491	458
Total DUI arrests ^a	203866	214811	208531	195879	180212 ^b	172893	160388	154743	141372	130054	123548
Felony DUI arrests ^a	6264	5966	5577	4902	4655	5047	4789	4835	4899	5186	4944
Misdemeanor DUI arrests ^a	197602	208845	202954	190977	175557	167846	155599	149908	136473	124868	118604
Total DUI convictions ^c	160591	169035	161074	148042	142121	133525	121304	116190	106627	98430	N/A
DUI conviction rates ^c	78.8%	78.7%	77.2%	73.1% ^d	73.3% ^d	73.7% ^d	72.5% ^d	72.7% ^d	72.6% ^d	73.6% ^d	N/A
Alcohol- or drug-involved reckless driving convictions ^c	16085	17887	19802	19552	19204	17568	16494	14563	12887	11803	N/A
Percent convicted of alcohol or drug reckless driving ^c	7.9%	8.3%	9.5%	8.1% ^d	7.9% ^d	8.1% ^d	8.1% ^d	7.3% ^d	7.0% ^d	7.1% ^d	N/A
Alcohol-involved crash fatalities ^e	1489	1355	1263	1072	1089	1169	1197	1155	1144	1223	1294
% of total crash fatalities	37.5	39.8	41.1	39.1	38.5	39.0	38.6	36.9	33.3	31.7	33.1
Alcohol-involved crash injuries ^e	30783	28463	26058	24343	23853	23905	23178	23993	25152	27394	26967
% of total crash injuries	11.5	11.8	11.2	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.4	10.4	9.9	9.8	9.7
Drug-involved crash fatalities ^f	749	726	713	696	709	818	892	864	831	733	829
% of total crash fatalities	18.9	21.3	23.2	25.4	25.0	27.3	28.7	27.6	24.2	19.0	21.2
Drug-involved crash injuries ^f	2464	2227	2309	2384	2289	2622	2489	2867	3031	3233	2982
% of total crash injuries	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1

Note: N/A indicates that this information is not available yet for 2017.

^aThese totals do not include duplicate cases as originally reported in the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center data.

^bDue to the underreporting of DUI arrest data by CHP for the month of April 2011, the total for 2011 is undercounted by approximately 6,500 DUI arrests.

^cThese figures show the total counts of convictions and conviction rates, by year of violation, as typically reported in Section 2 of this report.

^dThe 2010 and later DUI conviction rates and percent convicted of alcohol-reckless driving are derived using different data extraction procedures than those used in years prior to 2010 and are not comparable to figures in those prior years. These rates are computed only on “matchable DUI cases”, and not by using total DUI arrests divided by total DUI convictions presented in this table. See Section 2 for more details.

^eFor some fatalities and injuries in these figures, drugs were also involved. These figures were provided by CHP on August 16, 2019.

^fFor some fatalities and injuries in these figures, alcohol was also involved. These figures were provided by CHP on August 16, 2019.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2007– 2017 (CONTINUED)

DUI license actions	YEAR										
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Total mandatory suspension/ revocation (S/R) actions	362859	392319	382111	351802	337700	313870	286981	264833	255357	239491	227595
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	192213	204332	198851	183743	177231	163522	150337	139405	130468	120339	115374
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	22112	22180	20861	18684	17463	14835	11750	10213	9074	8184	7227
.08 First-offender suspensions	123594	132266	127933	117884	114858	106562	99475	93014	86933	80371	77689
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	42979	46388	46747	44101	42127	39563	35646	32823	31093	28439	27032
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426
Commercial driver actions	3862 [§]	4355 [§]	3964 [§]	3614 [§]	3108 [§]	2983 [§]	2782 [§]	2498	2322	2087	1988
Chemical test refusal actions	9581	9390	8737	8275	7520	7069	9214	9089	9257	9262	9489
.01 Test refusal suspensions	426	433	372	354	279	280	300	286	293	269	248
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5627	5459	5055	4847	4458	4227	5448	5448	5596	5648	6118
.08 Test refusal revocations	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426
POSTCONVICTION^h											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1061	917	482	538	351	312	311	253	246	234	249
First-offender suspensions	124436	136480	132709	120254	113749	107035	93897	81845	82570	78933	74400
Misdemeanor	122102	133987	130462	118168	111760	105013	91809	79955	80492	76712	72116
Felony	2334	2493	2247	2086	1989	2022	2088	1890	2078	2221	2284
Second-offender S/R actions	34296	38266	37836	35565	34519	32156	32408	32935	31587	29948	28029
Misdemeanor	33649	37568	37155	34928	33878	31533	31771	32275	30896	29183	27271
Felony	647	658	681	637	641	623	637	660	691	765	758
Third-offender revocations	8063	9164	9187	8905	8918	8083	7665	8239	8160	7699	7358
Misdemeanor	7830	8933	8945	8707	8662	7852	7446	8019	7938	7453	7111
Felony	233	231	242	198	256	231	219	220	222	246	247
Fourth-or-more-offender revocations	2790	3200	3046	2797	2932	2762	2363	2156	2326	2338	2185
Total postconviction S/R actions	170646	187987	183260	168059	160469	150348	136644	125428	124889	119152	112221

[§] Previous counts have been adjusted to include commercial driver APS actions not previously identified as such.

^hThese totals might include multiple license action activities associated with the same event.

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2019 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

Background

The California DUI Management Information System was developed in California in 1989 as a result of the legislative mandate that required the development of a monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI in California.

The annual report of the California DUI Management Information System provides current and comprehensive statistics on the processing of DUI offenders from the point of arrest through adjudication to treatment and license control actions. The report presents cross-tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, postconviction sanctions, administrative license actions, and on drivers in crashes involving alcohol and drugs. In compliance with provisions of the law, the efficacy of specific sanctions and their results are also included in the report. Specifically, a separate analysis was conducted to evaluate if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent DUI incidents and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The report is divided into six sections with each section covering specific topics of the report. The following are highlights from each section of the 2019 report reflecting on the current state of DUI in California.

DUI Summary Statistics

- ◆ Alcohol-involved crash fatalities increased by 5.8% in 2017, following an increase of 6.9% in 2016 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ Drug-involved crash fatalities increased by 13.1% in 2017, after a decrease of 11.8% in 2016.
- ◆ Of the total number of crash fatalities in 2017, 33.1% were alcohol-involved, which is higher than the 31.7% in 2016. The percentage of drug-involved fatalities increased from the prior year's 19.0% to 21.2% in 2017.
- ◆ In 2017, 9.7% of total crash injuries were alcohol-involved; relatively unchanged from 9.8% reported for 2016.
- ◆ The DUI arrest rate per 100,000 licensed drivers declined by 6.7% in 2017, following a decline of 10.1% in 2016.

Section 1: DUI Arrests

- ◆ DUI arrests decreased by 5.0% in 2017, following decreases of 8.0% in 2016 and 8.6% in 2015 (see DUI Summary Statistics and Table 1).
- ◆ The median (midpoint) age of a DUI arrestee in 2017 was 31 years and almost three-quarters (72.7%) of arrestees were age 40 or younger. Less than one percent (0.4%) of all DUI arrests were of juveniles (under age 18) and 4.2% were of drivers over age 60. This is shown in Table 3a.
- ◆ Males comprised 77.3% of all 2017 DUI arrests, similar to 77.5% in 2016 (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen from 10.6% in 1989 to 22.7% in 2017.
- ◆ Based on data from the Department of Justice (DOJ), among 2017 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (49.0%) were the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have been each year for over a decade. Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (36.5% in 2017). This is shown in Figure 3.

Section 2: Convictions

- ◆ 73.6% of 2016 DUI arrests resulted in convictions for DUI offenses (see Table 6).
- ◆ The percentage of DUID convictions of the total DUI convictions was 5.5% among DUI offenders arrested in 2016, compared to 4.7% among those arrested in 2015.
- ◆ Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2016, 73.0% were first offenders and 27.0% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 10 years), similar to 2015 percentages. The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased considerably since 1989, when it stood at 37%, even though prior DUI convictions are currently retained on record and thus counted longer than in the past (10 years compared to 7 years in 1989). This is shown in Table 8.
- ◆ The median blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on Administrative Per Se (APS) forms, was 0.16% in 2016, which is double the California illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 7a).

- ◆ In 2016, 16.6% of DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records, lower than 17.4% in 2015 (see Table 6).

Section 3: Postconviction Sanctions

- ◆ The most frequent court sanction for all convicted DUI offenders was probation (95.9%), while the least frequently imposed court sanction was ignition interlock (7.7%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 73.6% of the cases (see Table 9a).
- ◆ Among first DUI offenders arrested in 2016, 65.6% were sentenced to jail, compared to 95.2% of all repeat offenders (see Table 9a).
- ◆ The majority of DUI offenders arrested in 2016 who installed an IID subsequent to their arrest were first offenders from the AB 91 pilot counties; more than 40% of first DUI offenders in Alameda, Sacramento, Los Angeles, and Tulare counties installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date (see Table 10b).

Section 4: Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness

- ◆ The 1-year DUI reoffense rate for first DUI offenders arrested in 2016 was 3.8% compared to 7.6% in 1990. The 1-year reoffense rate for second DUI offenders was 4.4% compared to 9.7% in 1990. Each of these represents approximately 50% fewer reoffenses compared to that of 1990 arrestees (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).
- ◆ Long-term reoffense rates, those occurring over years following an initial DUI conviction, are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers (see Figures 8b, 8c, and 8d).
- ◆ Of the DUI offenders arrested in 2016 who, by court order, enrolled in a DUI program, 86.9% of first offenders and 43.0% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 13).

Section 5: License Suspension/Revocation Actions

- ◆ The total number of both DMV APS and DUI postconviction suspension or revocation actions decreased by 5.0% in 2017, continuing the trend of the prior 8 consecutive years (see Table 15).

- ◆ In 2017, 115,374 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 73.6% were first-offender actions (including “zero tolerance” actions taken for drivers under age 21) and 26.4% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 15).

Section 6: Drivers in Crashes Involving Alcohol and Drugs

- ◆ While the number of alcohol-involved fatalities declined by about 4% over the past 22 years, the number of drug-involved fatalities increased by about 212% over the same time period. Some of the increase in the number of fatalities reported as drug-involved over this time period may be, in part, associated with an increase in training and ability of California law enforcement to detect and report drug involvement in fatal crashes in recent years (see Figure 11).
- ◆ Of all 2016 DUI arrests, 21.0% were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 17.4% in 2015. Of 2016 DUI arrests, 8.2% of them were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, higher than the 6.9% in 2015 (see Table 17).
- ◆ In 2016, over three-fourths (76.8%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a). In contrast, the majority (60.9%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, for providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation, as well as the California Highway Patrol, Information Management Division, for providing annual alcohol- and drug-involved crash data and documentation. The contributions of Karin Oakley, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production and publication of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. Further, we acknowledge the contributions of Michael Gebers, Research Scientist III; Ainsley Mitchum, Research Data Supervisor II; Dario Sacchi, Research Data Supervisor II; and Bayliss J. Camp, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

Report Authors:

Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Research Data Specialist II, and
Helen N. Tashima, Retired Annuitant, Principle Investigators.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2007-2017	i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2019 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS	5
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS	7
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS	15
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS	27
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS	47
DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES	50
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2016	50
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2016	54
Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 2005 DUI Offenders	57
Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2016	62
DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	63
Methods	63
DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Driving	65
Nine-Month DUI Program Evaluation for Repeat Alcohol- or Drug-Related Reckless Drivers	65
Results of the Evaluation of 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders	66
SECTION 5: LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCATION ACTIONS	69
SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS	73
REFERENCES	85

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
A HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975	91
GLOSSARY	106
ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450	109
B APPENDIX TABLES	111
B1 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY... ..	111
B2 2016 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE	126
B3 DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT	137
B4 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016	145
B5 DEMOGRAPHIC 3-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016	173

LIST OF TABLES

1 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, 2015–2017 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2016–2017	11
2 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	12
3a 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	13
3b 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY GENDER, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	13
3c DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2007-2017.....	14
4a DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS	20
4b DUID CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS	20
5a DUI AND DUID CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY AMONG DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2015 AND 2016	21
5b DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY.....	22

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
5c DUID CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	23
6 ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	24
7a REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS	25
7b REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21 ARRESTED IN 2016	26
8 DUI CONVICTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS	26
9a COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016	28
9b COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUID OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016	29
9c IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016	29
10a COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016	33
10b IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016	40
11a ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI- INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS, 1990-2016	51
11b ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI- INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND DUID OFFENDERS, 2015-2016	51

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
11c 2016 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	55
11d 2016 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	56
12 CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 2005 DUI OFFENDERS AND COHORT GROUPS	57
13 COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016	63
14 THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016	67
15 MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2007-2017.....	71
16 ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES.....	72
17 DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 2006-2016	78
18 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE	79
19 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE.....	79
20 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE.....	80
21 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 2006-2016.....	81
22a 2016 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER.....	81

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
22b 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)	81
23a 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT TYPE AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	82
23b 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT TYPE AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)	82
24a 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	83
24b 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED).....	83
25 2016 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF ALCOHOL- AND DRUG- INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES	84

LIST OF FIGURES

1 DUI management information system	2
2 DUI arrests, 2007-2017.....	8
3 Percentage of 2017 DUI arrests and 2017 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2010 census) by race/ethnicity	10
4 DUI convictions and conviction rates based on arrest year, 2007-2016.....	17
5 Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (for 2016 DUI arrests)	28

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
6	Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2016) 50
7	Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2016)..... 52
8a	Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions, and DUI FTAs) for 2005 DUI offenders 58
8b	Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions for the 2005 DUI offenders..... 59
8c	Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by gender for the 2005 DUI offenders..... 59
8d	Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date) for the 2005 DUI offenders..... 60
8e	Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI reoffense of the 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2005 DUI offenders..... 61
9	Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2016) by length of DUI program..... 66
10	Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2007-2017 75
11	Alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities, 1995-2017 76

INTRODUCTION

This report is the twenty-eighth *Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System*, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session, adding Section 1821 to the California Vehicle Code (see Appendix A). This bill requires the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to “establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted” of DUI in order to provide “accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics” to enhance “the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.” The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for crash data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and crash reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) was developed by Helander (1989) and is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. In the earlier years of this report, these evaluations were accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related crashes and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions within offender group. In recent years as the sanctions became increasingly homogenous within each offender group, the evaluations (as mandated by law) became focused on available sanctions within selected groups. These evaluations are detailed in Section 4 on “Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness.”




Figure 1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system. The publication of these data may assist others in making policy decisions, formulating improvements, and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report have led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small Central Valley court, to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract for the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates, or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994.¹ In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy or through direct electronic access from the courts), they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the Automated Name Index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract information. Conviction data are subject to change since abstracts of conviction can be amended, corrected, or dismissed after the initial abstract of conviction is reported to DMV. Also, reporting and non-reporting errors can occur as with DUI arrest data.

Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Crash Data:

Crash data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on crashes involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only crashes varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in their annual report.

¹ Similarly, there was an undercount of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests for April 2011 by CHP.

SECTION 1:
DUI ARRESTS

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information on DUI arrests presented below is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County, 2015–2017 and Annual Percentage Change, 2016-2017. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2015-2017 and the percentage change from 2016-2017 are shown in Table 1.


Table 2: 2017 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2017 DUI arrests by arrest type (i.e., felony, juvenile, and misdemeanor arrests), by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2017 DUI Arrests by Age, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a cross tabulates age by gender and age by race/ethnicity of 2017 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Also, Table 3a shows the median age for 2017 arrestees. Table 3b shows the same data cross-tabulated by gender and age within race/ethnicity.

Table 3c: DUI Arrests Under Age 21, 2007-2017. Table 3c shows a breakdown of DUI arrests under 21, by age, from 2007 to 2017. It also shows the proportion of total DUI arrests under 21 for the state over the same time period.

Figure 2: DUI Arrests, 2007-2017. Figure 2 displays the trend in DUI arrests from 2007 to 2017.

Figure 3: Percentage of 2017 DUI Arrests and 2017 Projected Population (Age 15 and Over, based on the 2010 Census) by Race/Ethnicity. Figure 3 shows the percentages of 2017 DUI arrests and 2017 projected population by race/ethnicity.



Note. Due to the non-reporting of DUI arrest data by CHP for the month of April 2011, an undercount is present in the figures for 2011 (with approximately 6,500 fewer total DUI arrests).

Figure 2. DUI arrests, 2007-2017.

Based on the data shown in Figures 2 and 3 and previously listed tables, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters

- ◆ DUI arrests decreased by 5.0% in 2017, after decreasing by 8.0% in 2016 (see Table 1). DUI arrests have decreased each year since 2008.
- ◆ Table 2 shows that the DUI arrest rate per 100 licensed drivers was 0.5 in 2017, unchanged from 0.5 in 2016 and 2015. The 2017 rate represents a 72% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.
- ◆ The percentage of felony DUI arrests in 2017 was 4.0%, unchanged from 4.0% in 2016. This type of DUI arrest constitutes a relatively small percentage of all DUI arrests (see Table 2).

County Variation

- ◆ Of all 2017 California DUI arrests, 20.3% occurred in Los Angeles County. Three counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, and Orange) had over 9,500 DUI arrests each, accounting for 36.4% of all arrests (see Table 2).
- ◆ The 2017 county DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.1 to 1.7 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers (the statewide average rate was 0.5). Nineteen counties had arrest rates of 0.5 or below in

2017 compared to seventeen counties in 2016. Eleven counties had rates of 0.4 or below: Alameda (0.4), Los Angeles (0.4), Orange (0.4), Placer (0.4), San Diego (0.4), San Mateo (0.4), Yolo (0.4), Contra Costa (0.3), Sacramento (0.3), Santa Clara (0.3), and San Francisco (0.1). This is shown in Table 2.

- ◆ The majority of counties had fewer DUI arrests in 2017 than in 2016. Among larger counties, the greatest percentage decreases occurred in Sacramento (-24.7%) and Alameda (-12.0%), while there was an increase in DUI arrests in Fresno (3.2%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Lassen (-36.7%) and Trinity (-29.8%). Small counties showing the largest percentage increase in DUI arrests were Mariposa (75.0%), Mono (43.0%), Inyo (38.9%), and Siskiyou (35.1%). These are shown in Table 1.

Demographic Characteristics

- ◆ The median age of a DUI arrestee in 2017 was 31 years. Almost half (49.1%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (72.7%) were age 40 or younger. Less than one percent (0.4%) of all DUI arrestees were juveniles (under age 18). 4.2% of all arrestees were over age 60 (see Table 3a).
- ◆ Among all DUI arrestees in a year, the percentage of DUI arrests under age 18 has declined from 0.8 in 2007 to 0.4 in 2017, a 50.0% relative decrease. The percentage of DUI arrests under age 21 decreased from 9.2 in 2007 to 5.8 in 2017, a 37.0% relative decline. This is shown in Table 3c.
- ◆ Males comprised 77.3% of all 2017 DUI arrests, similar to 77.5% in 2016 (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen from 10.6% in 1989 to 22.7% in 2017.
- ◆ In 2017, Hispanics (49.0%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees, as they have each year for over a decade. Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2017 population parity of 36.5% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also overrepresented among DUI arrestees (9.1% of arrests, 5.8% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2017 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (33.6% of arrests, 40.5% of the population) and “Other” (8.4% of arrests, 17.2% of the population). This is shown in Table 3a and Figure 3.

- ◆ Among male 2017 DUI arrestees, 52.7% were Hispanic, 30.2% were White, 8.8% were Black, and 8.3% were “Other.” Among female DUI arrestees, 45.1% were White, 36.4% were Hispanic, 9.9 % were Black, and 8.6% were “Other.” The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders appears to be limited to males (see Table 3b).
- ◆ In the following ten counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2017: Imperial (78.2%), Tulare (74.5%), Monterey (70.1%), Merced (68.1%), San Benito (67.8%), Madera (66.8%), Kings (63.8%), Fresno (61.9%), Kern (61.3%), and Los Angeles (60.9%). However, in most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White (see Appendix Table B1).
- ◆ The median age of a DUI arrestee varied by race: Whites and Blacks were the oldest with a median age of 34.0 and 33.0 years, respectively, while “Other” and Hispanics had a median age of 30.0 and 29.0 years, respectively (see Table 3a).




Figure 3. Percentage of 2017 DUI arrests and 2017 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2010 census) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS^a BY COUNTY, 2015–2017 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2016–2017

COUNTY	2015	2016	2017	% CHANGE 2016-2017
STATEWIDE	141372	130054	123548	-5.0
ALAMEDA	5319	5478	4820	-12.0
ALPINE	17	20	17	-15.0
AMADOR	188	178	176	-1.1
BUTTE	1266	1285	1126	-12.4
CALAVERAS	255	246	237	-3.7
COLUSA	182	174	136	-21.8
CONTRA COSTA	3173	2910	2639	-9.3
DEL NORTE	118	152	185	21.7
EL DORADO	734	817	720	-11.9
FRESNO	4566	3307	3414	3.2
GLENN	156	180	168	-6.7
HUMBOLDT	1178	1131	1088	-3.8
IMPERIAL	737	769	674	-12.4
INYO	175	72	100	38.9
KERN	4291	4211	3945	-6.3
KINGS	944	830	690	-16.9
LAKE	352	361	411	13.9
LASSEN	166	158	100	-36.7
LOS ANGELES	30779	26877	25087	-6.7
MADERA	805	972	1080	11.1
MARIN	1246	1157	991	-14.3
MARIPOSA	99	60	105	75.0
MENDOCINO	525	578	560	-3.1
MERCED	1275	1248	1313	5.2
MODOC	50	43	47	9.3
MONO	114	86	123	43.0
MONTEREY	2103	2197	2022	-8.0
NAPA	985	740	688	-7.0
NEVADA	448	358	426	19.0
ORANGE	11467	10824	10009	-7.5
PLACER	1363	1305	1178	-9.7
PLUMAS	118	135	121	-10.4
RIVERSIDE	8887	8318	8075	-2.9
SACRAMENTO	5059	4574	3445	-24.7
SAN BENITO	257	216	283	31.0
SAN BERNARDINO	9478	8141	7785	-4.4
SAN DIEGO	10547	9639	9866	2.4
SAN FRANCISCO	1094	1056	841	-20.4
SAN JOAQUIN	2399	2213	2154	-2.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1772	1687	1775	5.2
SAN MATEO	2547	2511	2281	-9.2
SANTA BARBARA	1989	2007	1848	-7.9
SANTA CLARA	5224	4444	4176	-6.0
SANTA CRUZ	1025	1255	1416	12.8
SHASTA	762	719	794	10.4
SIERRA	23	23	28	21.7
SISKIYOU	271	222	300	35.1
SOLANO	1350	1584	1759	11.0
SONOMA	2640	2469	2380	-3.6
STANISLAUS	1986	1939	1941	0.1
SUTTER	569	516	438	-15.1
TEHAMA	386	350	326	-6.9
TRINITY	160	205	144	-29.8
TULARE	2957	2465	2514	2.0
TUOLUMNE	356	308	304	-1.3
VENTURA	3281	3162	3264	3.2
YOLO	674	700	586	-16.3
YUBA	485	472	429	-9.1

^aDOJ DUI arrest totals with boat DUI ($N = 154$) removed.

TABLE 2: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF DUI ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
STATEWIDE	123548	100.0	4912	4.0	460	0.4	118176	95.7	0.5
ALAMEDA	4820	3.9	101	2.1	13	0.3	4706	97.6	0.4
ALPINE	17	0.0	1	5.9	0	0.0	16	94.1	1.7
AMADOR	176	0.1	8	4.5	0	0.0	168	95.5	0.6
BUTTE	1126	0.9	24	2.1	7	0.6	1095	97.2	0.7
CALAVERAS	237	0.2	7	3.0	0	0.0	230	97.0	0.6
COLUSA	136	0.1	5	3.7	0	0.0	131	96.3	0.9
CONTRA COSTA	2639	2.1	96	3.6	13	0.5	2530	95.9	0.3
DEL NORTE	185	0.1	1	0.5	3	1.6	181	97.8	1.0
EL DORADO	720	0.6	37	5.1	5	0.7	678	94.2	0.5
FRESNO	3414	2.8	122	3.6	22	0.6	3270	95.8	0.6
GLENN	168	0.1	7	4.2	1	0.6	160	95.2	0.8
HUMBOLDT	1088	0.9	27	2.5	4	0.4	1057	97.2	1.1
IMPERIAL	674	0.5	20	3.0	2	0.3	652	96.7	0.6
INYO	100	0.1	1	1.0	0	0.0	99	99.0	0.7
KERN	3945	3.2	139	3.5	14	0.4	3792	96.1	0.8
KINGS	690	0.6	26	3.8	1	0.1	663	96.1	0.9
LAKE	411	0.3	15	3.6	0	0.0	396	96.4	0.8
LASSEN	100	0.1	3	3.0	0	0.0	97	97.0	0.5
LOS ANGELES	25087	20.3	1198	4.8	28	0.1	23861	95.1	0.4
MADERA	1080	0.9	29	2.7	7	0.6	1044	96.7	1.2
MARIN	991	0.8	29	2.9	8	0.8	954	96.3	0.5
MARIPOSA	105	0.1	2	1.9	0	0.0	103	98.1	0.7
MENDOCINO	560	0.5	20	3.6	4	0.7	536	95.7	0.8
MERCED	1313	1.1	53	4.0	9	0.7	1251	95.3	0.8
MODOC	47	0.0	2	4.3	0	0.0	45	95.0	0.7
MONO	123	0.1	4	3.3	0	0.0	119	96.0	1.3
MONTEREY	2022	1.6	87	4.3	16	0.8	1919	94.9	0.7
NAPA	688	0.6	11	1.6	1	0.1	676	98.3	0.7
NEVADA	426	0.3	11	2.6	1	0.2	414	97.2	0.5
ORANGE	10009	8.1	305	3.0	32	0.3	9672	96.6	0.4
PLACER	1178	1.0	48	4.1	8	0.7	1122	95.2	0.4
PLUMAS	121	0.1	5	4.1	0	0.0	116	95.9	0.7
RIVERSIDE	8075	6.5	254	3.1	25	0.3	7796	96.5	0.5
SACRAMENTO	3445	2.8	194	5.6	11	0.3	3240	94.0	0.3
SAN BENITO	283	0.2	8	2.8	6	2.1	269	95.1	0.7
SAN BERNARDINO	7785	6.3	330	4.2	20	0.3	7435	95.5	0.6
SAN DIEGO	9866	8.0	460	4.7	47	0.5	9359	94.9	0.4
SAN FRANCISCO	841	0.7	69	8.2	0	0.0	772	91.8	0.1
SAN JOAQUIN	2154	1.7	84	3.9	6	0.3	2064	95.8	0.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1775	1.4	49	2.8	16	0.9	1710	96.3	0.9
SAN MATEO	2281	1.8	81	3.6	10	0.4	2190	96.0	0.4
SANTA BARBARA	1848	1.5	69	3.7	13	0.7	1766	95.6	0.6
SANTA CLARA	4176	3.4	223	5.3	17	0.4	3936	94.3	0.3
SANTA CRUZ	1416	1.1	66	4.7	0	0.0	1350	95.3	0.7
SHASTA	794	0.6	21	2.6	4	0.5	769	96.9	0.6
SIERRA	28	0.0	3	10.7	0	0.0	25	89.3	1.1
SISKIYOU	300	0.2	4	1.3	3	1.0	293	97.7	0.8
SOLANO	1759	1.4	56	3.2	4	0.2	1699	96.6	0.6
SONOMA	2380	1.9	56	2.4	10	0.4	2314	97.2	0.6
STANISLAUS	1941	1.6	120	6.2	7	0.4	1814	93.5	0.5
SUTTER	438	0.4	16	3.7	2	0.5	420	95.9	0.7
TEHAMA	326	0.3	10	3.1	4	1.2	312	95.7	0.8
TRINITY	144	0.1	4	2.8	0	0.0	140	97.2	1.4
TULARE	2514	2.0	112	4.5	14	0.6	2388	95.0	1.0
TUOLUMNE	304	0.2	8	2.6	1	0.3	295	97.0	0.7
VENTURA	3264	2.6	148	4.5	29	0.9	3087	94.6	0.5
YOLO	586	0.5	12	2.0	10	1.7	564	96.2	0.4
YUBA	429	0.3	11	2.6	2	0.5	416	97.0	0.9

TABLE 3a: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	123548	100.0	95510	77.3	28038	22.7	41452	33.6	60561	49.0	11186	9.1	10349	8.4
UNDER 18	539	0.4	421	78.1	118	21.9	178	33.0	302	56.0	26	4.8	33	6.1
18-20	6624	5.4	5268	79.5	1356	20.5	1675	25.3	4124	62.3	328	5.0	497	7.5
21-30	53460	43.3	40677	76.1	12783	23.9	15121	28.3	29191	54.6	4301	8.0	4847	9.1
31-40	29200	23.6	23105	79.1	6095	20.9	9094	31.1	14729	50.4	2836	9.7	2541	8.7
41-50	16790	13.6	12993	77.4	3797	22.6	6162	36.7	7489	44.6	1782	10.6	1357	8.1
51-60	11810	9.6	9090	77.0	2720	23.0	6060	51.3	3597	30.5	1409	11.9	744	6.3
61-70	4282	3.5	3308	77.3	974	22.7	2593	60.6	964	22.5	444	10.4	281	6.6
71 & ABOVE	843	0.7	648	76.9	195	23.1	569	67.5	165	19.6	60	7.1	49	5.8
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	31.0		31.0		30.0		34.0		29.0		33.0		30.0	

TABLE 3b: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY GENDER, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

GENDER	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		123548	100.0	41452	33.6	60561	49.0	11186	9.1	10349	8.4
MALE	UNDER 18	421	0.4	126	29.9	252	59.9	17	4.0	26	6.2
	18-20	5268	5.5	1236	23.5	3432	65.1	238	4.5	362	6.9
	21-30	40677	42.6	10557	26.0	23436	57.6	3063	7.5	3621	8.9
	31-40	23105	24.2	6494	28.1	12550	54.3	2103	9.1	1958	8.5
	41-50	12993	13.6	4082	31.4	6439	49.6	1396	10.7	1076	8.3
	51-60	9090	9.5	4099	45.1	3218	35.4	1150	12.7	623	6.9
	61-70	3308	3.5	1821	55.0	879	26.6	380	11.5	228	6.9
	71 & ABOVE	648	0.7	404	62.3	146	22.5	56	8.6	42	6.5
	TOTAL	95510	100.0	28819	30.2	50352	52.7	8403	8.8	7936	8.3
FEMALE	UNDER 18	118	0.4	52	44.1	50	42.4	9	7.6	7	5.9
	18-20	1356	4.8	439	32.4	692	51.0	90	6.6	135	10.0
	21-30	12783	45.6	4564	35.7	5755	45.0	1238	9.7	1226	9.6
	31-40	6095	21.7	2600	42.7	2179	35.8	733	12.0	583	9.6
	41-50	3797	13.5	2080	54.8	1050	27.7	386	10.2	281	7.4
	51-60	2720	9.7	1961	72.1	379	13.9	259	9.5	121	4.4
	61-70	974	3.5	772	79.3	85	8.7	64	6.6	53	5.4
	71 & ABOVE	195	0.7	165	84.6	19	9.7	4	2.1	7	3.6
	TOTAL	28038	100.0	12633	45.1	10209	36.4	2783	9.9	2413	8.6

TABLE 3c: DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2007-2017

AGE		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011 ^a	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	<i>N</i>	203866	214811	208531	195879	180212	172893	160388	154743	141372	130054	123548
UNDER 18	<i>N</i>	1635	1494	1262	1085	891	746	600	529	517	496	539
	%	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4
18-20	<i>N</i>	17201	17558	16382	14859	13073	11767	9846	9048	8084	7627	6624
	%	8.4	8.2	7.9	7.6	7.3	6.8	6.1	5.8	5.7	5.9	5.4
UNDER 21	<i>N</i>	18836	19052	17644	15944	13964	12513	10446	9577	8601	8123	7163
	%	9.2	8.9	8.5	8.1	7.8	7.2	6.5	6.1	6.1	6.2	5.8

^aThe non-reporting of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests by CHP for the month of April 2011 is reflected in this table's 2011 figures.

SECTION 2:
CONVICTIONS

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Although the DUI arrest data reported earlier are based on arrests that occurred in 2017, the DUI conviction data are based on convictions of DUI offenders arrested in 2016 in order to allow sufficient time for courts to report convictions to DMV. Tables in this section compile and cross tabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like “2016 convictions” refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2016 and subsequently convicted. Starting with the 2013 DUI-MIS Report, the data source, placement, and type of information provided in Figure 4 and Tables 5 and 6 have changed. In particular, since some DUI arrest data from the Department of Justice (DOJ) MACR system could not be matched to the driver records on the DMV database, the information in Table 6 is estimated based only on DUI cases whose arrest and/or conviction was found on the DMV database (“matchable DUI cases”). Starting this year, a separate information on drug-specific DUI conviction (DUID) is presented in this section in addition to existing overall DUI conviction information. This section contains the following tables and figures:

Table 4a: DUI Convictions by Age and Gender for 2016 DUI Arrests. This table cross tabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and gender. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 4b: DUID Convictions by Age and Gender for 2016 DUI Arrests. This table cross tabulates statewide DUID conviction information by age and gender.

Table 5a: DUI and DUID Convictions by County among DUI Offenders Arrested in 2015 and 2016. This table shows the total numbers of DUI and DUID convictions statewide and by county among DUI offenders arrested in 2015 and 2016. It also shows the percentages of DUID convictions of the total DUI convictions for those years.

Table 5b: DUI Conviction Data for 2016 DUI Arrests By County. This table shows county and statewide DUI-related conviction data (felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions as well as alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions) as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. For DUI convictions, it also shows the median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, both statewide and by county.


Table 5c: DUID Conviction Data for 2016 DUI Arrests By County. This table shows county and statewide drug-specific DUI conviction data (violations of CVC 23152 and CVC 23153 involving either drugs alone or the combined influence of alcohol and drugs) as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. The table also shows the median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to DUID conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, both statewide and by county.

Table 6: Adjudication Status of 2016 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows information on DUI conviction rates and adjudication status (court disposition) of 2016 DUI arrests statewide and by county. It includes the estimated percentages of arrests that resulted in DUI convictions (DUI conviction rates), misdemeanor and felony DUI convictions, reckless driving convictions (alcohol/drug and non-alcohol/drug related), other convictions, and the percentage of DUI arrests with no record of any conviction. Starting with the 2013 DUI-MIS report, these estimates are limited to DUI arrests or individual cases from the MACR file for which a matching arrest and/or conviction was found in the DMV database. These arrest cases were tracked individually to determine their final adjudication status. In early DUI-MIS reports, the information on DUI conviction rates and adjudication status in this table was obtained by dividing the total number of convictions by the total number of arrests, either statewide or by county, without matching individual cases. Starting with 2010 DUI conviction rates, this information is estimated by tracking matched individual DUI arrest cases and by calculating percentages of those that resulted in conviction of DUI, of some other type of violation, and those that resulted in no conviction.

Table 7a: Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI and Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Convictions for 2016 DUI Arrests and Table 7b: Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21 Arrested in 2016. Table 7a shows the frequency of reported BAC levels for DUI and alcohol- or drug-reckless convictions. Because the APS forms, submitted following most DUI arrests, more completely report BAC levels than do abstracts of conviction, they are used here to calculate statewide BAC levels. Table 7b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 8: DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Reported BAC Level for 2016 DUI Arrests. This table displays the percentages of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in 10 years), with the average (mean) and median BAC level from APS reporting forms for each offense level.

Figure 4: DUI Convictions and Conviction Rates Based on Arrest Year, 2007-2016. Figure 4 shows, for the years 2007 to 2016, the total number of DUI convictions and DUI conviction rates based on the violation year.



DUI conviction rate (percent convicted)	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
	78.8%	78.7%	77.2%	73.1% ^a	73.3% ^a	73.7% ^a	72.5% ^a	72.7% ^a	72.6%	73.6%

^aThe 2010 and later DUI conviction rates are based on different data extraction procedures than those used in the past and are not comparable to prior years.

Figure 4. DUI convictions and conviction rates based on arrest year, 2007-2016.

Based on this report's DUI conviction data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters

- ◆ In 2016, 73.6% of DUI arrests resulted in convictions for DUI offenses (see Table 6).
- ◆ Based on the DUI conviction data for arrests within 10 years (2007-2016), 4.0% of all California drivers (including those who do not have a permanent driving record) have one or more DUI convictions on their record.
- ◆ The percentage of DUID convictions of the total DUI convictions in California increased from 4.7% among DUI offenders arrested in 2015 to 5.5% among those arrested in 2016. An increase also occurred in the number of DUID convictions, from 5,021 in 2015 to 5,414 in 2016, even though the total number of DUI convictions declined by 7.7% in the same time period (see table 5a).

- ◆ Among 2016 DUI arrestees, 7.1% resulted in alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions and 1.5% resulted in reckless driving convictions not alcohol- or drug-related (see Table 6).
- ◆ Among 2016 DUI arrestees, 1.2% resulted in convictions for offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, such as speed contest or driving with a suspended or revoked license (see Table 6).
- ◆ Among 2016 DUI arrestees, 16.6% have not yet resulted in any conviction that could be found on DMV's database (see Table 6).
- ◆ The average (mean) reported non-zero BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2016, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.168%, relatively unchanged from 0.166% in 2015. The midpoint (median) BAC level reported was 0.16%. Both measures are at least double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 7a).
- ◆ The average (mean) and median non-zero BAC levels increased as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions. The average BAC level increased from 0.164% BAC for first offenders to 0.192% BAC for fourth-or-subsequent offenders (the median BAC level increased from 0.16% BAC for first offenders to 0.19% BAC for fourth-or-subsequent offenders). This is shown in Table 8.
- ◆ Among 2016 DUI arrestees subsequently convicted, 73.0% were first offenders, 20.2% were second offenders, 5.2% were third offenders, and 1.6% were fourth-or-more offenders. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors for DUI in California is 10 years.) The proportion of all convicted DUI offenders that are repeat offenders (27.0%), shown in Table 8, has increased ever since the counting period for priors changed from 7 to 10 years (by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005). For example, in the last year before the change in criteria for counting prior convictions (2004), the percentage of repeat offenders was 23.5% versus 27.0% in 2016.
- ◆ The median adjudication time lags were 118 days from DUI arrest to DUI conviction and 8 days from conviction to update on the DMV database, totaling over 3 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record (see Table 5b). However, the median adjudication time from DUI arrest to conviction is almost twice as long for DUID convictions (201 days) when compared to the same adjudication time lag for overall DUI convictions (see Table 5c).

Demographic Characteristics

- ◆ The median age of convicted DUI offenders in 2016 was 31.0 years (see Table 4a).
- ◆ Among 2016 DUI convictees, 48.3% were 30 years of age or younger and 72.4% were 40 years or younger (see Table 4a).
- ◆ Females comprised 22.8% of convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2016 (see Table 4a), relatively unchanged from 23.0% last year. In general, the proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1994.
- ◆ The median age of convicted DUID offenders in 2016 was 30 years. However, females convicted of DUID are older than males, which is reflected in their median age, 35.0, compared to 29.0 median age of males (see table 4b).

TABLE 4a: DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
STATEWIDE	98430	100.0	75949	77.2	22481	22.8
UNDER 18	165	0.2	139	84.2	26	15.8
18-20	4611	4.7	3681	79.8	930	20.2
21-30	42704	43.4	32526	76.2	10178	23.8
31-40	23718	24.1	18679	78.8	5039	21.2
41-50	13726	13.9	10559	76.9	3167	23.1
51-60	9572	9.7	7298	76.2	2274	23.8
61-70	3332	3.4	2588	77.7	744	22.3
71 & ABOVE	602	0.6	479	79.6	123	20.4
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	34.6		34.6		34.6	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	31.0		31.0		31.0	

^aCounty-specific tabulations of 2016 DUI convictions by age and gender are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 4b: DUID CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
STATEWIDE	5414	100.0	4158	76.8	1256	23.2
UNDER 18	12	0.2	10	83.3	2	16.7
18-20	534	9.9	471	88.2	63	11.8
21-30	2181	40.3	1784	81.8	397	18.2
31-40	1280	23.6	963	75.2	317	24.8
41-50	698	12.9	464	66.5	234	33.5
51-60	530	9.8	348	65.7	182	34.3
61-70	160	3.0	107	66.9	53	33.1
71 & ABOVE	19	0.4	11	57.9	8	42.1
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.7		32.5		37.6	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		29.0		35.0	

^aPercents may not add to 100% due to rounding. These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 5a: DUI AND DUID CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY AMONG DUI OFFENDERS
ARRESTED IN 2015 AND 2016

COUNTY	2015			2016		
	DUI	DUID		DUI	DUID	
		N	%		N	%
STATEWIDE	106627	5021	4.7	98430	5414	5.5
ALAMEDA	2927	69	2.4	2481	43	1.7
ALPINE	16	0	0.0	20	0	0.0
AMADOR	136	8	5.9	135	7	5.2
BUTTE	950	53	5.6	968	43	4.4
CALAVERAS	214	31	14.5	210	20	9.5
COLUSA	124	13	10.5	131	13	9.9
CONTRA COSTA	2016	12	0.6	1681	12	0.7
DEL NORTE	74	2	2.7	110	2	1.8
EL DORADO	654	42	6.4	640	48	7.5
FRESNO	4043	246	6.1	3555	197	5.5
GLENN	126	12	9.5	128	14	10.9
HUMBOLDT	713	57	8.0	787	48	6.1
IMPERIAL	457	5	1.1	426	6	1.4
INYO	125	11	8.8	104	0	0.0
KERN	2948	215	7.3	2799	177	6.3
KINGS	473	42	8.9	411	33	8.0
LAKE	303	24	7.9	293	36	12.3
LASSEN	108	5	4.6	94	3	3.2
LOS ANGELES	22040	907	4.1	19673	968	4.9
MADERA	479	28	5.8	576	57	9.9
MARIN	1139	53	4.7	1022	32	3.1
MARIPOSA	84	2	2.4	47	2	4.3
MENDOCINO	401	9	2.2	476	22	4.6
MERCED	774	3	0.4	771	11	1.4
MODOC	37	1	2.7	21	2	9.5
MONO	101	5	5.0	77	2	2.6
MONTEREY	1743	103	5.9	1726	63	3.7
NAPA	783	15	1.9	654	11	1.7
NEVADA	408	9	2.2	358	6	1.7
ORANGE	10428	1100	10.5	9779	1173	12.0
PLACER	1227	151	12.3	1176	130	11.1
PLUMAS	90	3	3.3	103	7	6.8
RIVERSIDE	6494	90	1.4	6010	157	2.6
SACRAMENTO	4517	332	7.4	4363	489	11.2
SAN BENITO	203	15	7.4	195	9	4.6
SAN BERNARDINO	5302	91	1.7	5233	166	3.2
SAN DIEGO	8731	375	4.3	8047	527	6.5
SAN FRANCISCO	692	24	3.5	448	12	2.7
SAN JOAQUIN	2085	57	2.7	1914	85	4.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1441	61	4.2	1355	98	7.2
SAN MATEO	1871	17	0.9	1774	32	1.8
SANTA BARBARA	1702	19	1.1	1629	26	1.6
SANTA CLARA	4266	48	1.1	3638	25	0.7
SANTA CRUZ	1009	29	2.9	914	41	4.5
SHASTA	627	33	5.3	600	24	4.0
SIERRA	13	1	7.7	9	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	162	4	2.5	126	0	0.0
SOLANO	985	13	1.3	1004	9	0.9
SONOMA	2276	96	4.2	2086	74	3.5
STANISLAUS	1589	67	4.2	1587	61	3.8
SUTTER	326	26	8.0	311	25	8.0
TEHAMA	232	3	1.3	180	8	4.4
TRINITY	59	3	5.1	75	4	5.3
TULARE	2083	124	6.0	1813	121	6.7
TUOLUMNE	270	27	10.0	239	22	9.2
VENTURA	2713	176	6.5	2601	176	6.8
YOLO	541	18	3.3	580	20	3.4
YUBA	297	36	12.1	267	15	5.6

TABLE 5b: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY ^a

COUNTY	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^b	UNDER 21 DUI ^c	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
					VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	93926	4133	371	11803	118	8
ALAMEDA	2427	45	9	993	173	63
ALPINE	19	1	0	1	69	7
AMADOR	130	5	0	20	110	23
BUTTE	926	37	5	111	135	23
CALAVERAS	198	12	0	7	95	3
COLUSA	127	2	2	24	86	9
CONTRA COSTA	1634	37	10	8	249	5
DEL NORTE	104	6	0	22	73	169
EL DORADO	599	37	4	67	178	8
FRESNO	3324	220	11	481	132	12
GLENN	123	4	1	27	120	14
HUMBOLDT	763	23	1	144	138	17
IMPERIAL	411	12	3	53	290	8
INYO	99	5	0	25	106	3
KERN	2738	51	10	609	69	13
KINGS	401	9	1	34	306	0
LAKE	284	9	0	39	141	7
LASSEN	93	1	0	12	265	7
LOS ANGELES	19062	565	46	2000	109	6
MADERA	555	19	2	173	291	13
MARIN	967	43	12	72	94	22
MARIPOSA	47	0	0	3	116	4
MENDOCINO	433	40	3	62	87	238
MERCED	715	54	2	115	192	2
MODOC	20	1	0	7	133	3
MONO	76	1	0	10	114	10
MONTEREY	1662	58	6	183	67	18
NAPA	633	18	3	89	105	2
NEVADA	341	17	0	41	107	11
ORANGE	9380	369	30	366	160	0
PLACER	1102	70	4	131	116	4
PLUMAS	99	4	0	3	55	3
RIVERSIDE	5783	226	1	376	124	3
SACRAMENTO	3915	431	17	315	82	6
SAN BENITO	181	13	1	17	101	6
SAN BERNARDINO	4945	267	21	716	224	4
SAN DIEGO	7580	423	44	1336	75	15
SAN FRANCISCO	425	21	2	124	155	6
SAN JOAQUIN	1848	62	4	302	68	12
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1281	67	7	232	85	1
SAN MATEO	1696	67	11	528	157	81
SANTA BARBARA	1536	86	7	205	90	21
SANTA CLARA	3473	148	17	574	113	22
SANTA CRUZ	890	15	9	163	72	10
SHASTA	540	57	3	110	109	9
SIERRA	9	0	0	2	129	129
SISKIYOU	122	3	1	25	121	8
SOLANO	983	16	5	210	200	8
SONOMA	1989	85	12	214	70	18
STANISLAUS	1504	75	8	93	95	24
SUTTER	282	24	5	70	100	46
TEHAMA	171	9	0	32	63	162
TRINITY	71	2	2	5	111	5
TULARE	1705	99	9	118	116	14
TUOLUMNE	234	5	0	0	64	29
VENTURA	2468	117	16	0	131	0
YOLO	546	31	3	63	133	28
YUBA	257	9	1	41	117	42

^aConviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.^bViolations of CVC 23153 and CVC 23152 with a felony disposition code. 4th offenses of CVC 23152 (in 10 years), which are statutorily defined as violations of CVC 23153, are not included.^cViolations of CVC 23140.

TABLE 5c: DUID CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY ^a

COUNTY	MISD DUID	FELONY DUID ^b	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
			VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	4984	430	201	8
ALAMEDA	43	0	232	95
ALPINE	0	0	0	0
AMADOR	7	0	90	65
BUTTE	43	0	228	21
CALAVERAS	18	2	207	3
COLUSA	13	0	135	10
CONTRA COSTA	12	0	343	17
DEL NORTE	2	0	76	166
EL DORADO	42	6	313	6
FRESNO	184	13	190	12
GLENN	13	1	195	35
HUMBOLDT	43	5	340	15
IMPERIAL	6	0	246	30
INYO	0	0	0	0
KERN	176	1	97	16
KINGS	33	0	159	0
LAKE	34	2	255	7
LASSEN	3	0	514	2
LOS ANGELES	927	41	176	6
MADERA	55	2	302	22
MARIN	31	1	340	30
MARIPOSA	2	0	102	14
MENDOCINO	22	0	341	165
MERCED	10	1	256	2
MODOC	2	0	60	6
MONO	2	0	329	436
MONTEREY	59	4	281	19
NAPA	11	0	224	4
NEVADA	5	1	170	53
ORANGE	1051	122	260	0
PLACER	122	8	218	6
PLUMAS	7	0	66	2
RIVERSIDE	142	15	166	207
SACRAMENTO	424	65	163	15
SAN BENITO	9	0	272	19
SAN BERNARDINO	155	11	238	3
SAN DIEGO	466	61	109	20
SAN FRANCISCO	11	1	281	6
SAN JOAQUIN	83	2	149	7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	90	8	100	2
SAN MATEO	27	5	328	70
SANTA BARBARA	26	0	389	21
SANTA CLARA	24	1	119	14
SANTA CRUZ	41	0	134	22
SHASTA	20	4	441	6
SIERRA	0	0	0	0
SISKIYOU	0	0	0	0
SOLANO	9	0	233	28
SONOMA	66	8	325	25
STANISLAUS	55	6	218	23
SUTTER	21	4	232	29
TEHAMA	3	5	244	87
TRINITY	4	0	175	6
TULARE	119	2	178	14
TUOLUMNE	22	0	415	13
VENTURA	156	20	369	0
YOLO	18	2	202	69
YUBA	15	0	267	32

^aThese figures are a subset of the counts in Table 5b.

^bViolations of CVC 23153 and CVC 23152 with a felony disposition code. 4th offenses of CVC 23152 (in 10 years), which are statutorily defined as violations of CVC 23153, are not included.

TABLE 6: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY^a

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION ^b
		% MIS-DEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL OR DRUG	% NONALCOHOL NOR DRUG		
STATEWIDE	73.6	71.4	2.2	7.1	1.5	1.2	16.6
ALAMEDA	46.0	45.3	0.7	16.1	2.1	1.0	35.0
ALPINE	83.4	79.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	0.0	8.3
AMADOR	74.6	73.5	1.1	9.0	2.8	0.0	13.6
BUTTE	78.5	76.7	1.8	6.4	1.7	0.8	12.6
CALAVERAS	83.0	79.7	3.3	2.4	0.8	1.2	12.6
COLUSA	75.2	74.6	0.6	9.5	3.0	0.0	12.4
CONTRA COSTA	62.0	60.8	1.2	0.2	9.0	2.0	26.7
DEL NORTE	71.0	68.4	2.6	9.9	2.0	0.7	16.5
EL DORADO	79.5	76.2	3.3	5.3	1.0	0.4	13.9
FRESNO	79.5	77.1	2.4	6.8	0.4	0.3	13.1
GLENN	73.6	73.6	0.0	9.2	0.0	0.6	16.6
HUMBOLDT	70.0	68.1	1.9	11.2	1.9	1.1	15.8
IMPERIAL	59.1	57.8	1.3	7.0	6.2	1.6	26.2
INYO	77.8	75.4	2.4	5.6	0.8	1.6	14.3
KERN	67.5	66.5	1.0	12.9	2.9	1.2	15.6
KINGS	54.1	53.1	1.0	3.6	0.6	0.3	41.5
LAKE	74.2	71.7	2.5	8.2	3.0	0.6	14.0
LASSEN	56.9	56.3	0.6	6.3	3.8	2.5	30.4
LOS ANGELES	74.7	72.7	2.0	5.6	1.7	2.7	15.3
MADERA	56.4	54.9	1.5	13.6	2.2	0.3	27.5
MARIN	82.1	80.2	1.9	5.4	0.4	1.5	10.5
MARIPOSA	75.9	75.9	0.0	5.2	8.6	0.0	10.3
MENDOCINO	77.8	74.0	3.8	8.2	1.2	0.5	12.3
MERCED	61.8	59.4	2.4	6.4	0.3	0.9	30.6
MODOC	46.3	43.9	2.4	7.3	2.4	0.0	43.9
MONO	80.4	80.4	0.0	8.7	0.0	0.0	10.9
MONTEREY	76.2	73.7	2.5	6.9	1.1	1.9	14.0
NAPA	80.8	79.9	0.9	9.1	0.6	0.9	8.6
NEVADA	85.2	83.3	1.9	4.6	0.2	0.7	9.3
ORANGE	85.9	83.9	2.0	2.7	0.2	0.4	10.8
PLACER	82.6	78.9	3.7	6.9	0.1	0.7	9.9
PLUMAS	81.0	77.8	3.2	2.4	0.0	0.8	15.9
RIVERSIDE	72.1	69.6	2.5	3.8	1.1	1.0	22.1
SACRAMENTO	85.0	80.4	4.6	4.4	0.2	0.8	9.6
SAN BENITO	78.2	74.4	3.8	6.3	0.4	1.7	13.5
SAN BERNARDINO	65.9	63.5	2.4	7.1	3.3	1.0	22.8
SAN DIEGO	77.3	74.4	2.9	10.6	1.1	0.5	10.4
SAN FRANCISCO	42.7	41.0	1.7	10.3	2.6	2.1	42.3
SAN JOAQUIN	76.5	74.3	2.2	9.8	0.7	0.6	12.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	76.5	74.7	1.8	11.4	2.8	0.6	8.8
SAN MATEO	67.5	66.2	1.3	17.6	0.4	1.4	13.2
SANTA BARBARA	74.4	72.1	2.3	8.0	0.9	0.8	15.9
SANTA CLARA	75.4	73.2	2.2	9.8	1.0	1.5	12.4
SANTA CRUZ	65.0	64.0	1.0	10.4	1.7	0.6	22.4
SHASTA	78.4	73.7	4.7	10.1	0.7	0.3	10.5
SIERRA	69.2	69.2	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	23.1
SISKIYOU	59.1	59.1	0.0	10.3	3.9	1.0	25.6
SOLANO	61.6	60.9	0.7	10.3	4.7	0.4	22.9
SONOMA	79.2	77.5	1.7	6.7	0.4	0.9	12.8
STANISLAUS	77.0	73.6	3.4	4.0	1.3	0.5	17.3
SUTTER	71.8	67.4	4.4	10.8	1.2	0.7	15.4
TEHAMA	63.3	62.2	1.1	9.4	1.1	1.1	25.2
TRINITY	63.5	62.6	0.9	1.7	5.2	0.9	28.7
TULARE	78.2	76.5	1.7	4.1	0.5	1.0	16.3
TUOLUMNE	77.6	76.6	1.0	0.0	8.4	0.7	13.4
VENTURA	82.4	78.9	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	16.1
YOLO	77.8	75.4	2.4	5.5	2.8	0.3	13.7
YUBA	70.0	68.9	1.1	8.4	0.8	0.6	20.2

^aTable 6 estimates are based only on DUI arrest cases from the MACR system whose arrests or convictions were found on the DMV database.

^bThese include dismissals and DUI failures-to-appear (FTA); the statewide DUI FTA average for 2016 DUI arrests was 2.0%.

TABLE 7a: REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS^a

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	1496	1.8	.00	377	4.1
.01	72	0.1	.01	18	0.2
.02	67	0.1	.02	32	0.3
.03	77	0.1	.03	23	0.3
.04	104	0.1	.04	46	0.5
.05	202	0.3	.05	94	1.0
.06	334	0.4	.06	247	2.7
.07	523	0.6	.07	709	7.6
.08	1444	1.8	.08	1733	18.6
.09	2428	3.0	.09	1996	21.5
.10	3762	4.6	.10	1401	15.1
.11	4834	5.9	.11	814	8.8
.12	5455	6.7	.12	457	4.9
.13	5729	7.0	.13	299	3.2
.14	5924	7.2	.14	210	2.3
.15	5946	7.3	.15	187	2.0
.16	5812	7.1	.16	150	1.6
.17	5524	6.7	.17	102	1.1
.18	5096	6.2	.18	79	0.9
.19	4606	5.6	.19	75	0.8
.20	4039	4.9	.20	66	0.7
.21	3440	4.2	.21	32	0.3
.22	2919	3.6	.22	29	0.3
.23	2467	3.0	.23	34	0.4
.24	1931	2.4	.24	27	0.3
.25	1552	1.9	.25	12	0.1
.26	1272	1.6	.26	10	0.1
.27	1043	1.3	.27	14	0.2
.28	863	1.1	.28	4	0.0
.29	645	0.8	.29	8	0.1
.30	492	0.6	.30	1	0.0
.31	434	0.5	.31	4	0.0
.32	354	0.4	.32	3	0.0
.33	287	0.4	.33	1	0.0
.34	197	0.2	.37	1	0.0
.35	162	0.2	.38	1	0.0
.36	111	0.1	.40	1	0.0
.37	88	0.1			
.38	58	0.1			
.39	59	0.1			
.40	47	0.1			
.41	27	0.0			
.42	20	0.0			
.43	11	0.0			
.44	15	0.0			
.45	6	0.0			
.46	1	0.0			
.47	4	0.0			
.48	1	0.0			
.49	2	0.0			
.50	1	0.0			
.57	1	0.0			
	-----	----		-----	----
TOTAL	81984	100.0	TOTAL	9297	100.0
	MEAN ^b BAC .168			MEAN ^b BAC .10	
	MEDIAN ^b BAC .16			MEDIAN ^b BAC .09	

^aThe BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with convictions presented in this table. The percentage of DUI convictees with BAC levels reported is 83.3%.

^bThe calculation of the mean and the median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug DUI convictions.

TABLE 7b: REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS
OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21 ARRESTED IN 2016^a

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	197	4.8	.23	53	1.3
.01	15	0.4	.24	43	1.1
.02	10	0.2	.25	30	0.7
.03	28	0.7	.26	25	0.6
.04	17	0.4	.27	15	0.4
.05	108	2.6	.28	12	0.3
.06	142	3.5	.29	18	0.4
.07	140	3.4	.30	5	0.1
.08	148	3.6	.31	6	0.2
.09	166	4.1	.32	2	0.1
.10	242	5.9	.33	2	0.1
.11	289	7.1	.35	4	0.1
.12	305	7.5	.36	1	0.0
.13	287	7.0	.39	1	0.0
.14	299	7.3	.40	1	0.0
.15	281	6.9	.49	1	0.0
.16	264	6.5			
.17	240	5.9			
.18	198	4.8			
.19	161	3.9	TOTAL	4089	100.0
.20	139	3.4			
.21	123	3.0			
.22	71	1.7			
				MEAN ^b BAC .14	
				MEDIAN ^b BAC .14	

^aThe BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with convictions presented in the table. The percentage of DUI convictees under age 21 with BAC levels found is 85.6%

^bThe calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 8: DUI CONVICTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS AND
REPORTED BAC LEVEL FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS^a

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) ^b	MEDIAN BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) ^b
STATEWIDE	100.0	.168	.16
1 ST DUI	73.0	.164	.16
2 ND DUI	20.2	.177	.17
3 RD DUI	5.2	.184	.18
4 ^{TH+} DUI	1.6	.192	.19

^aThe BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with DUI convictions presented in the table.

^bThe calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug DUI convictions.

SECTION 3:
POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were obtained from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2016. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 9a: Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2016. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of DUI offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock. Cross tabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 9b: Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status for DUID Offenders Arrested in 2016. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of DUID offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock.

Table 9c: Ignition Interlock Device (IID) Installations by DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2016. This table shows the statewide frequency of DUI offenders arrested in 2016 who installed an IID subsequent to their DUI arrest by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. For each DUI offender level, the table also shows the number and percentage of DUI convictees who installed an IID.

Table 10a: Court Sanctions by County and DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2016. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

Table 10b: Ignition Interlock Device (IID) Installations by County and DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2016. Table 10b displays the number of DUI offenders arrested in 2016 who installed an IID subsequent to their DUI arrest by county and by DUI offender status. For each county and DUI offender level, the table also shows the number and percentage of DUI convictees that installed an IID relative to the number of DUI convictions.

Figure 5: Percentage Representation of Court-Ordered DUI Sanctions (for 2016 DUI arrests). Figure 5 shows the percentage representation of court-ordered postconviction sanctions for DUI offenders arrested in 2016.

TABLE 9a: COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016^a

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	98430	95.9	73.6	67.7	22.3	0.3	7.7
1 ST	71829	97.2	65.6	89.6	2.5	0.0	2.5
REPEAT	26601	92.5	95.2	8.6	75.6	0.9	21.7
2 ND	19876	95.6	94.9	10.3	78.9	0.4	19.8
3 RD	5125	90.3	96.6	4.0	73.3	2.6	29.5
4 ^{TH+}	1600	60.1	93.8	1.9	42.3	2.2	20.8

^aEntries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2016 receiving each sanction, by offender status. Sanctions for each offender status group (row) are not exclusive; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.




Figure 5. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (for 2016 DUI arrests).

^aThis percentage does not include ignition interlock requirements administered by DMV (i.e., ignition interlock requirements under AB 91 law).

TABLE 9b: COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUID OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016^a

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
	<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	5414	90.1	75.7	60.1	18.9	0.2	4.0
1 ST	3959	91.4	69.1	78.3	2.4	0.1	0.9
REPEAT	1455	86.6	93.6	10.7	63.8	0.5	12.4
2 ND	1038	90.7	92.7	13.3	66.2	0.2	12.3
3 RD	312	82.4	97.1	5.1	64.4	1.0	13.1
4 ^{TH+}	105	59.0	92.4	1.9	38.1	2.9	10.5

^aThese figures are a subset of the counts in Table 9a.

TABLE 9c: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS ^a	
	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	%
STATEWIDE	98430	16956	17.2
1 ST	71829	11002	15.3
REPEAT	26601	5954	22.4
2 ND	19876	4873	24.5
3 RD	5125	968	18.9
4 ^{TH+}	1600	113	7.1

^aEntries represent numbers and percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2016 that installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date, which may be related to different IID requirements, including those administered by DMV (i.e., under AB 91 law), and may not be initiated by IID court sanctions (presented in Table 9a) or associated with DUI convictions resulting from arrests in 2016.

From the data in these tables and those in Appendix B4, it is evident that the use of sanctions prescribed for offenders arrested in 2016 continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status. For example:

Statewide Sanctions

- ◆ The most frequent court sanction for all convicted DUI offenders was probation (95.9%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (7.7%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 73.6% of the cases. This is shown in Table 9a, and graphically in Figure 5

(previous page). In many jurisdictions, however, all or a portion of the jail sentence is often served as community service or home confinement rather than actual jail time, particularly for first offenders (Guenzburger & Atkinson, 2012). Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to more than 100%.

- ◆ The most frequent sanction imposed on 2016 DUID offenders was probation (90.1%), although it was not imposed as frequently as it was among 2016 DUI offenders (95.9%). Similarly to patterns observed for DUI offenders, a higher percentage of repeat DUID offenders were given jail time than first DUID offenders (see Tables 9a and 9b).
- ◆ Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2016, 7.7% were ordered by courts to install an IID, whereas 17.2% of them actually installed a device subsequent to their arrest date (see Tables 9a and 9c). The higher percentage of offenders installing an IID than those who were ordered to install one is likely related to specific ignition interlock laws that were implemented starting in 2010 or later. On July 1, 2010, two ignition interlock laws took effect. The first law (SB 598 – Huff) allows second and third DUI offenders, convicted for driving under the influence of alcohol only, to reinstate licensure after 3 months and 6 months of license suspension/revocation, respectively, if they install an IID. The second law (AB 91 – Feuer) created a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires first and repeat DUI offenders to install an ignition interlock device in all vehicles they own or operate for a specific time period based on their number of prior DUI convictions. More recently, on January 1, 2017, SB 1046 (Hill) was implemented which extended the AB 91 pilot program until January 1, 2019. Also, effective January 1, 2019 until January 1, 2026, this bill specifies new IID requirements for all persons convicted of an alcohol-related DUI offense (relative to specific DUI offense and number of prior DUI violations) and allows DUI offenders who are willing to install an IID to apply for a restricted driver license without serving any period of license suspension or revocation.

County Variation

- ◆ The referral to first-offender DUI programs (mostly from 3 to 9 months long) among first DUI offenders varies by county, from 90% or more in 29 counties to only 13.1% in San Benito County (see Table 10a).
- ◆ In 2016, 0.9% of arrested repeat DUI offenders were assigned to 30-month DUI programs (see Table 9a). Assignment of DUI offenders (mostly third-or-more) to 30-month DUI programs was low, as there are very few counties that have 30-month DUI programs (see Table 10a).

- ◆ The highest percentage of DUI offenders installing an IID subsequent to their arrest in 2016 is among DUI offenders who were subject to the AB 91 pilot program, in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare counties; the installations ranged from 46.6% (Los Angeles) to 34.0% (Tulare). This is shown in Table 10b.
- ◆ Among the larger counties not included in the AB 91 pilot program, the percentage of DUI offenders who installed an IID ranged from 4.7% (Riverside) to 7.4% (Orange). At the same time, among the smaller counties, the percentage of DUI offenders installing an IID ranged from 0.0% in Alpine and Sierra to 13.3% in Calaveras (see Table 10b).

Court Variation

- ◆ Statewide, courts vary significantly in how they prescribe available sanctions for DUI offenders, even when they are in the same county and are processing similar number of DUI offenders. For example, in Los Angeles County alone, one court (El Monte) assigned jail to 53.1% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 405$), while another court (Pasadena) in the same county assigned jail to only 27.1% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 549$). This is shown in Table B4 in the Appendix.
- ◆ Courts in six counties did not require any of the convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2016 to install an ignition interlock device (see Table 10a and Table B4 in the Appendix).

Variation by Offender Status

- ◆ Among first DUI offenders arrested in 2016 and subsequently convicted, 65.6% were sentenced to jail, compared to 95.2% of all repeat offenders (see Table 9a).
- ◆ Among first DUI offenders, 92.1% were assigned by courts to attend DUI programs, as were 89.6% of second offenders, 79.9% of third offenders, and 46.4% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders. This is shown in Table 9a. (By statute, however, all DUI offenders must eventually complete specified DUI programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)
- ◆ In 2016, 21.7% of repeat DUI offenders were required by the courts to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles, compared to 20.3% of those arrested in 2015 (see Table 9a). Judges routinely did not require interlocks for repeat offenders despite the ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson), enacted in 1999, which provides incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate after 12 months of license suspension/revocation with interlocks and establishes mandatory interlock law for DUI suspension/revocation violators.

- ◆ The majority of DUI offenders arrested in 2016 who installed an IID subsequent to their arrest were first offenders from the AB 91 pilot counties; more than 40.0% of first DUI offenders in Alameda, Sacramento, Los Angeles, and Tulare counties installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date (see Table 10b).

- ◆ Among repeat DUI offenders, IID installation rates are higher among second DUI offenders (see Table 9c). The percentage of second DUI offenders from the AB 91 pilot counties who installed an IID ranged from 25.1% (Tulare) to 36.7% (Los Angeles). Among those from the counties not included in the pilot program, 30% or more second DUI offenders installed an IID subsequent to their 2016 arrest in the following counties: Calaveras (46.8%), Placer (41.0%), Ventura (34.6%), Mariposa (33.3%), Nevada (31.8%), Sonoma (30.9%), and El Dorado (30.6%). This is shown in Table 10b.

TABLE 10a: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE		98430	95.9	73.6	67.7	22.3	0.3	7.7
ALAMEDA	1 ST	1772	98.7	98.6	90.1	3.7	0.1	2.4
	2 ND	512	99.4	99.4	18.6	76.8	0.0	11.7
	3 RD	153	98.0	94.1	7.2	86.3	0.7	21.6
	4 ^{TH+}	44	93.2	100.0	6.8	68.2	0.0	29.5
	TOTAL	2481	98.7	98.5	68.7	25.0	0.1	6.0
ALPINE	1 ST	18	100.0	83.3	83.3	16.7	0.0	16.7
	2 ND	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	20	100.0	85.0	85.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
AMADOR	1 ST	100	97.0	96.0	92.0	4.0	0.0	7.0
	2 ND	29	100.0	100.0	13.8	82.8	0.0	72.4
	3 RD	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	80.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	135	97.0	97.0	71.1	24.4	0.0	24.4
BUTTE	1 ST	685	96.8	96.8	93.9	1.9	0.1	1.8
	2 ND	211	93.4	98.1	14.2	73.9	2.8	9.5
	3 RD	54	83.3	92.6	7.4	25.9	48.1	27.8
	4 ^{TH+}	18	72.2	94.4	0.0	33.3	44.4	38.9
	TOTAL	968	94.8	96.8	69.9	19.5	4.2	5.6
CALAVERAS	1 ST	145	99.3	97.9	95.2	0.7	0.0	3.4
	2 ND	47	100.0	100.0	8.5	91.5	0.0	76.6
	3 RD	14	85.7	100.0	0.0	64.3	0.0	78.6
	4 ^{TH+}	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	75.0
	TOTAL	210	98.1	98.6	67.6	26.2	0.0	26.2
COLUSA	1 ST	89	94.4	97.8	88.8	1.1	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	30	93.3	100.0	40.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	11	100.0	100.0	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	131	94.7	98.5	72.5	22.1	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	1 ST	1283	98.4	95.2	93.5	1.7	0.0	0.4
	2 ND	245	95.9	98.0	13.1	76.7	0.0	9.4
	3 RD	107	98.1	98.1	4.7	86.0	0.0	38.3
	4 ^{TH+}	46	78.3	97.8	0.0	65.2	0.0	37.0
	TOTAL	1681	97.4	95.8	73.6	19.8	0.0	5.1
DEL NORTE	1 ST	79	94.9	97.5	84.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	24	83.3	91.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	54.2
	3 RD	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	85.7	14.3	85.7
	TOTAL	110	92.7	96.4	69.1	16.4	0.9	17.3
EL DORADO	1 ST	447	98.2	97.8	82.6	2.0	0.0	29.3
	2 ND	134	97.0	97.0	12.7	73.9	0.0	63.4
	3 RD	43	97.7	100.0	14.0	53.5	0.0	67.4
	4 ^{TH+}	16	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	43.8
	TOTAL	640	97.3	97.8	61.3	21.7	0.0	39.4

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO	1 ST	2335	95.8	97.5	90.9	2.2	0.0	2.2
	2 ND	862	95.1	99.2	10.6	80.2	0.0	28.2
	3 RD	250	87.6	98.8	5.2	64.8	0.0	54.8
	4 ^{TH+}	108	51.9	98.1	4.6	34.3	0.9	13.0
	TOTAL	3555	93.7	98.0	62.8	26.5	0.0	12.5
GLENN	1 ST	96	99.0	42.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	18	100.0	77.8	11.1	61.1	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	10	90.0	90.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0
	4 ^{TH+}	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
	TOTAL	128	97.7	52.3	64.1	14.1	2.3	1.6
HUMBOLDT	1 ST	554	99.3	90.6	96.2	0.5	0.0	0.7
	2 ND	174	98.9	96.6	12.6	83.3	0.0	73.0
	3 RD	45	95.6	95.6	8.9	80.0	4.4	77.8
	4 ^{TH+}	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	28.6
	TOTAL	787	98.9	92.4	71.0	24.7	0.3	21.6
IMPERIAL	1 ST	329	93.6	25.8	76.3	3.6	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	79	91.1	82.3	5.1	69.6	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	15	93.3	66.7	6.7	60.0	0.0	6.7
	4 ^{TH+}	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	426	93.0	38.3	60.1	18.1	0.0	0.2
INYO	1 ST	75	93.3	22.7	81.3	1.3	0.0	6.7
	2 ND	22	100.0	72.7	18.2	59.1	0.0	22.7
	3 RD	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	33.3
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	104	94.2	37.5	62.5	16.3	0.0	11.5
KERN	1 ST	1980	98.1	97.5	81.6	1.4	0.1	0.9
	2 ND	603	97.3	99.5	23.9	42.5	0.5	28.2
	3 RD	179	89.4	97.8	14.0	34.1	0.6	43.0
	4 ^{TH+}	37	51.4	100.0	8.1	16.2	5.4	10.8
	TOTAL	2799	96.8	98.0	63.9	12.5	0.3	9.6
KINGS	1 ST	262	98.1	99.2	87.0	6.5	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	111	87.4	96.4	12.6	75.7	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	34	85.3	100.0	2.9	82.4	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	411	94.2	98.5	59.1	32.1	0.0	0.0
LAKE	1 ST	218	95.4	78.9	85.3	3.2	0.0	1.4
	2 ND	60	86.7	98.3	15.0	63.3	1.7	16.7
	3 RD	12	100.0	100.0	16.7	75.0	8.3	33.3
	4 ^{TH+}	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	293	93.5	83.6	67.2	18.4	0.7	5.8
LASSEN	1 ST	65	100.0	83.1	84.6	1.5	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	26	100.0	96.2	61.5	30.8	0.0	3.8
	3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	94	100.0	87.2	76.6	10.6	0.0	1.1

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	1 ST	15339	97.3	28.3	87.6	3.5	0.1	0.0
	2 ND	3448	95.5	92.1	7.9	80.6	0.7	0.4
	3 RD	694	86.7	97.1	2.2	68.9	6.5	1.7
	4 ^{TH+}	192	39.6	96.9	2.1	21.9	6.3	1.6
	TOTAL	19673	96.1	42.6	69.8	19.5	0.5	0.2
MADERA	1 ST	365	94.2	92.6	85.8	4.9	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	131	93.9	96.2	21.4	70.2	0.8	0.0
	3 RD	56	89.3	94.6	12.5	69.6	1.8	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	24	58.3	95.8	0.0	37.5	8.3	4.2
	TOTAL	576	92.2	93.8	60.4	27.4	0.7	0.2
MARIN	1 ST	730	98.4	62.2	93.2	3.2	0.0	3.0
	2 ND	217	97.7	96.3	5.5	88.5	0.0	22.1
	3 RD	58	91.4	98.3	1.7	48.3	0.0	58.6
	4 ^{TH+}	17	76.5	100.0	0.0	64.7	0.0	64.7
	TOTAL	1022	97.5	72.1	67.8	24.9	0.0	11.3
MARIPOSA	1 ST	28	100.0	100.0	71.4	10.7	0.0	3.6
	2 ND	15	100.0	100.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	60.0
	3 RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	4 ^{TH+}	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	47	100.0	100.0	46.8	42.6	0.0	27.7
MENDOCINO	1 ST	337	96.4	96.1	88.1	2.4	0.0	4.5
	2 ND	101	94.1	99.0	11.9	80.2	0.0	42.6
	3 RD	25	100.0	100.0	0.0	92.0	0.0	60.0
	4 ^{TH+}	13	53.8	100.0	7.7	53.8	0.0	23.1
	TOTAL	476	95.0	97.1	65.1	25.0	0.0	16.0
MERCED	1 ST	558	97.7	98.9	37.5	4.8	0.0	1.4
	2 ND	153	98.0	100.0	8.5	80.4	0.0	34.0
	3 RD	45	97.8	100.0	8.9	77.8	0.0	40.0
	4 ^{TH+}	15	60.0	93.3	0.0	40.0	0.0	13.3
	TOTAL	771	97.0	99.1	29.3	24.8	0.0	10.4
MODOC	1 ST	12	91.7	75.0	50.0	8.3	0.0	8.3
	2 ND	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	25.0
	TOTAL	21	95.2	76.2	28.6	28.6	9.5	9.5
	MONO	1 ST	58	100.0	69.0	94.8	5.2	0.0
2 ND		17	100.0	100.0	17.6	82.4	0.0	5.9
3 RD		2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		77	100.0	76.6	75.3	24.7	0.0	1.3
MONTEREY		1 ST	1252	97.8	98.2	89.4	2.7	0.1
	2 ND	347	96.5	98.8	7.2	80.7	0.0	55.9
	3 RD	101	91.1	98.0	4.0	68.3	0.0	63.4
	4 ^{TH+}	26	73.1	100.0	0.0	38.5	0.0	30.8
	TOTAL	1726	96.8	98.3	66.5	22.8	0.1	21.0

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
NAPA	1 ST	492	98.6	98.2	95.1	2.0	0.0	34.3
	2 ND	124	96.8	94.4	14.5	79.8	0.0	80.6
	3 RD	30	93.3	96.7	3.3	90.0	0.0	83.3
	4 ^{TH+}	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	654	97.6	97.4	74.5	21.4	0.0	45.6
NEVADA	1 ST	253	99.6	99.6	96.8	1.2	0.0	0.8
	2 ND	88	100.0	100.0	28.4	70.5	0.0	27.3
	3 RD	11	81.8	100.0	27.3	54.5	0.0	54.5
	4 ^{TH+}	6	100.0	100.0	33.3	83.3	0.0	16.7
	TOTAL	358	99.2	99.7	76.8	21.2	0.0	9.2
ORANGE	1 ST	7285	98.3	39.0	92.2	1.6	0.0	0.1
	2 ND	1935	97.0	93.5	5.3	85.7	0.1	8.8
	3 RD	451	89.8	95.6	1.6	80.9	0.0	8.9
	4 ^{TH+}	108	58.3	95.4	0.0	46.3	0.9	7.4
	TOTAL	9779	97.2	53.0	69.8	22.4	0.0	2.3
PLACER	1 ST	854	95.6	98.1	90.9	2.1	0.0	5.4
	2 ND	249	95.2	98.8	9.2	69.5	0.0	69.9
	3 RD	57	73.7	98.2	3.5	68.4	0.0	61.4
	4 ^{TH+}	16	62.5	87.5	0.0	43.8	0.0	31.3
	TOTAL	1176	94.0	98.1	68.1	20.2	0.0	22.1
PLUMAS	1 ST	77	100.0	94.8	96.1	2.6	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	22	90.9	95.5	9.1	72.7	0.0	4.5
	3 RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	103	98.1	95.1	73.8	21.4	0.0	1.0
RIVERSIDE	1 ST	4478	97.9	94.3	94.6	2.5	0.0	0.1
	2 ND	1138	95.2	96.6	6.9	87.9	0.0	1.7
	3 RD	283	89.0	95.1	1.4	86.9	0.0	9.9
	4 ^{TH+}	111	59.5	90.1	0.9	56.8	0.0	3.6
	TOTAL	6010	96.2	94.7	71.9	23.6	0.0	0.9
SACRAMENTO	1 ST	2978	98.5	97.0	93.8	1.3	0.0	2.2
	2 ND	984	96.0	99.2	8.7	82.2	0.0	4.2
	3 RD	287	89.5	100.0	3.1	66.9	0.0	7.7
	4 ^{TH+}	114	48.2	100.0	1.8	30.7	0.0	30.7
	TOTAL	4363	96.0	97.8	66.2	24.7	0.0	3.8
SAN BENITO	1 ST	130	93.8	95.4	13.1	0.0	0.0	0.8
	2 ND	50	100.0	96.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	22.0
	3 RD	12	83.3	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3
	4 ^{TH+}	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	195	93.8	95.4	8.7	1.0	0.0	11.3
SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST	3677	91.8	71.1	86.0	3.4	0.0	0.4
	2 ND	1137	90.9	88.1	18.1	69.6	0.0	5.2
	3 RD	306	85.6	89.9	7.5	68.3	0.0	11.4
	4 ^{TH+}	113	47.8	85.8	3.5	29.2	0.0	8.0
	TOTAL	5233	90.3	76.2	64.9	22.1	0.0	2.2

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO	1 ST	5940	96.3	14.1	90.9	2.1	0.0	9.1
	2 ND	1609	94.1	90.4	7.3	83.1	0.0	20.1
	3 RD	399	87.2	97.2	2.3	80.7	0.3	20.6
	4 ^{TH+}	99	49.5	98.0	0.0	30.3	0.0	6.1
	TOTAL	8047	94.9	34.5	68.7	22.5	0.0	11.9
SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST	335	97.9	98.8	96.7	1.8	0.0	1.8
	2 ND	93	97.8	100.0	15.1	79.6	0.0	69.9
	3 RD	16	100.0	100.0	6.3	87.5	0.0	81.3
	4 ^{TH+}	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	448	98.0	99.1	75.7	21.9	0.0	19.2
SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST	1320	98.6	98.8	94.8	1.9	0.3	1.1
	2 ND	418	99.0	99.3	14.6	76.6	6.7	23.2
	3 RD	133	97.7	100.0	4.5	63.9	26.3	44.4
	4 ^{TH+}	43	79.1	95.3	2.3	55.8	16.3	30.2
	TOTAL	1914	98.2	98.9	69.0	23.7	3.9	9.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST	941	98.6	97.0	92.5	1.3	0.0	0.2
	2 ND	291	95.9	98.3	8.9	80.8	0.0	2.1
	3 RD	88	92.0	97.7	4.5	77.3	0.0	3.4
	4 ^{TH+}	35	71.4	97.1	5.7	11.4	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1355	96.9	97.3	66.6	23.5	0.0	0.8
SAN MATEO	1 ST	1256	98.1	97.8	91.6	2.4	0.0	0.6
	2 ND	395	96.5	99.2	7.3	83.3	0.0	12.2
	3 RD	98	95.9	98.0	3.1	90.8	0.0	29.6
	4 ^{TH+}	25	84.0	100.0	0.0	56.0	0.0	28.0
	TOTAL	1774	97.4	98.1	66.7	26.0	0.0	5.2
SANTA BARBARA	1 ST	1192	98.4	82.6	91.5	2.9	0.0	1.7
	2 ND	327	94.5	96.3	8.0	78.9	0.0	32.4
	3 RD	83	90.4	95.2	0.0	77.1	0.0	41.0
	4 ^{TH+}	27	66.7	96.3	0.0	55.6	0.0	14.8
	TOTAL	1629	96.7	86.2	68.6	22.8	0.0	10.1
SANTA CLARA	1 ST	2647	98.7	97.9	94.5	2.7	0.0	3.5
	2 ND	724	98.1	99.2	13.8	82.3	0.0	55.5
	3 RD	205	96.6	99.5	2.4	90.7	0.0	77.1
	4 ^{TH+}	62	80.6	100.0	0.0	79.0	0.0	80.6
	TOTAL	3638	98.2	98.3	71.7	24.8	0.0	19.3
SANTA CRUZ	1 ST	665	89.8	88.0	52.8	0.5	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	201	89.1	89.6	9.0	41.8	0.0	0.5
	3 RD	43	83.7	83.7	4.7	20.9	0.0	4.7
	4 ^{TH+}	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	914	89.4	88.2	40.7	10.7	0.0	0.3
SHASTA	1 ST	391	97.2	95.9	80.8	3.3	0.0	32.2
	2 ND	151	96.0	99.3	6.6	76.8	0.0	77.5
	3 RD	45	93.3	97.8	0.0	77.8	0.0	73.3
	4 ^{TH+}	13	76.9	92.3	0.0	30.8	0.0	46.2
	TOTAL	600	96.2	96.8	54.3	28.0	0.0	47.0

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SIERRA	1 ST	8	100.0	100.0	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	9	100.0	100.0	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1 ST	90	86.7	82.2	70.0	1.1	0.0	1.1
	2 ND	28	85.7	82.1	0.0	64.3	0.0	42.9
	3 RD	7	42.9	42.9	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	126	84.1	80.2	50.0	16.7	0.0	11.1
SOLANO	1 ST	673	97.0	98.5	94.8	1.9	0.0	0.9
	2 ND	223	96.9	100.0	11.2	83.4	0.0	14.3
	3 RD	83	91.6	100.0	2.4	84.3	0.0	67.5
	4 ^{TH+}	25	56.0	96.0	0.0	52.0	0.0	44.0
	TOTAL	1004	95.5	98.9	66.2	28.1	0.0	10.5
SONOMA	1 ST	1486	97.0	95.8	93.5	1.6	0.0	9.1
	2 ND	450	96.0	99.3	6.9	86.7	0.0	78.4
	3 RD	123	94.3	97.6	0.8	89.4	0.0	87.8
	4 ^{TH+}	27	70.4	92.6	0.0	74.1	0.0	70.4
	TOTAL	2086	96.3	96.6	68.1	26.1	0.0	29.5
STANISLAUS	1 ST	1071	98.5	98.6	95.0	2.5	0.0	0.5
	2 ND	378	95.8	99.7	10.1	83.3	2.1	9.0
	3 RD	99	91.9	100.0	4.0	73.7	14.1	31.3
	4 ^{TH+}	39	38.5	100.0	0.0	33.3	2.6	7.7
	TOTAL	1587	96.0	99.0	66.7	27.0	1.4	4.6
SUTTER	1 ST	219	93.6	96.8	91.8	1.4	0.0	3.2
	2 ND	71	93.0	98.6	7.0	85.9	0.0	56.3
	3 RD	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	85.7
	4 ^{TH+}	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	57.1
	TOTAL	311	93.2	97.4	66.2	26.4	0.0	20.3
TEHAMA	1 ST	128	97.7	99.2	89.1	7.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	37	86.5	100.0	10.8	75.7	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	180	95.0	99.4	65.6	27.2	0.0	0.0
TRINITY	1 ST	59	96.6	93.2	91.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	13	100.0	100.0	30.8	61.5	0.0	38.5
	3 RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	75	96.0	94.7	77.3	13.3	0.0	9.3
TULARE	1 ST	1224	96.2	44.4	89.7	3.3	0.0	0.7
	2 ND	382	95.5	92.1	13.9	77.7	0.0	17.8
	3 RD	148	95.3	93.9	2.7	80.4	0.0	34.5
	4 ^{TH+}	59	89.8	44.1	0.0	66.1	0.0	44.1
	TOTAL	1813	95.8	58.5	63.7	27.4	0.0	8.5

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
TUOLUMNE	1 ST	162	98.1	9.9	87.0	3.7	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	57	100.0	15.8	3.5	84.2	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	11	100.0	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	9	55.6	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	239	97.1	17.2	59.8	22.6	0.0	0.0
VENTURA	1 ST	1979	97.1	90.3	94.4	1.8	0.0	2.6
	2 ND	497	97.2	97.2	7.4	87.1	0.0	81.7
	3 RD	99	88.9	98.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	83.8
	4 ^{TH+}	26	57.7	92.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	57.7
	TOTAL	2601	96.4	91.9	73.2	21.6	0.0	21.3
YOLO	1 ST	420	96.7	94.3	86.2	2.9	0.0	2.1
	2 ND	125	94.4	92.0	18.4	72.8	0.0	30.4
	3 RD	24	95.8	95.8	16.7	83.3	0.0	50.0
	4 ^{TH+}	11	45.5	63.6	9.1	27.3	0.0	9.1
	TOTAL	580	95.2	93.3	67.2	21.7	0.0	10.3
YUBA	1 ST	188	98.4	56.9	89.4	1.1	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	55	98.2	85.5	14.5	67.3	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	18	100.0	88.9	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	6	66.7	83.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	267	97.8	65.5	67.0	21.7	0.0	0.0

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS ^a	
			N	%
STATEWIDE		98430	16956	17.2
ALAMEDA	1 ST	1772	764	43.1
	2 ND	512	159	31.1
	3 RD	153	23	15.0
	4 ^{TH+}	44	3	6.8
	TOTAL	2481	949	38.3
ALPINE	1 ST	18	0	0.0
	2 ND	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	20	0	0.0
AMADOR	1 ST	100	1	1.0
	2 ND	29	7	24.1
	3 RD	5	1	20.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	1	100.0
	TOTAL	135	10	7.4
BUTTE	1 ST	685	7	1.0
	2 ND	211	42	19.9
	3 RD	54	13	24.1
	4 ^{TH+}	18	2	11.1
	TOTAL	968	64	6.6
CALAVERAS	1 ST	145	3	2.1
	2 ND	47	22	46.8
	3 RD	14	2	14.3
	4 ^{TH+}	4	1	25.0
	TOTAL	210	28	13.3
COLUSA	1 ST	89	1	1.1
	2 ND	30	5	16.7
	3 RD	11	3	27.3
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	131	9	6.9
CONTRA COSTA	1 ST	1283	6	0.5
	2 ND	245	34	13.9
	3 RD	107	23	21.5
	4 ^{TH+}	46	7	15.2
	TOTAL	1681	70	4.2
DEL NORTE	1 ST	79	2	2.5
	2 ND	24	4	16.7
	3 RD	7	2	28.6
	TOTAL	110	8	7.3
EL DORADO	1 ST	447	13	2.9
	2 ND	134	41	30.6
	3 RD	43	12	27.9
	4 ^{TH+}	16	1	6.3
	TOTAL	640	67	10.5

^aEntries represent numbers and percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2016 that installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date, which may be related to different IID requirements, including those administered by DMV (i.e., under AB 91 law), and may not be initiated by IID court sanctions (presented in Table 10a) or associated with DUI convictions resulting from arrests in 2016.

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND
DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
FRESNO	1 ST	2335	28	1.2
	2 ND	862	120	13.9
	3 RD	250	31	12.4
	4 ^{TH+}	108	4	3.7
	TOTAL	3555	183	5.1
GLENN	1 ST	96	0	0.0
	2 ND	18	3	16.7
	3 RD	10	0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	128	3	2.3
HUMBOLDT	1 ST	554	6	1.1
	2 ND	174	44	25.3
	3 RD	45	7	15.6
	4 ^{TH+}	14	1	7.1
	TOTAL	787	58	7.4
IMPERIAL	1 ST	329	2	0.6
	2 ND	79	6	7.6
	3 RD	15	2	13.3
	4 ^{TH+}	3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	426	10	2.3
INYO	1 ST	75	0	0.0
	2 ND	22	6	27.3
	3 RD	6	2	33.3
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	104	8	7.7
KERN	1 ST	1980	13	0.7
	2 ND	603	95	15.8
	3 RD	179	30	16.8
	4 ^{TH+}	37	1	2.7
	TOTAL	2799	139	5.0
KINGS	1 ST	262	2	0.8
	2 ND	111	10	9.0
	3 RD	34	4	11.8
	4 ^{TH+}	4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	411	16	3.9
LAKE	1 ST	218	5	2.3
	2 ND	60	10	16.7
	3 RD	12	1	8.3
	4 ^{TH+}	3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	293	16	5.5
LASSEN	1 ST	65	0	0.0
	2 ND	26	1	3.8
	3 RD	1	0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	94	1	1.1

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
LOS ANGELES	1 ST	15339	7723	50.3
	2 ND	3448	1266	36.7
	3 RD	694	165	23.8
	4 ^{TH+}	192	13	6.8
	TOTAL	19673	9167	46.6
MADERA	1 ST	365	0	0.0
	2 ND	131	11	8.4
	3 RD	56	3	5.4
	4 ^{TH+}	24	0	0.0
	TOTAL	576	14	2.4
MARIN	1 ST	730	9	1.2
	2 ND	217	48	22.1
	3 RD	58	4	6.9
	4 ^{TH+}	17	2	11.8
	TOTAL	1022	63	6.2
MARIPOSA	1 ST	28	0	0.0
	2 ND	15	5	33.3
	3 RD	2	1	50.0
	4 ^{TH+}	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	47	6	12.8
MENDOCINO	1 ST	337	7	2.1
	2 ND	101	18	17.8
	3 RD	25	11	44.0
	4 ^{TH+}	13	2	15.4
	TOTAL	476	38	8.0
MERCED	1 ST	558	4	0.7
	2 ND	153	19	12.4
	3 RD	45	5	11.1
	4 ^{TH+}	15	3	20.0
	TOTAL	771	31	4.0
MODOC	1 ST	12	0	0.0
	2 ND	5	0	0.0
	3 RD	4	2	50.0
	4 ^{TH+}	2	2	9.5
	TOTAL	21	2	9.5
MONO	1 ST	58	0	0.0
	2 ND	17	4	23.5
	3 RD	2	0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	77	4	5.2
MONTEREY	1 ST	1252	27	2.2
	2 ND	347	87	25.1
	3 RD	101	19	18.8
	4 ^{TH+}	26	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1726	133	7.7

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND
DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
NAPA	1 ST	492	19	3.9
	2 ND	124	37	29.8
	3 RD	30	7	23.3
	4 ^{TH+}	8	1	12.5
	TOTAL	654	64	9.8
NEVADA	1 ST	253	1	0.4
	2 ND	88	28	31.8
	3 RD	11	6	54.5
	4 ^{TH+}	6	2	33.3
	TOTAL	358	37	10.3
ORANGE	1 ST	7285	90	1.2
	2 ND	1935	516	26.7
	3 RD	451	102	22.6
	4 ^{TH+}	108	13	12.0
	TOTAL	9779	721	7.4
PLACER	1 ST	854	21	2.5
	2 ND	249	102	41.0
	3 RD	57	12	21.1
	4 ^{TH+}	16	2	12.5
	TOTAL	1176	137	11.6
PLUMAS	1 ST	77	0	0.0
	2 ND	22	6	27.3
	3 RD	3	1	33.3
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	103	7	6.8
RIVERSIDE	1 ST	4478	49	1.1
	2 ND	1138	174	15.3
	3 RD	283	58	20.5
	4 ^{TH+}	111	4	3.6
	TOTAL	6010	285	4.7
SACRAMENTO	1 ST	2978	1423	47.8
	2 ND	984	297	30.2
	3 RD	287	29	10.1
	4 ^{TH+}	114	4	3.5
	TOTAL	4363	1753	40.2
SAN BENITO	1 ST	130	0	0.0
	2 ND	50	8	16.0
	3 RD	12	1	8.3
	4 ^{TH+}	3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	195	9	4.6
SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST	3677	20	0.5
	2 ND	1137	207	18.2
	3 RD	306	53	17.3
	4 ^{TH+}	113	10	8.8
	TOTAL	5233	290	5.5

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
SAN DIEGO	1 ST	5940	74	1.2
	2 ND	1609	334	20.8
	3 RD	399	80	20.1
	4 ^{TH+}	99	6	6.1
	TOTAL	8047	494	6.1
SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST	335	2	0.6
	2 ND	93	11	11.8
	3 RD	16	2	12.5
	4 ^{TH+}	4	1	25.0
	TOTAL	448	16	3.6
SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST	1320	24	1.8
	2 ND	418	105	25.1
	3 RD	133	17	12.8
	4 ^{TH+}	43	2	4.7
	TOTAL	1914	148	7.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST	941	9	1.0
	2 ND	291	57	19.6
	3 RD	88	8	9.1
	4 ^{TH+}	35	5	14.3
	TOTAL	1355	79	5.8
SAN MATEO	1 ST	1256	12	1.0
	2 ND	395	53	13.4
	3 RD	98	20	20.4
	4 ^{TH+}	25	1	4.0
	TOTAL	1774	86	4.8
SANTA BARBARA	1 ST	1192	9	0.8
	2 ND	327	58	17.7
	3 RD	83	10	12.0
	4 ^{TH+}	27	2	7.4
	TOTAL	1629	79	4.8
SANTA CLARA	1 ST	2647	38	1.4
	2 ND	724	142	19.6
	3 RD	205	42	20.5
	4 ^{TH+}	62	6	9.7
	TOTAL	3638	228	6.3
SANTA CRUZ	1 ST	665	1	0.2
	2 ND	201	43	21.4
	3 RD	43	7	16.3
	4 ^{TH+}	5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	914	51	5.6
SHASTA	1 ST	391	13	3.3
	2 ND	151	41	27.2
	3 RD	45	10	22.2
	4 ^{TH+}	13	2	15.4
	TOTAL	600	66	11.0

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND
DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
SIERRA	1 ST	8	0	0.0
	2 ND	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	9	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1 ST	90	0	0.0
	2 ND	28	5	17.9
	3 RD	7	1	14.3
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	126	6	4.8
SOLANO	1 ST	673	4	0.6
	2 ND	223	45	20.2
	3 RD	83	20	24.1
	4 ^{TH+}	25	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1004	69	6.9
SONOMA	1 ST	1486	36	2.4
	2 ND	450	139	30.9
	3 RD	123	39	31.7
	4 ^{TH+}	27	1	3.7
	TOTAL	2086	215	10.3
STANISLAUS	1 ST	1071	7	0.7
	2 ND	378	47	12.4
	3 RD	99	18	18.2
	4 ^{TH+}	39	1	2.6
	TOTAL	1587	73	4.6
SUTTER	1 ST	219	1	0.5
	2 ND	71	18	25.4
	3 RD	14	5	35.7
	4 ^{TH+}	7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	311	24	7.7
TEHAMA	1 ST	128	1	0.8
	2 ND	37	9	24.3
	3 RD	14	3	21.4
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	180	13	7.2
TRINITY	1 ST	59	0	0.0
	2 ND	13	1	7.7
	3 RD	2	1	50.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	75	2	2.7
TULARE	1 ST	1224	498	40.7
	2 ND	382	96	25.1
	3 RD	148	15	10.1
	4 ^{TH+}	59	8	13.6
	TOTAL	1813	617	34.0

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND
DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
TUOLUMNE	1 ST	162	1	0.6
	2 ND	57	9	15.8
	3 RD	11	5	45.5
	4 ^{TH+}	9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	239	15	6.3
VENTURA	1 ST	1979	18	0.9
	2 ND	497	172	34.6
	3 RD	99	22	22.2
	4 ^{TH+}	26	1	3.8
	TOTAL	2601	213	8.2
YOLO	1 ST	420	5	1.2
	2 ND	125	30	24.0
	3 RD	24	5	20.8
	4 ^{TH+}	11	0	0.0
	TOTAL	580	40	6.9
YUBA	1 ST	188	3	1.6
	2 ND	55	16	29.1
	3 RD	18	3	16.7
	4 ^{TH+}	6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	267	22	8.2

SECTION 4:
POSTCONVICTION SANCTION
EFFECTIVENESS

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents reoffense and crash rates of DUI offenders over various time periods, as well as the methodology and results of evaluations assessing the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism and crash involvement for drivers convicted of alcohol-or drug-related reckless driving and for first DUI offenders.

The first part of this section examines descriptive indicators, such as DUI recidivism and crash rates, for different groups of DUI offenders within different periods of time: 1) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested between 1990-2016, 2) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUID offenders arrested in 2015-2016, 3) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates by county, for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2016, 4) percentages of DUI program referrals, enrollments, and completions for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2016, and 5) long-term recidivism rates of DUI offenders arrested in 2005.

The second part of this section contains the results of the analyses evaluating the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism and crashes for first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month or 9-month DUI programs.

The following are highlights of the findings:

- ◆ The 1-year recidivism rate for first DUI offenders arrested in 2016 was 3.8%, relatively unchanged from the recidivism rates for first DUI offenders in the previous 5 years. The 2016 first offender reoffense rate was 50.0% lower than the reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 1990 (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).
- ◆ The 1-year recidivism rate for second DUI offenders arrested in 2016 was 4.4%, slightly lower than the 4.9% for those arrested in 2015. This rate is a 54.6% decrease from the 9.7% rate for those arrested in 1990 (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).
- ◆ Subsequent 1-year crash rates among second DUI offenders arrested in 2016 was 3.7%, relatively unchanged from 3.6% for those arrested in 2015. This rate is 7.5% lower than the rate for offenders arrested in 1990. The crash rates among first offenders was 4.6% in 2016, which is not very different from 4.5% in 2015. The 2016 first offender crash rate is 13.2%

lower than the 1990 crash rate. The crash rates of both first and second DUI offenders have increased each year since 2011 (see Figure 7 and Table 11a).

- ◆ First and second DUID offenders arrested in 2016 have slightly lower 1-year subsequent DUI-incident rates than that of the overall first and second DUI offenders. However, the 1-year subsequent crash rates are considerably higher among the DUID offenders as compared to that of the overall DUI offenders among both first and second offenders (see Tables 11a and 11b).
- ◆ Over 12 years, DUI recidivism rates of DUI offenders originally convicted in 2005 are consistently lower than the rates of those convicted in 1994 (see Table 12). At the end of 12 years, 26% of the 2005 DUI offenders had at least one subsequent DUI conviction. Also, 28% of the 2005 DUI offenders incurred at least one subsequent DUI incident (see Figure 8a).
- ◆ Over 12 years, DUI recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of third-or-more offenders reoffending was 36%, while 29% of second offenders and 24% of first offenders reoffended (see Figure 8b).
- ◆ Males showed a much higher cumulative percentage (27%) of reoffenses than did females (21%) over the 12-year time period (see Figure 8c).
- ◆ Long term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and the lowest rates with the oldest group (see Figure 8d).
- ◆ After 5 years, the percentage of DUI offenders reoffending in the 2005 group was much lower (17%) compared to the percentages reoffending in the 1984 group (27%) and in the 1980 group (35%), and was slightly lower than the percentage reoffending in the 1994 group (18%). This is shown in Figure 8e.
- ◆ Of the DUI offenders arrested in 2016 who, by court referral, enrolled in a DUI program, 86.9% of first offenders and 43.0% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 13). Due to the longer program length for repeat offenders some second offenders may have still been enrolled in the program at the time this report was completed.
- ◆ One-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month DUI programs were not significantly different than the crash rates of those assigned to 9-month programs (see Table 14). Also, the 1-year postconviction DUI incident rates were not significantly different between the two groups.

Subject Selection and Data Collection Convicted DUI and alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update files which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. Subjects were chosen based on their number of DUI and alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years prior to their DUI arrest in 2016. The following groups of subjects were selected: 1) first DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions within the previous 10 years, 2) second DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction within the previous 10 years, 3) first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month and 9-month DUI programs. In addition, DUI offenders arrested in 2005 and subsequently convicted were selected for the 12-year follow-up evaluation.

The crash and DUI recidivism rates of first and second DUI offenders, and the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for persons convicted of a first DUI offense, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record, as measured by: 1) total crashes and, 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, Administrative Per Se (APS) suspensions, and DUI Failure-to-Appear (FTA) violations. For the 2005 DUI offenders, DUI recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions, along with one comparison of DUI incidents. For first and second DUI offenders, the 1-year subsequent unadjusted crash and DUI reoffense data from all of the previous and current evaluations are included.

To maintain comparability to the previous subject-selection criteria, certain types of offenders had to be excluded. For the sanction analyses among first DUI offenders, previous and current analyses excluded offenders with convictions of a DUI with injury, and those with chemical-test refusal APS suspensions, because their license control penalties were different from those convicted of DUI with no injury. Drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent follow-up period (because of late conviction dates) were also excluded, as were drivers with “X” license numbers (meaning that no California driver license number could be found for that driver) and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. The only exclusions made for the 2005 offenders were out-of-state cases and drivers with “X” license numbers.

DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2016

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident and crash reoffense rates for both first and second DUI offenders were compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS reports and plotted onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time.

Figure 6 shows the percentages of first and second offenders, arrested between 1990 and 2016, who reoffended within 1 year after their conviction.




Figure 6. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2016).

This figure and Table 11a show an overall gradual decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first offenders from 1990 to 2016. The general decline translates into a 50.0% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders from 1990 to 2016. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the implementation of the APS law. As is evident in Figure 6, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of the second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses conducted on first and second offenders.

TABLE 11a: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-
INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND DUI
OFFENDERS, 1990-2016

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
2002	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.3
2003	4.7	6.5	4.8	3.2
2004	4.5	5.9	4.8	3.1
2005	4.7	5.6	4.8	3.0
2006	4.5	5.5	4.6	2.7
2007	4.5	5.4	4.1	2.4
2008	4.7	5.7	3.7	2.3
2009	4.2	5.2	3.1	1.9
2010	4.1	5.2	2.8	1.8
2011	3.8	4.9	2.5	1.7
2012	3.8	4.8	2.9	2.2
2013	3.6	4.6	3.6	2.6
2014	3.7	4.7	4.0	3.2
2015	3.7	4.9	4.5	3.6
2016	3.8	4.4	4.6	3.7
% DIFFERENCE 1990 TO 2016	-50.0%	-54.6%	-13.2%	-7.5%

TABLE 11b: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-
INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND DUID
OFFENDERS, 2015-2016

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS
2015	3.1	5.1	6.2	5.0
2016	3.4	4.0	6.4	5.4
% DIFFERENCE 2015 TO 2016	9.7%	-21.6%	3.2%	8.0%

A similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second offender group, as displayed in Figure 6 and Table 11a, with the greatest rate of decline occurring during the years from 1993 to 1996. Table 11a also shows that, from 1990 to 2016, the reoffense rates decreased 54.6% among second offenders. This is higher than the 50.0% decrease among first DUI offenders across the same time period. The reoffense rates of second offenders remain higher than those of first offenders across all years. While many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that the reduction may largely be attributed to the implementation of major DUI laws enacted in the 1990s or later (e.g., SB 1623 and SB 1150; see Appendix A). Past research evaluations indicated that these DUI law changes were associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents among DUI offenders (DeYoung, 1995, 1997; DeYoung, Tashima & Masten, 2005; Helander, 2002; Peck, Wilson & Sutton, 1995; Rogers, 1995, 1997).

The 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 7 shows the percentages of first and second offenders arrested between 1990 and 2016 who had crashes within 1 year after their conviction.




Figure 7. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2016).

For the fifth consecutive year, crash rates for first and second offenders increased from the prior year. Among first offenders arrested between 1990 and 2016, Figure 7 and Table 11a show an

initial decline in crash rates for the earliest years, followed by an ongoing increase after 1993, and then another decline from 2001 to 2011. However, the 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders increased in 2012 and continued to increase through 2016. The 2016 first offender crash rate is 13.2% lower than the 1990 first offender crash rate, while the rates for second offenders for those same years follow a 7.5% decline in crash involvement.

Overall, second offenders have lower 1-year subsequent crash rates than do first offenders (Figure 7 and Table 11a), which is not surprising considering that repeat offenders are subject to tougher sanctions (e.g., longer-term license suspensions) aimed at keeping these high risk drivers off the road. The fact that second offenders have lower 1-year subsequent crash rates than first offenders has been well documented in past evaluations (Arstein-Kerslake & Peck, 1985; Hagen, 1977; Hagen, McConnell & Williams, 1980; Peck, 1987, 1991; Sadler & Perrine, 1984; Tashima & Marelich, 1989; Tashima & Peck, 1986).

New to this year's report is information on 1-year subsequent DUI-incident and crash rates for first and second DUID offenders arrested in 2015 and 2016. First DUID offenders arrested in 2016 have higher 1-year reoffense rates than those arrested in 2015; the percent difference in their reoffense rates is 9.7%. At the same time, second DUID offenders arrested in 2016 have lower 1-year reoffense rates than those arrested in 2015; their reoffense rates decreased 21.6% for those same years.

The 1-year subsequent crash rates are considerably higher among both first and second DUID offenders arrested in 2015-2016 than that of the overall first and second DUI offenders. Furthermore, the 1-year subsequent crash rates increased in 2016 for both first and second DUID offenders (see Table 11b).

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2016

Table 11c displays the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism rates of offenders arrested in 2016 by county. As shown in this table, among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year varied from 6.5% in Kern to 2.5% in Los Angeles. Among the smaller counties, Mendocino, Merced, Modoc, Solano, and Trinity had DUI recidivism rates above 6.0%, while Alpine, Glenn, Mariposa, and Sierra had no first or second offenders recidivating within 1 year. Second offenders had generally higher DUI recidivism rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, Kern had the highest rate, with 6.6% of second offenders having a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year, whereas second offenders in San Diego County had the lowest rate at 2.9%. It is noteworthy that Riverside, one of the largest counties in the state, had the second highest DUI recidivism rate among second DUI offenders at 6.3%. Among the smaller counties, the DUI recidivism rate for second offenders ranged from 36.4% (Trinity) to 0.0% (Alpine, Calaveras, Del Norte, Glenn, Inyo, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Plumas, and Sierra).

One-year subsequent crash rates, by county, for both first and second offenders arrested in 2016 are displayed in Table 11d. Among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent crash within 1 year varied from 5.4% in Sacramento County to 3.6% in San Diego County. Among the smaller counties, Alpine, Glenn, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, Sierra and Trinity had a 0.0% crash rate. In contrast to DUI recidivism rates, second offenders have generally lower crash rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, second offender 1-year subsequent crash rates varied from 4.8% (Fresno) to 2.7% (San Diego). Among the smaller counties, the rates varied from 18.2% (Trinity) to 0.0% in 14 counties (Alpine, Colusa, Del Norte, Inyo, Kings, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Tehama, and Yuba).

TABLE 11c: 2016 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY
FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 ST OFFENDER		2 ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	1929	3.8	601	4.4
ALAMEDA	45	3.8	17	5.3
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	2	2.3	1	4.6
BUTTE	22	4.4	6	3.8
CALAVERAS	6	4.8	0	0.0
COLUSA	3	4.4	1	4.4
CONTRA COSTA	29	4.2	7	5.3
DEL NORTE	3	3.5	0	0.0
EL DORADO	6	2.0	4	4.0
FRESNO	77	4.5	23	3.9
GLENN	0	0.0	0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	17	4.5	1	1.0
IMPERIAL	6	4.8	4	11.8
INYO	3	5.6	0	0.0
KERN	95	6.5	28	6.6
KINGS	4	3.8	4	8.0
LAKE	6	3.7	3	7.7
LASSEN	1	2.9	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	275	2.5	93	4.0
MADERA	6	4.3	1	2.3
MARIN	17	3.4	8	5.8
MARIPOSA	0	0.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	17	6.8	6	7.1
MERCED	21	6.3	2	2.4
MODOC	1	12.5	0	0.0
MONO	2	4.9	1	7.7
MONTEREY	42	4.9	17	7.5
NAPA	8	2.2	5	5.6
NEVADA	10	5.0	1	1.4
ORANGE	191	3.7	38	3.2
PLACER	24	3.6	3	1.7
PLUMAS	1	1.6	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	153	4.6	53	6.3
SACRAMENTO	97	4.2	41	5.4
SAN BENITO	4	4.1	1	3.6
SAN BERNARDINO	77	3.4	29	4.5
SAN DIEGO	163	3.5	38	2.9
SAN FRANCISCO	5	2.2	1	1.6
SAN JOAQUIN	43	4.1	8	2.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	33	4.5	17	7.3
SAN MATEO	28	3.4	7	2.9
SANTA BARBARA	34	4.2	9	4.7
SANTA CLARA	79	4.0	25	5.0
SANTA CRUZ	23	4.4	11	7.2
SHASTA	12	4.0	1	0.8
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	2	2.9	1	4.6
SOLANO	29	6.7	11	7.9
SONOMA	56	4.9	14	4.2
STANISLAUS	40	4.9	20	7.4
SUTTER	5	3.1	2	4.0
TEHAMA	4	3.8	1	3.2
TRINITY	3	6.8	4	36.4
TULARE	34	3.9	21	8.2
TUOLUMNE	2	1.6	2	4.0
VENTURA	48	3.6	4	1.3
YOLO	11	3.3	5	5.0
YUBA	4	2.7	1	2.4

TABLE 11d: 2016 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 ST OFFENDER		2 ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	2357	4.6	502	3.7
ALAMEDA	60	5.1	14	4.4
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	4	4.6	3	13.6
BUTTE	25	5.0	6	3.8
CALAVERAS	5	4.0	1	2.4
COLUSA	2	2.9	0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	28	4.0	3	2.3
DEL NORTE	1	1.2	0	0.0
EL DORADO	12	4.0	3	3.0
FRESNO	75	4.4	28	4.8
GLENN	0	0.0	1	10.0
HUMBOLDT	15	4.0	2	1.9
IMPERIAL	1	0.8	1	2.9
INYO	3	5.6	0	0.0
KERN	64	4.4	17	4.0
KINGS	6	5.7	0	0.0
LAKE	6	3.7	2	5.1
LASSEN	1	2.9	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	535	4.9	99	4.2
MADERA	4	2.9	1	2.3
MARIN	16	3.2	5	3.6
MARIPOSA	0	0.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	14	5.6	3	3.6
MERCED	15	4.5	1	1.2
MODOC	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	41	4.7	11	4.9
NAPA	18	5.0	1	1.1
NEVADA	12	6.0	3	4.1
ORANGE	270	5.2	41	3.4
PLACER	23	3.5	6	3.3
PLUMAS	3	4.8	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	168	5.0	32	3.8
SACRAMENTO	124	5.4	35	4.6
SAN BENITO	5	5.1	2	7.1
SAN BERNARDINO	114	5.0	27	4.2
SAN DIEGO	166	3.6	35	2.7
SAN FRANCISCO	6	2.6	3	4.8
SAN JOAQUIN	45	4.3	14	4.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	38	5.1	11	4.7
SAN MATEO	29	3.5	10	4.1
SANTA BARBARA	41	5.0	6	3.2
SANTA CLARA	79	4.0	15	3.0
SANTA CRUZ	29	5.6	9	5.9
SHASTA	11	3.6	1	0.8
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	2	2.9	1	4.6
SOLANO	29	6.7	2	1.4
SONOMA	53	4.6	13	3.9
STANISLAUS	41	5.0	12	4.5
SUTTER	9	5.7	0	0.0
TEHAMA	6	5.7	0	0.0
TRINITY	0	0.0	2	18.2
TULARE	32	3.6	5	2.0
TUOLUMNE	4	3.1	2	4.0
VENTURA	51	3.8	9	3.0
YOLO	11	3.3	4	4.0
YUBA	5	3.4	0	0.0

Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 2005 DUI Offenders

Although earlier year's reports displayed long-term recidivism rates for the 1994 DUI offenders over a 20-year time span, it was decided, since the 2017 report, to present the recidivism rates for a more recent group of DUI offenders; the intention is to reflect on more contemporary trends in DUI occurrences, associated DUI law changes, or other more recent efforts to reduce DUI. Therefore, the recidivism rates for the convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2005, over the 12 years following their conviction that resulted from the 2005 arrest, are presented in this year's report. Since all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2005 were included in the 2005 group, it was possible to observe and compare the long term recidivism rates for different sub-groups within the 2005 cohort, and to examine how these sub-groups differ in their long term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were compared. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time of first reoffenses occurring after the initial DUI conviction. Both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 12-year follow-up period for both the 1994 and 2005 groups were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Table 12 shows cumulative percentages of first subsequent DUI reoffenses (convictions) for the 2005 offenders, as well as 9-year cumulative percentages for the 1980, 12-year cumulative percentage for the 1994 and 2005 groups, and 5-year cumulative percentages for the 1984 group. For 20-year cumulative percentages for the 1994 cohort group, see Table 12 in the 2016 annual report.

TABLE 12: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 2005 DUI OFFENDERS AND COHORT GROUPS

YEAR	PERCENTAGE												
	1 ST	2 ND	3 RD	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	66+	1980	1984	1994	2005
1 ST	4	5	6	5	3	5	4	4	2	11	7	5	4
2 ND	8	9	11	9	6	9	8	7	4	19	15	9	8
3 RD	11	13	16	13	9	14	12	10	6	25	20	13	12
4 TH	14	16	20	16	12	17	15	12	7	30	24	16	15
5 TH	16	19	24	18	14	21	17	14	8	35	27	18	17
6 TH	18	21	27	21	15	23	19	16	9	38	NA	21	20
7 TH	20	23	29	22	17	25	21	17	9	40	NA	22	21
8 TH	21	25	31	24	18	27	22	18	10	42	NA	24	23
9 TH	22	26	33	25	19	28	23	19	10	44	NA	25	24
10 TH	23	27	34	26	20	29	24	19	10	NA	NA	26	25
11 TH	23	28	35	27	20	30	25	20	10	NA	NA	27	25
12 TH	24	29	36	27	21	31	26	20	10	NA	NA	28	26

In addition to Table 12, Figure 8a displays recidivism rates for 2005 offenders over 12 years.




Figure 8a. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions, and DUI FTAs) for 2005 DUI offenders.

Figure 8a shows that, at the end of 12 years, 26% of all 2005 offenders were convicted of at least one DUI reoffense. When considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses including all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate is slightly higher at 28%. As evident in previous years, these failure curves are steepest in the several years following the initial conviction, after which they start to flatten out, but are still rising slightly in the 7th through 12th years.

One way to explore the degree of alcohol- and drug-use severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within 10 years (statutorily defined time frame for counting priors) of the 2005 DUI violation. Figure 8b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses for first, second, and third-or-more DUI offenders.

From this graph and Table 12, it is evident that the recidivism failure curves are higher for DUI offenders with higher numbers of prior offenses. The failure rates for third-or-more offenders are consistently higher over the 12-year time period than the failure rates of second or first offenders. At the end of 12 years, for the 2005 group, 36% of third-or-more offenders have reoffended, compared to 29% of second offenders and 24% of first offenders.




Figure 8b. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions for the 2005 DUI offenders.

Because the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (79% in 2005), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 2005 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 8c and Table 12, the percentage of males that reoffend over 12 years is much higher than that of females. At the end of 12 years, 27% of males have reoffended as compared to 21% of females. The failure curve for females is noticeably lower and increases at a slower pace throughout the 12 years than the curve for males.




Figure 8c. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by gender for the 2005 DUI offenders.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed by age as well. Figure 8d displays the failure curves of four age groups. It is evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over 12 years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group; the failure curves of all age groups are steepest during the first few years following the 2005 conviction.

The failure curve of the 66+ group begins to flatten out at the sixth year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality of the oldest group could be associated with their lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be restricting their driving by driving less frequently than the other age groups. After 12 years, 31% and 26% of the two youngest groups reoffended respectively, while 20% of the middle age group (for whom mortality may also be a factor) and 10% of the oldest group recidivated.




Figure 8d. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date) for the 2005 DUI offenders.

The final figure, Figure 8e, compares the 2005 recidivism curves with those of the 1980, 1984, and 1994 cohorts over a 5-year time period.




Figure 8e. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI reoffense of the 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2005 DUI offenders.

Like last year, the reoffense rates of the 2005 cohort over the 5-year time period are shown among the cumulative percentages of the 1980, 1984, and 1994 groups (Figure 8e and Table 12). Because these cohorts of DUI offenders span 25 years, it is possible to consider whether the enactment of major DUI laws over that time period has affected their relative recidivism rates.

Figure 8e reveals that at the end of 5 years, 35% of the 1980 offenders reoffended compared to 27% of the 1984 group, 18% of the 1994, and 17% of the 2005 groups. Quite dramatically, the proportion recidivating in the 1994 and 2005 groups dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 25 years. The notably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions for DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the initial 0.10% per se BAC illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986). Table 12, which compares the 1980 cohort with the 1994 and 2005 groups over 9 years, shows that 44% of the 1980 group recidivated versus 25% of the 1994 and 24% of the 2005 group. There was only a one percentage-point increase in recidivism each year for the 1994 and 2005 groups in years 8 through 10. In the 11th year, there was still a one percentage-point increase for the 1994 group but no change in recidivism for the 2005 group.

Continuing with Figure 8e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions of the 1984 group (27%) versus the 1994 group (18%) and the 2005 group (17%) is substantial. This

reduction in reoffenses is likely due to the enactment of major DUI laws in 1990 or later, most notably SB 1623 (Lockyer) and SB 1150 (Lockyer), which established the APS license action and lowered the BAC legal limit from 0.10% to 0.08% (see Appendix A). Past evaluations documented that such changes in the DUI countermeasure system were associated with reductions in DUI recidivism among DUI offenders (DeYoung, 1995, 1997; DeYoung, Tashima & Masten, 2005; Helander, 2002; Peck, Wilson & Sutton, 1995; Rogers, 1995, 1997).

In summary, the 2005 offenders have long term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These findings are not surprising and are consistent with previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2005 groups with those of the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative percentages of reoffenses were much lower among the 1994 and 2005 offenders. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2005 groups could be attributed, in part, to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders in the past 25 years, including the APS suspension law of 1990.

Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2016

Beginning 10 years ago, this report captures the numbers and proportions of convicted first and second offenders whose records indicate that they had enrolled in and completed a DUI program, upon referral received from the court. Inclusion of the information on enrollments and completions was possible due to the addition of information to each person's driving record that contains data on DUI program enrollment and completion dates, court information relevant to the DUI conviction, and program length.

Table 13 shows the percentages of referrals to the various DUI programs for first and second offenders. It can be seen from this table that 89.6% of first offenders and 78.9% of second offenders were assigned to a DUI program. Among first offenders, 74.1% enrolled in a DUI program, which usually ranges from 3 to 9 months in length, depending upon the offender's BAC level at the time of arrest. Furthermore, 60.9% of second offenders were enrolled in an 18-month DUI program. Of those enrolled in DUI programs, 86.9% of first offenders and 43.0% of second offenders completed their program assignment (some second offenders may still have been enrolled in the program at the time this report was completed).

TABLE 13: COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

OFFENDERS	TOTAL	PROGRAM REFERRALS		PROGRAM ENROLLMENT		PROGRAM COMPLETION		
	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	% ^a	% ^b
1 ST OFFENDERS	71,829	64,332 ^c	89.6	53,210	74.1	46,259	64.4	86.9
2 ND OFFENDERS	19,876	15,687 ^d	78.9	12,095	60.9	5,201	26.2	43.0

^aPercent of total number of DUI offenders. ^bPercent of program enrollees. ^cReferrals to first offender DUI program (3 to 9 months). ^dReferrals to 18-month DUI program.

DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

Methods

Subject Selection and Follow-up Data The basis for evaluating the effectiveness of DUI programs for offenders convicted of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, or for first DUI offenders, was established by legislation. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol- or drug-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in a DUI program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month DUI program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). The courts were required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than 0.20% to at least a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of 0.20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to at least a 6-month program. Starting in 2005, AB 1353 (Liu) increased the duration of DUI intervention programs from 6 to 9 months for first DUI offenders on probation whose BAC levels are 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

In evaluating the relationship between the length of DUI programs and DUI recidivism, first offenders arrested in 2016 and convicted of DUI that showed the 3-month and 9-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for the analysis. The records of 41.2% of first offenders who were ordered to a DUI intervention program either did not indicate the specific length of time of the program or indicated other lengths of time that were not 3 or 9 months. These individuals were excluded from the comparison. Cases further excluded from the analysis were: first DUI offenders convicted of DUI with injury, drivers with “X” license numbers, and drivers with out-of-state ZIP codes. Of the total sample selected, 74% were ordered to 3-month programs, while 26% were ordered to 9-month programs. To explore if the BAC level of first DUI offenders was associated with DUI recidivism, only DUI offenders whose record included BAC level information were included in the comparison.

The conviction date was considered to be the “treatment date” for defining prior and subsequent driving record data for first DUI offenders, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures lasted at least 1 year from the conviction date, ranging from 12 to 29 months.

A buffer period of 4 months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the date of data extraction to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV for first DUI offenders. Offenders from either of these groups who had less than the full 1-year follow-up time period (from conviction date to the end of the evaluation period) were excluded from the evaluation. There were two driver record outcome measures used in these evaluations. The first outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a crash, and the second outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a DUI incident (i.e., alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI FTAs). Only the first crash or the first DUI incident was evaluated, which is not an important limitation because the incidence of repeat failures (two-or-more crashes or DUI incidents) was very low during the evaluation period. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This confounding was avoided by excluding multiple incidents from the analyses.

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices, such as crash and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area (Appendix Table B5). While this “quasi-experimental” design is subject to a number of limitations, the attempt to statistically control for group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a less-confounded comparison of the sanction groups. It is possible, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured or reflected in covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions received by offenders systematically vary through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socioeconomic status may be more likely to enroll in a program, and obtain a license restriction, and less likely to receive jail than are those of lower status).

Prior driver record data were extracted for the 3 years preceding the DUI conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5. Since some of these driver record variables were significantly different between the two groups, they were used

as covariates in the analyses to adjust for differences in the outcomes associated with group differences on these variables.

Following the extraction of covariates, simple correlations were computed between demographic variables, prior driving variables, and the outcome measures (first subsequent crash and first subsequent DUI incident). The demographic and 3-year prior driving variables that had statistically significant correlations with the outcome measures were identified and selected as potential covariates. For each logistic regression analysis, potential interactions between the covariates and treatment/comparison groups were tested. In analyses with significant interactions, the interaction terms are typically included in the final logistic regression models. There were no significant interactions among the first offenders and either of the outcome measures.

DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Driving

The evaluation of the effectiveness of DUI program assignment on drivers convicted of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving violations is not available in this report. The R&D Branch is currently examining potential issues related to the accuracy and completeness of reported conviction abstract information related to court orders to enroll in a DUI treatment program for this type of offender. Upon completion of the examination, the examination findings and any resulting implications will be used to determine how best to fulfill the legislative mandate to conduct this particular evaluation.

Nine-Month DUI Program Evaluation for Repeat Alcohol- or Drug-Related Reckless Drivers

An evaluation of a referral to a 9-month DUI program for offenders with an alcohol- or drug-related reckless conviction who have a prior conviction for alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving or DUI within 10 years, was mandated by AB 2802 (Houston). This legislation requires the courts to order these offenders to enroll in a DUI program for at least 9 months as a condition of probation. The records of persons arrested for DUI in 2016 and subsequently convicted of alcohol- or drug-reckless driving indicate that 925 of them have a prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless conviction. The court-reported conviction abstracts for these offenders show that 56% of them were ordered to DUI programs when they were granted probation. However, the records of only 35 offenders (3.8%) indicated a 9-month DUI program referral. Since this critical information indicating an assignment to the 9-month DUI program was missing on the records for 96.2% of the repeat alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders, it was not possible to evaluate this program referral for the current report.

Results of the Evaluation of 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders

Total Crashes Figure 9 and Table 14 display the results of the evaluation of the relationship between DUI program length and DUI recidivism and crashes among first DUI offenders ordered to 3-month versus 9-month programs. The results show that the length of time of the DUI program is not significantly associated with 1-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders. The crash rate of first offenders ordered to the 9-month program was not very different from that of those ordered to the 3-month program (Table 14). This year's findings are similar to prior years' results that generally did not show significant differences in 1-year subsequent crashes between the two groups.




Figure 9. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2016) by length of DUI program.

DUI Incidents Similar to last year's results, Figure 9 and Table 14 indicate that first DUI offenders ordered to the 3-month program do not have significantly different 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program. The reoffense rate of those ordered to the 3-month program had directionally lower reoffense rate (17.1%) than that of those ordered to the 9-month program. However, this difference was not large enough to be statistically significant. In evaluations prior to the last 8 years, results indicated that DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program had significantly more subsequent DUI incidents than offenders ordered to the 3-month program. That was not surprising given that first DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program have higher BAC levels (0.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than DUI offenders with lower BAC levels. Therefore, in those prior years, two further subanalyses were

conducted to determine whether BAC level was associated with the outcomes of this evaluation. The results of these two subanalyses generally confirmed that first DUI offenders with higher BAC levels (0.20% and above) were more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels. Also, when BAC level is held constant, there were no significant differences in the DUI incident rates between DUI offenders ordered to the 3-month DUI program and those ordered to the 9-month program.

TABLE 14: THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) =	
				$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$	$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$
2016 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	3-MONTH PROGRAM (GRP 1)	21,013	4.59	-2.2% ^a	17.1% ^a
	9-MONTH PROGRAM (GRP 2)	7,331	4.49		

^aThese differences in % rates are not statistically significant.

Starting 8 years ago, BAC level information has been included in the initial analysis as a covariate so that its effects on the outcome measures (1-year subsequent crashes and DUI incidents) were removed before assessment of the relationship between ordered program length and DUI recidivism among first DUI offenders. When the effect of BAC level on DUI recidivism was removed, the results indicated that being ordered to the extended 9-month DUI program does not appear to be associated with fewer DUI incidents than being ordered to the 3-month program, which is comparable to the findings in prior years.

The effectiveness of increasing the duration of time for DUI intervention programs has also not been supported in the literature. DeYoung (1995) examined the effectiveness of lengthening SB 38 alcohol treatment programs from 12 to 18 months for second offenders and found no evidence that the additional 6 months reduced DUI recidivism.

Another limitation of these analyses should be noted. Since this study only included first offenders whose conviction abstracts had information on the length of DUI program, there may be additional unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, the statistical

control of group differences based on available covariates would be expected to remove at least part of the bias.

SECTION 5:
LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCAATION
ACTIONS

SECTION 5: LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCATION ACTIONS

Data on DMV license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) based upon either DUI arrest or DUI conviction are presented in this section. These statutorily-mandated actions are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement Administrative Per Se (APS) report (0.08% BAC, zero tolerance, DUI probation violation, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action.

This section includes the following tables:

Table 15: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 2007-2017. This table shows APS and postconviction license disqualification totals from 2007 through 2017.

Table 16: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measures data from 2015 to 2017.

The following statements are based on the data shown in the previously listed tables.

- ◆ The total number of DMV APS and DUI postconviction S/R actions decreased by 5.0% in 2017, continuing the trend of 8 consecutive years of decline.
- ◆ In 2017, 115,374 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 73.6% were first-offender actions (including “zero tolerance” actions taken for drivers under age 21) and 26.4% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 15).
- ◆ The number of chemical test refusal actions (excluding those later set aside) increased by 2.5% in 2017, after increasing by only 0.1% in 2016.
- ◆ Total APS actions (including actions later set aside) decreased by 4.2% in 2017, following a 7.6% decrease in 2016 (see Table 16).
- ◆ APS actions were set aside for 10.5% of all APS actions initiated in 2017, relatively unchanged from the 2016 rate of 10.6%.

- ◆ Total postconviction S/R actions decreased by 5.8% in 2017, compared to 4.6% decrease in 2016 (see Table 15).
- ◆ APS and postconviction S/R action decreases in 2016 and 2017 are consistent with the decline in DUI arrests for the same years.

TABLE 15: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2007-2017

DUI license actions	Year										
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Total mandatory suspension/revocation (S/R) actions	362859	392319	382111	351802	337700	313870	286981	264833	255357	239491	227595
PRECONVICTION											
Administrative Per Se (APS) Actions	192213	204332	198851	183743	177231	163522	150337	139405	130468	120339	115374
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	22112	22180	20861	18684	17463	14835	11750	10213	9074	8184	7227
.08 First-offender suspensions	123594	132266	127933	117884	114858	106562	99475	93014	86933	80371	77689
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	42979	46388	46747	44101	42127	39563	35646	32823	31093	28439	27032
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426
Commercial driver actions	3862 ^a	4355 ^a	3964 ^a	3614 ^a	3108 ^a	2983 ^a	2782 ^a	2498	2322	2087	1988
Chemical test refusal actions	9581	9390	8737	8275	7520	7069	9214	9089	9257	9262	9489
.01 Test refusal suspensions	426	433	372	354	279	280	300	286	293	269	248
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5627	5459	5055	4847	4458	4227	5448	5448	5596	5648	6118
.08 Test refusal revocations	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426
POSTCONVICTION^b											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1061	917	482	538	351	312	311	253	246	234	249
First-offender suspensions	124436	136480	132709	120254	113749	107035	93897	81845	82570	78933	74400
Misdemeanor	122102	133987	130462	118168	111760	105013	91809	79955	80492	76712	72116
Felony	2334	2493	2247	2086	1989	2022	2088	1890	2078	2221	2284
Second-offender S/R actions	34296	38266	37836	35565	34519	32156	32408	32935	31587	29948	28029
Misdemeanor	33649	37568	37155	34928	33878	31533	31771	32275	30896	29183	27271
Felony	647	658	681	637	641	623	637	660	691	765	758
Third-offender revocations	8063	9164	9187	8905	8918	8083	7665	8239	8160	7699	7358
Misdemeanor	7830	8933	8945	8707	8662	7852	7446	8019	7938	7453	7111
Felony	233	231	242	198	256	231	219	220	222	246	247
Fourth-or-more-offender revocations	2790	3200	3046	2797	2932	2762	2363	2156	2326	2338	2185
Total postconviction S/R actions	170646	187987	183260	168059	160469	150348	136644	125428	124889	119152	112221

^aPrevious counts have been adjusted to include commercial driver APS actions not previously identified as such.

^bThese totals might include multiple license action activities associated with the same event.

TABLE 16: ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	2015	2016	2017
Total APS actions initiated (including actions later set aside):	145,663	134,595	128,904
Total .08 ^a APS actions set aside	14,235	13,278	12,707
Total .01 ^b suspensions set aside	960	978	823
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	130,468	120,339	115,374
Net total .08 APS actions	121,394	112,155	108,147
Net total .01 actions	9,074	8,184	7,227
Net APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:^c			
Net total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	128,146	118,252	113,386
Net total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	2,322	2,087	1,988
Net total actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	70	102	90
Net APS .08 actions for drivers with no priors ^d	86,933	80,371	77,689
4-month license suspensions	60,431	56,597	54,839
Non-CDL 30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE ^e restrictions	19,400	16,798	15,734
First-offender chemical test refusals	5,596	5,648	5,815
CDL first offender suspensions	1,171	1,065	1,031
Net APS .08 actions taken for drivers with priors	34,461	31,784	30,458
Suspensions	31,093	28,439	27,032
Revocations	3,368	3,345	3,426
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions initiated (including actions later set aside)	9,614	9,653	9,917
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	339	373	414
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	18	18	14
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,257	9,262	9,489
Net total .08 refusal actions	8,964	8,993	9,241
Net total .01 refusal actions	293	269	248
Chemical test refusal rate (including actions later set aside)	6.6%	7.2%	7.7%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no priors ^f	5,596	5,648	6,118
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with priors	3,368	3,345	3,426
APS Hearings:^g			
Total .08 and .01 in person or telephone APS hearings scheduled	49,757	48,614	43,281
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing ^h	34.2%	36.1%	33.6%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	47,113	45,943	41,188
.08 actions set aside following hearings	3,828	3,985	3,796
Percentage of .08 APS actions set aside following hearings	8.1%	8.7%	9.2%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	2,506	2,533	2,025
.01 actions set aside following hearings	206	205	158
Percentage of .01 APS actions set aside following hearings	8.2%	8.1%	7.8%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	3,797	4,147	3,746
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	3,702	4,058	3,658
.08 APS refusal actions set aside following hearings	293	356	342

^a.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

^b.01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

^cAll entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

^dPriors for these APS actions are defined in CVC 13353.3.

^eThis restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course of employment (COE, enacted 1/1/95), and to and from DUI program.

^fDefined in CVC 13353.

^gThese figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings, and departmental reviews.

^hBoth numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6:
DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING
ALCOHOL AND DRUGS

SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS

This section presents data on drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved crashes, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol. Only crashes involving injury or fatality are included, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) crashes.¹ Beginning with the 2013 DUI-MIS Report, in addition to information about drivers under the influence of alcohol, this section contains information about drivers under the influence of drugs and about drivers under the influence of both alcohol and drugs. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 17: DUI Arrests Associated with Reported Crashes, 2006-2016. This table shows the number and percentage of DUI arrests associated with reported crashes from 2006-2016.

Table 18: 2016 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Race/Ethnicity and Impairment Type. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of impairment type and race/ethnicity for 2016 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes.

Table 19: 2016 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Adjudication Status and Impairment Type. This table cross tabulates crash-involved drivers' impairment type (from law enforcement crash reports) with the court disposition for DUI convictions associated with those crash involvements.

Table 20: 2016 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by County and Impairment Type. This table shows the number of alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes, by county and impairment type.

Table 21: Alcohol-Involved Drivers Under Age 21 in Fatal/Injury Crashes, 2006-2016. This table shows the total number of alcohol-involved drivers under age 21 in fatal/injury crashes in California. It also shows their percentage of the total count of alcohol-involved drivers in the state over the same time period.

Table 22a: 2016 Alcohol-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Gender. This table shows the total number of 2016 alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by age and gender.

¹Among 2016 DUI arrestees, 27,308 (21.0%) were involved in a reported traffic crash; 10,722 of the crashes included an injury or fatality, and 16,586 involved property damage only.

Table 22b: 2016 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Gender (Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). This table shows the number of 2016 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by age and gender who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash.

Tables 23a-23b: 2016 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Impairment Type and Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2016 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by impairment type and prior DUI conviction status, both total (23a) and for drivers who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash (23b).

Tables 24a-24b: 2016 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2016 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by number of prior DUI convictions, both total (24a) and for drivers who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash (24b).

Table 25: 2016 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes. This table shows the mean, median, and frequency distribution of BAC levels for alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes in 2016.

Figure 10: Percentages of Crash Injuries and Fatalities that were Alcohol-Involved, 2007-2017. Figure 10 (opposite page) shows the annual percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 2006 to 2016. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI Summary Statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.

Figure 11: Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Crash Fatalities, 1995-2017. Figure 11 (opposite page) shows numbers of alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities from 1995 to 2017. It also shows a breakdown of the number of fatalities when only alcohol was known to be involved, when only drugs were involved, or when both alcohol and drugs were involved in the fatality.




Figure 10. Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2007-2017.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- ◆ The percentage of alcohol-involved crash fatalities increased from 31.7% in 2016 to 33.1% in 2017 (see Figure 10).
- ◆ The percentage of alcohol-involved crash injuries remained relatively unchanged from 9.8% in 2016 to 9.7% in 2017 (see Figure 10 and DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ The total number of alcohol- and/or drug-involved crash fatalities increased by 8.5% in 2017, following a decrease of 0.4% in 2016. The greatest proportion of these crash fatalities remains alcohol-related (see Figure 11).
- ◆ While the number of alcohol-involved fatalities declined by about 4% over the past 22 years, the number of drug-involved fatalities increased by about 212% over the same time period. Some of the increase in the number of fatalities reported as drug-involved over this time period may be associated with an increase in training and ability of California law enforcement to detect and report drug involvement in fatal crashes in recent years (see Figure 11).
- ◆ Of all 2016 DUI arrests, 21.0% were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 17.4% in 2015. 8.2% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, somewhat higher than 6.9% in 2015 (see Table 17).




Figure 11. Alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities, 1995-2017

- ◆ The percentage of alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes under the age of 21 decreased from 12.2% in 2006 to 7.5% in 2016 (see Table 21).
- ◆ Among drivers in fatal/injury crashes in 2016 with reported involvement of alcohol and/or drugs, different levels of alcohol only related impairment were determined and reported by law enforcement for 88.9% of these drivers, drug only involvement was reported for 8.9% of them, while involvement of both alcohol and drugs was reported for 2.3% of these drivers (see Table 18).
- ◆ Among the 2016 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes in the larger counties, the percentage of drivers with drug-related impairment varied from 8.2% in Santa Clara to 16.3% in Orange (see Table 20). Among the smaller counties with more than 10 of such drivers, the reported percentage of drivers with drug-involved impairment was around 20% or above: Glenn (35.3%), Lake (20.8%), Modoc (20.0%), Ventura (20.0%), and Mendocino (19.5%).
- ◆ Among alcohol- and drug-involved drivers, 42.8% do not have a record of any conviction in connection with their involvement in a fatal/injury crash. In 41.2% (3,400/8,255) of these non-convicted cases, the crash report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired (see Table 19).
- ◆ The majority of drug-involved as well as drug- and alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes are not convicted for DUI associated with the crash and do not have either prior DUI or alcohol- and drug-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years indicated on their records (see Tables 19 and 23a).
- ◆ Over three-fourths (76.8%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a). In contrast, the majority (60.9%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction.
- ◆ The median BAC level of alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes was 0.16% in 2016, the same as in 2015 (see Table 25).

TABLE 17: DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 2006-2016^a

ARRESTS/ CRASHES	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	197248	203866	214811	208531	195879	180212	172893	160388	154743	141372	130054
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES	15.5%	15.3%	14.2%	13.4%	12.6%	13.0%	13.8%	14.4%	15.3%	17.4%	21.0%
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH FATAL/INJURY CRASHES	6.3%	6.1%	5.5%	5.2%	4.8%	5.0%	5.4%	5.6%	6.0%	6.9%	8.2%

^aThese data include DUI arrest cases where the driver license was found in the DMV database and whose DUI arrest date matched the crash involvement date found on their driver record.

TABLE 18: 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY									
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		UNKNOWN	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		21055	100.0	7440	35.3	8953	42.5	1837	8.7	1600	7.6	1225	5.8
IMPAIRMENT TYPE	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14099	67.0	4896	34.7	6634	47.1	1167	8.3	1026	7.3	376	2.7
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1790	8.5	379	21.2	523	29.2	147	8.2	78	4.4	663	37.0
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2817	13.4	1021	36.2	1096	38.9	281	10.0	314	11.1	105	3.7
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	477 ^b	2.3	211	44.2	176	36.9	50	10.5	26	5.5	14	2.9
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1872	8.9	933	49.8	524	28.0	192	10.3	156	8.3	67	3.6

^a For each impairment level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2016 California Highway Patrol data files.

^b 90.4% (431) of the drivers who were alcohol- and drug-involved were alcohol impaired (BAC .08% and above).

TABLE 19: 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
				MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		YOUTH DUI		OTHER CONVICTION		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		19270	100.0	7993	41.5	2397	12.4	607	3.1	1	0.0	17	0.1	8255	42.8
IMPAIRMENT TYPE	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	13422	70.0	7371	54.9	2136	15.9	514	3.8	1	0.0	0	0.0	3400	25.3
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	908	5.0	52	5.7	26	2.9	8	0.9	0	0.0	1	0.1	821	90.4
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2663	14.0	13	0.5	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	2646	99.4
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	464 ^b	2.0	113	24.4	49	10.6	9	1.9	0	0.0	3	0.6	290	62.5
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1813	9.0	444	24.5	184	10.1	74	4.1	0	0.0	13	0.7	1098	60.6

^a For each impairment level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2016 California Highway Patrol data files, and include only cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

^b 90.3% (419) of the drivers who were alcohol- and drug-involved were alcohol impaired (BAC .08% and above).

TABLE 20: 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE^a

COUNTY	TOTAL	IMPAIRMENT TYPE					
		ALCOHOL INVOLVED		DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED		DRUG-INVOLVED	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	19270	16993	88.2	464	2.4	1813	9.4
ALAMEDA	660	589	89.2	9	1.4	62	9.4
ALPINE	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	28	25	89.3	0	0.0	3	10.7
BUTTE	157	138	87.9	2	1.3	17	10.8
CALAVERAS	48	41	85.4	2	4.2	5	10.4
COLUSA	15	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	398	354	88.9	8	2.0	36	9.0
DEL NORTE	21	19	90.5	0	0.0	2	9.5
EL DORADO	146	119	81.5	5	3.4	22	15.1
FRESNO	375	340	90.7	9	2.4	26	6.9
GLENN	17	11	64.7	1	5.9	5	29.4
HUMBOLDT	157	130	82.8	9	5.7	18	11.5
IMPERIAL	53	50	94.3	0	0.0	3	5.7
INYO	25	23	92.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
KERN	400	350	87.5	6	1.5	44	11.0
KINGS	56	49	87.5	2	3.6	5	8.9
LAKE	53	42	79.2	2	3.8	9	17.0
LASSEN	20	18	90.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
LOS ANGELES	4845	4391	90.6	90	1.9	364	7.5
MADERA	100	91	91.0	2	2.0	7	7.0
MARIN	110	104	94.5	1	0.9	5	4.5
MARIPOSA	10	9	90.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
MENDOCINO	82	66	80.5	2	2.4	14	17.1
MERCED	183	157	85.8	5	2.7	21	11.5
MODOC	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	229	205	89.5	6	2.6	18	7.9
NAPA	89	80	89.9	1	1.1	8	9.0
NEVADA	79	74	93.7	0	0.0	5	6.3
ORANGE	1439	1204	83.7	41	2.8	194	13.5
PLACER	142	117	82.4	5	3.5	20	14.1
PLUMAS	18	18	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	1061	894	84.3	48	4.5	119	11.2
SACRAMENTO	853	748	87.7	14	1.6	91	10.7
SAN BENITO	93	89	95.7	1	1.1	3	3.2
SAN BERNARDINO	1313	1144	87.1	41	3.1	128	9.7
SAN DIEGO	1699	1496	88.1	44	2.6	159	9.4
SAN FRANCISCO	312	281	90.1	5	1.6	26	8.3
SAN JOAQUIN	422	366	86.7	17	4.0	39	9.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	149	133	89.3	3	2.0	13	8.7
SAN MATEO	275	243	88.4	10	3.6	22	8.0
SANTA BARBARA	225	200	88.9	6	2.7	19	8.4
SANTA CLARA	661	607	91.8	17	2.6	37	5.6
SANTA CRUZ	187	170	90.9	2	1.1	15	8.0
SHASTA	140	117	83.6	2	1.4	21	15.0
SIERRA	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	37	35	94.6	0	0.0	2	5.4
SOLANO	220	191	86.8	4	1.8	25	11.4
SONOMA	315	285	90.5	7	2.2	23	7.3
STANISLAUS	334	306	91.6	5	1.5	23	6.9
SUTTER	103	88	85.4	2	1.9	13	12.6
TEHAMA	50	45	90.0	0	0.0	5	10.0
TRINITY	26	25	96.2	0	0.0	1	3.8
TULARE	233	205	88.0	9	3.9	19	8.2
TUOLUMNE	72	59	81.9	1	1.4	12	16.7
VENTURA	405	324	80.0	13	3.2	68	16.8
YOLO	106	93	87.7	3	2.8	10	9.4
YUBA	14	12	85.7	0	0.0	2	14.3

^aThese data are derived from the 2016 California Highway Patrol data files and include only cases where the driver record was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 21: ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 IN FATAL/INJURY
CRASHES, 2006-2016^a

AGE		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
TOTAL ALL AGES)	N	21031	21045	19604	17874	16501	16231	16325	15892	16633	17633	19133
UNDER 18	N	344	369	316	239	233	190	199	174	150	147	164
	%	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.9
18-20	N	2226	2171	1901	1831	1641	1569	1379	1201	1214	1204	1271
	%	10.6	10.3	9.7	10.2	9.9	9.7	8.4	8.4	7.3	6.8	6.6
UNDER 21	N	2570	2540	2217	2070	1874	1759	1578	1375	1364	1351	1,435
	%	12.2	12.1	11.3	11.6	11.4	10.8	9.7	8.7	8.2	7.7	7.5

^aThese data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions. The figures for 2016 were provided by California Highway Patrol on September 30, 2019.

TABLE 22a: 2016 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY
AGE AND GENDER^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	19133	100.0	14276	74.6	4857	25.4
UNDER 18	164	0.9	120	73.2	44	26.8
18-20	1271	6.6	951	74.8	320	25.2
21-30	7814	40.8	5661	72.4	2153	27.6
31-40	3903	20.4	2914	74.7	989	25.3
41-50	2196	11.5	1618	73.7	578	26.3
51-59	1700	8.9	1268	74.6	432	25.4
60-69	900	4.7	712	79.1	188	20.9
70 & ABOVE	272	1.4	199	73.2	73	26.8
AGE UNKNOWN	913	4.8	833	91.2	80	8.8

^aThese data are derived from the 2016 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions. The figures were provided by California Highway Patrol on September 30, 2019.

TABLE 22b: 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY
CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR
CONVICTED)^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	5342	100.0	3908	73.2	1434	26.8
UNDER 18	42	0.8	37	88.1	5	11.9
18-20	293	5.5	210	71.7	83	28.3
21-30	2056	38.5	1517	73.8	539	26.2
31-40	1149	21.5	836	72.8	313	27.2
41-50	703	13.2	506	72.0	197	28.0
51-59	573	10.7	429	74.9	144	25.1
60-69	360	6.7	263	73.1	97	26.9
70 & ABOVE	166	3.1	110	66.3	56	33.7

^aThese data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 23a: 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT TYPE AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
						ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
						N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		19270	100.0	7983	41.4	8530	44.3	2164	11.2	463	2.4	130	0.7
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	13422	69.7	3498	26.1	7478	55.7	1924	14.3	403	3.0	119	0.9
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	908	4.7	699	77.0	156	17.2	38	4.2	13	1.4	2	0.2
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2663	13.8	2460	92.4	160	6.0	38	1.4	5	0.2	0	0.0
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	464	2.4	255	55.0	157	33.8	39	8.4	9	1.9	4	0.9
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1813	9.4	1071	59.1	579	31.9	125	6.9	33	1.8	5	0.3

^aThese data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only those cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 23b: 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT TYPE AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
						ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
						N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		5342	100.0	4727	88.5	481	9.0	108	2.0	22	0.4	4	0.1
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1044	19.5	857	82.1	151	14.5	27	2.6	6	0.6	3	0.3
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	770	14.4	647	84.0	96	12.5	21	2.7	5	0.6	1	0.1
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2591	48.5	2403	92.7	150	5.8	35	1.4	3	0.1	0	0.0
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	192	3.6	149	77.6	29	15.1	13	6.8	1	0.5	0	0.0
	DRUG-INVOLVED	745	13.9	671	90.1	55	7.4	12	1.6	7	0.9	0	0.0

^aThese figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 24a: 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS^a

DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	19270	100.0	7983	41.4	8530	44.3	2164	11.2	463	2.4	130	0.7
WITH FATALITIES	1196 ^b	6.2	919	76.8	218	18.2	45	3.8	11	0.9	3	0.3
WITH INJURIES	18074	93.8	7064	39.1	8312	46.0	2119	11.7	452	2.5	127	0.7

^aThese data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only those cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

^bThe records of 60.5% (723) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 24b: 2016 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)^a

DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	5342	100.0	4727	88.5	481	9.0	108	2.0	22	0.4	4	0.1
WITH FATALITIES	917 ^b	17.2	788	85.9	103	11.2	20	2.2	5	0.5	1	0.1
WITH INJURIES	4425	82.8	3939	89.0	378	8.5	88	2.0	17	0.4	3	0.1

^aThese figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

^bThe records of 77.5% (711) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 25: 2016 REPORTED^a BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF ALCOHOL- AND DRUG- INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	511	4.4
.01	36	0.3
.02	45	0.4
.03	72	0.6
.04	82	0.7
.05	96	0.8
.06	161	1.4
.07	217	1.9
.08	351	3.0
.09	420	3.6
.10	473	4.1
.11	533	4.6
.12	588	5.1
.13	625	5.4
.14	695	6.0
.15	694	6.0
.16	699	6.0
.17	721	6.2
.18	686	5.9
.19	613	5.3
.20	547	4.7
.21	506	4.4
.22	421	3.6
.23	333	2.9
.24	293	2.5
.25	238	2.1
.26	198	1.7
.27	144	1.2
.28	119	1.0
.29	105	0.9
.30	64	0.6
.31	61	0.5
.32	53	0.5
.33	47	0.4
.34	36	0.3
.35	29	0.3
.36	18	0.2
.37	17	0.2
.38	11	0.1
.39	9	0.1
.40	6	0.1
.41	6	0.1
.42	1	0.0
.43	2	0.0
.44	4	0.0
.45	1	0.0
TOTAL	11587	100.0
	MEAN ^b BAC .16	
	MEDIAN ^b BAC .16	

^aThe BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes (58.4% of the records showed BAC levels).

^bThe calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug-involved drivers.

REFERENCES

- Arstein-Kerslake, G. W., & Peck, R. C. (1985). A typological analysis of California DUI offenders and DUI recidivism correlates (Report No. 100). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2016). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1995). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of California drinking driver programs* (Report No. 146). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J., Masten, S. V., & Tashima, H. N. (2005). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of ignition interlock in California* (Report No. 217). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Guenzburger, G. V., & Atkinson, D. B. (2012). *Differences between jail sentences and jail terms actually served among DUI offenders in selected Californian counties* (Report No. 239). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Hagen, R. E. (1977). *Effectiveness of license suspension for drivers convicted of multiple driving-under-the-influence offenses* (Report No. 59). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Hagen, R. E., McConnell, E. J., & Williams, R. L. (1980). *Suspension and revocation effects on the DUI offender* (Report No. 75). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system* (Report No. 121). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Helander, C. J. (2002). *DUI countermeasures in California: What works and what doesn't with recommendations for Legislative reform* (Report No. 197). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2009). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 228). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2010). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 231). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2011). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 233). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2012). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 236). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2013). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 243). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S. (2014). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 246). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., Tashima, H. N., & Grippe, R. (2015). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 250). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2018). *2017 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 257). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2020). *2018 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 259). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Peck, R. C. (1987). *An overview of study findings and policy implications: An Evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 112). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving*, 7(1), 13-42.
- Peck, R. C., Wilson, J., & Sutton, L. (1995). *Driver license strategies for controlling the persistent DUI offender* (Unpublished report). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Rogers, P. N. (1995). *The general deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws Volume 1* (Report No. 158). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Sadler, D. D., & Perrine, M. W. (1984). *The long-term traffic safety impact of a pilot alcohol abuse treatment as an alternative to license suspensions: Volume 2 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 90). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2004). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 206). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2005). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 211). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Marelich, W. D. (1989). *A comparison of the relative effectiveness of alternative sanctions for DUI offenders: Volume I of development of a DUI accident and recidivism tracking system* (Report No. 122). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2006). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 220). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2007). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 222). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2008). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 224). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2018). *2016 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 256). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Peck, R. C. (1986). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effects of alternative sanctions for first and repeat DUI offenders: Volume 3 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 95). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A**HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975**

AB 2717 (Lackey), effective 1/1/2019, clarifies that enhanced penalties for refusing to submit to driving under the influence chemical test apply only to a person who refuses to submit to or complete the breath or urine test. In addition, this bill requires a peace officer to advise a person that their failure to submit to a chemical test would result in the administrative license suspension or revocation of the driving privilege. This bill also changes the conditions under which a peace officer can request a blood test if the officer suspects that the person was driving under the influence.

SB 611 (Hill), effective 1/1/2018, makes clarifying and conforming changes to specific provisions of SB 1046 (Hill) in regards to IID programs and driver license restriction requirements for persons convicted of first and repeat alcohol- and/or drug-related DUI offenses during the period between January 1, 2019 and January 1, 2026.

SB 2687 (Achadjian), effective 7/1/2018, amends Sections 23152 and 23153 of the Vehicle Code, to make it unlawful for a person with a BAC of 0.04% or more, to drive a vehicle when a “passenger-for-hire” is in the vehicle at the time of the offense. This bill also makes it unlawful for a person with a BAC of 0.04% or more, to drive a motor vehicle and at the same time do any act that causes bodily injury to another person other than the driver.

SB 1046 (Hill), effective 1/1/2017, extends the existing ignition interlock pilot program in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare Counties, authorized by AB 91, until January 1, 2019. In addition, effective January 1, 2019 until January 1, 2026, this bill allows persons convicted of a first alcohol-related DUI offense and requires persons convicted of a first alcohol-related DUI offense with injury and persons convicted of a repeat alcohol-related DUI offense to install an ignition interlock device for a specific period of time (relative to specific DUI offense and number of prior DUI violations). These persons were able to apply for a restricted driver license without serving any period of license suspension or revocation. This bill would require ignition interlock device manufacturers to adopt a fee schedule that provides for the payment of the cost of the ignition interlock device in amounts proportionate to the offenders’ income relative to the federal poverty level. The bill requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to report data to the California State Transportation Agency regarding the implementation and efficacy of the ignition interlock program enacted by provisions of this law by March 1, 2024.

SB 61 (Hill), effective 1/1/2016, extends the existing ignition interlock pilot program in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare Counties authorized by AB 91 law until July 1, 2017. This pilot program mandates the use of an ignition interlock device for all persons convicted of CVC Sections 23152 and 23153 in the four pilot counties during the pilot program implementation period.

AB 2552 (Torres), effective 1/1/2014, amends and repeals Sections 23152 and 23153 of the Vehicle Code, to separate and define distinctly the offenses of driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, drug, or combined influence of alcohol and drugs, including causing bodily injury while committing any of these offenses.

AB 2020 (Pan), effective 1/1/2013, removes the option to choose a urine test to determine the drug content level for a person lawfully arrested for driving under the influence of drugs or the combination of alcohol and drugs. The bill specifies that the person's only options are a blood or breath test. A person consents to a urine test if a blood test is unavailable or if the person is exempted from a blood test for medical reasons.

AB 520 (Ammiano), effective 1/1/2012, allows persons convicted of alcohol-reckless driving and who have no more than two prior alcohol-related convictions within 10 years, to obtain an IID restricted license after completing a 90-day APS suspension period, if they enroll in a 9-month DUI program, provide proof of financial responsibility, pay the necessary fees, and provide proof of IID installation. The license restriction remains in effect for the remainder of the 12-month APS suspension period.

AB 1601 (Hill), effective 1/1/2012, authorizes the court to order a 10-year revocation of the driver license of a person who has been convicted of three-or-more DUI offenses if the court considers certain factors. This bill also allows a person whose driver license is revoked for 10 years to apply to DMV for driver license reinstatement, 5 years from the date of the last DUI conviction, if certain conditions are met; these conditions include, among other things, that the person was not convicted of any other drug- or alcohol-related offenses during the driver license revocation period.

AB 91 (Feuer), effective 7/01/2010, establishes a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires convicted first-time and repeat DUI offenders, as a condition of obtaining a restricted driver's license, to install an ignition interlock device (IID) on all vehicles they own or operate. The required time period for the IID installation is

based on the number of prior DUI convictions. The law also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to evaluate the effectiveness of the pilot program in reducing the recidivism rate of DUI offenders and to report its findings to the legislature.

SB 895 (Huff), effective 6/22/2010, provides clean-up legislation for SB 598. This bill terminates the 1-year Administrative Per Se (APS) license suspension if the person has been convicted of a DUI as stated under SB 598, and the person meets all specified conditions for a restricted driver's license including the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID).

SB 598 (Huff), effective 7/01/2010, requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to advise second and third offenders convicted of misdemeanor DUI (alcohol only), of the option of obtaining a restricted driver's license after completing a 90-day suspension period for a second misdemeanor DUI, or a 6-month suspension period for a third misdemeanor DUI. The issuance of a restricted driver's license is subject to certain conditions, among which are the installation and maintenance of an ignition interlock device (IID) in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates, and enrollment in a DUI program.

SB 1388 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/2009, transfers regulatory authority for the administration of mandatory ignition interlock device (IID) programs from the state courts to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). This law also authorizes the DMV to require any driver convicted of driving with a suspended license, due to a prior conviction for DUI, to install an IID in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates.

SB 1190 (Oropeza), effective 1/1/2009, reduces the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court may require first time offenders convicted of a DUI to install an ignition interlock device (IID) from 0.20% to 0.15% at the time of arrest.

AB 2802 (Houston), effective 1/1/2009, requires the court to order a person convicted of alcohol-reckless driving to participate in a licensed DUI program for at least 9 months, if that person has a prior conviction for alcohol-reckless driving or DUI within 10 years. This law requires the court to revoke the person's probation for failure to enroll in, participate in, or complete the program. It also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to include in the annual report to the Legislature an evaluation of the effectiveness of that program.

AB 1165 (Maze), effective 1/1/2009, authorizes law enforcement to issue a notice of suspension and impound the vehicle of a convicted DUI offender who is on probation and is driving with

a BAC of 0.01% or greater (as measured by a preliminary alcohol screen test or other chemical test).

SB 1756 (Migden), effective 1/1/2007, extends driver's license suspension from 6 to 10 months for a person convicted of a first DUI offense, who is granted probation, and whose blood alcohol level (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuses to take a chemical test.

AB 2520 (Committee on Transportation), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to immediately suspend (APS action) the commercial driver's license of a driver operating a commercial vehicle with a blood alcohol level (BAC) of 0.04% or greater.

AB 2559 (Benoit), effective 1/1/2007, reorganizes the section of the Penal Code 192(c)(3) related to gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated, to include the offense where the intoxication was a contributing factor in the killing.

AB 2752 (Spitzer), effective 1/1/2007, makes it an infraction for a person under the age of 21 to drive with any measurable (0.01% or greater) blood alcohol concentration. Persons under the age of 21 will now be subject to criminal penalties.

AB 3045 (Koretz), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to verify installment of an ignition interlock device (IID) before reinstating the driving privilege, when an IID restriction is imposed by the courts.

SB 207 (Scott), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a statewide administrative vehicle impoundment program for repeat DUI offenders, when the driver's BAC level is 0.10% or more by weight, or when the driver refuses to submit to a chemical test. If the driver has one prior DUI conviction within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 5 days, and if the driver has two or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 15 days.

SB 547 (Cox), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a pilot program in Sacramento County that would authorize a peace officer to impound a person's vehicle for up to 30 days, if the driver has one or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years. Vehicle impoundment will take place in combination with a DUI intervention program established by the county. This bill remained operative until January 1, 2009, and required the county to report the effectiveness of the pilot program to the Legislature.

SB 571 (Levine), effective 1/1/2006, lowers the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court must consider enhanced penalties from 0.20% to 0.15%, if a person is convicted of DUI.

AB 979 (Runner), effective 1/1/2006, reduces the mandatory suspension/revocation period, from a 12- to 30-month range to 12 months for repeat DUI offenders, before they become eligible to obtain a restricted driver's license. The license restriction requires the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID). This bill allows for a mandatory 30-day vehicle impoundment period if a person is operating the vehicle in violation of the ignition interlock device restriction.

AB 1353 (Liu), effective 9/20/2005, increases the duration of DUI programs from 6 to 9 months (consisting of at least 60 hours of program activities) for first DUI offenders, who are granted probation, and whose blood alcohol content (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

SB 1694 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, increases the time period from 7 to 10 years during which convictions considered as prior for DUI will be counted for enhanced penalties (includes DUI convictions of persons under age 21). This law also requires the court to order a person convicted of a prior DUI to complete a DUI program, even though that prior conviction occurred more than 10 years ago, and authorizes the court to order the person to complete a repeat offender DUI program. Finally, it expands court-ordered participation in a county alcohol/drug assessment program to all persons convicted of a repeat DUI offense within 10 years of a prior offense.

SB 1696 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, requires DUI program providers to send proof of enrollment in, or proof of completion of, the programs directly to DMV, and prohibits the DMV from receiving the certificates from program participants.

SB 1697 (Torlakson), effective 9/20/2005, assigns sole responsibility for imposing APS and DUI-related post-conviction driver license actions to DMV, and removes this responsibility from the courts. It also ensures that for all persons convicted of a DUI, license restriction, suspension, or revocation of the driving privilege are DMV's responsibility.

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004, prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a "critical need to drive") from issuing a restricted drivers license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of

0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within 7 years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with a BAC of 0.05% or more, to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program. Upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury. This remedies an oversight in the law which provided for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury, but did not specify sanctions for cases involving injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/1999, extends the suspension period for a second DUI offender from 18 months to 2 years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license, with continued enrollment in a DUI program and installation of an ignition interlock device. It also requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed 3 years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury crashes. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for 6 months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work

and DUI program, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for 1 year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under CVC Section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under Section 23575(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with, or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain CVC sections to specify that completion of a DUI program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1999, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurt), effective 1/1/1999, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/1999, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, order a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least 3 months (minimum 30 hours) or longer in a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least 6 months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention

programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/1998, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for 4 years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1998, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within 7 years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, be ordered to enroll, participate in, and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit or any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/1997, cited as “Courtney’s Law”; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1997, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first Failure to Appear (FTA) for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1996, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 3148 (Katz), effective 6/30/1995, prescribes procedures for the forfeiture of a motor vehicle if the driver of the vehicle has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, and if the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/1995, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1995, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to 2 years revocation for the second offense in 7 years and 3 years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC Section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1995, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be 6 months, rather than 4 months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/1994, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (CVC 23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (CVC 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1994, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month APS suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat DUI offenders, CVC 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for 1 to 3 years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person

cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of CVC 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1994, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for 1 year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/1993, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within 7 years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of 1 to 3 years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for 1 year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/1993, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/1992, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the driver's licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/1992, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed APS suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/1992, amends CVC Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to 6 months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of CVC 2800.2 or 2800.3 (evading a peace officer in

a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within 7 years of a violation of CVC Sections 23103, 23152, 23153, or Penal Code Sections 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/1990, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases and permits the court to grant subjects revoked for three-or-more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation period have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/1990, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from 0.10% to 0.08%, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under CVC Sections 13353 and 13353.2 to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/1990, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed \$100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/1990, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of Penal Code Sections 191.5 or 192(c3), CVC 23152 which occurred within 7 years of two or more convictions of CVC 23152 or CVC 23153, or a violation of CVC 23153 which occurred within 7 years of one or more convictions of CVC 23152 or CVC 23153 or the cited Penal Code sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1990, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of 0.08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990 for vessel provisions and 1/1/1992 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of 0.04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above 0.01%, but less than 0.04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/1990, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within 7 years as “habitual traffic offenders.”

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/1989, allows the fourth DUI within 7 years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than 1 year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a 1-year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/1989, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay issuing the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/1989, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for 1 year, or delay issuing the driving privilege of those 13 years or older for 1 year, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/1989, requires all first DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/1988, requires a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense to show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1988, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than 1 year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/1987, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former Penal Code Section 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/1987, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of 0.05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1987, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from 5 to 7 years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/1986, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from 1 year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three-

or-more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/1985, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20% percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1/1/1985, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within 5 years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/1985, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within 5 years. For third-and-subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1984, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (CVC Sections 23152, 23153).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/1982, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of 6 months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/1982, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of 0.10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (0.05%-0.09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1982, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor,

while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for 1 year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of \$390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three-or-more DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are assigned to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from 7 to 5 years. Conviction of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for 1 year and a license restriction for 2 years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1978, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1976, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se (“on-the-spot”) license suspension or revocation occurs immediately upon arrest for the following reasons: a person was driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, a person refuses a chemical test, a commercial driver was driving a commercial vehicle with a BAC of 0.04% or more, or a person was on probation for a violation of Section 23152 or 23153 and had a BAC of 0.01% or more. Also, in January 1994, California enacted a “zero tolerance” statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED CRASH

Alcohol-involved crashes are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the crash report that the driver “had-been-drinking (HBD).”

ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction refers to a conviction of the California Vehicle Code (VC) Section VC 23103.5 of reckless driving involving alcohol and/or drugs. It is typically associated with DUI arrests with weaker circumstances (for example, BAC level lower than or close to .08%) and results in lesser penalties and sanctions than a DUI conviction. Alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., .01, .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction refers to a violation of a specific California Vehicle Code Section reported by courts to DMV in the abstract of conviction. Since courts' abstracts of conviction can be amended, corrected, or dismissed, the conviction totals reported here are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152, 23153, 23140, of the California Vehicle Code, Penal Codes 191.5a, b, US Codes J36FR46, J36423, and out of state DUI codes.

DUI CONVICTION RATE

Percent of total number of DUI arrests in a given calendar year that resulted in DUI convictions (total DUI convictions/total DUI arrests * 100).

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred crashes and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless-driving and hit-and-run convictions.

MEAN

Arithmetic average computed by adding up all the values and dividing them by the number of values.

MEDIAN

The median is the midpoint in a set of values arranged from lowest to highest, so that half of the values are below and half are above.

P

P stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference found or one larger would occur by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely to be due to chance alone.

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. Relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with
Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to

driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		123548	95510	77.3	28038	22.7	41452	33.6	60561	49.0	11186	9.1	10349	8.4
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	3	21.4	7	50.0	4	28.6	0	0.0
	18-20	218	154	70.6	64	29.4	43	19.7	118	54.1	24	11.0	33	15.1
	21-30	2093	1537	73.4	556	26.6	434	20.7	888	42.4	377	18.0	394	18.8
	31-40	1204	921	76.5	283	23.5	267	22.2	456	37.9	279	23.2	202	16.8
	41-50	681	530	77.8	151	22.2	189	27.8	221	32.5	180	26.4	91	13.4
	51-60	447	360	80.5	87	19.5	171	38.3	86	19.2	149	33.3	41	9.2
	61-70	136	105	77.2	31	22.8	67	49.3	13	9.6	43	31.6	13	9.6
	71 & ABOVE	27	23	85.2	4	14.8	12	44.4	8	29.6	6	22.2	1	3.7
	TOTAL	4820	3641	75.5	1179	24.5	1186	24.6	1797	37.3	1062	22.0	775	16.1
ALPINE	21-30	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3	0	0.0
	41-50	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	5	2	40.0	3	60.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	15	88.2	1	5.9	1	5.9	0	0.0
AMADOR	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	61	45	73.8	16	26.2	40	65.6	15	24.6	2	3.3	4	6.6
	31-40	34	23	67.6	11	32.4	27	79.4	5	14.7	0	0.0	2	5.9
	41-50	26	21	80.8	5	19.2	21	80.8	3	11.5	1	3.8	1	3.8
	51-60	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	20	87.0	1	4.3	2	8.7	0	0.0
	61-70	22	16	72.7	6	27.3	19	86.4	1	4.5	0	0.0	2	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	176	131	74.4	45	25.6	135	76.7	27	15.3	5	2.8	9	5.1
BUTTE	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3
	18-20	53	46	86.8	7	13.2	26	49.1	20	37.7	2	3.8	5	9.4
	21-30	456	336	73.7	120	26.3	306	67.1	94	20.6	19	4.2	37	8.1
	31-40	244	183	75.0	61	25.0	176	72.1	38	15.6	12	4.9	18	7.4
	41-50	169	115	68.0	54	32.0	124	73.4	30	17.8	9	5.3	6	3.6
	51-60	123	89	72.4	34	27.6	107	87.0	7	5.7	4	3.3	5	4.1
	61-70	60	39	65.0	21	35.0	55	91.7	3	5	1	1.7	1	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	12	85.7	0	0.0	1	7.1	1	7.1
	TOTAL	1126	826	73.4	300	26.6	812	72.1	192	17.1	48	4.3	74	6.6

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	18-20	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	74	60	81.1	14	18.9	58	78.4	8	10.8	1	1.4	7	9.5
	31-40	44	31	70.5	13	29.5	30	68.2	10	22.7	2	4.5	2	4.5
	41-50	40	28	70.0	12	30.0	34	85.0	4	10.0	0	0.0	2	5.0
	51-60	49	35	71.4	14	28.6	46	93.9	1	2.0	1	2.0	1	2.0
	61-70	21	14	66.7	7	33.3	16	76.2	3	14.3	0	0.0	2	9.5
	71 & ABOVE	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	237	175	73.8	62	26.2	193	81.4	26	11.0	4	1.7	14	5.9
COLUSA	18-20	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	2	22.2	6	66.7	1	11.1	0	0.0
	21-30	44	38	86.4	6	13.6	20	45.5	22	50.0	0	0.0	2	4.5
	31-40	33	33	100.0	0	0.0	16	48.5	17	51.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	20	13	65.0	7	35.0	9	45.0	10	50.0	1	5.0	0	0.0
	51-60	19	13	68.4	6	31.6	14	73.7	4	21.1	1	5.3	0	0.0
	61-70	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	136	115	84.6	21	15.4	71	52.2	60	44.1	3	2.2	2	1.5
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	13	10	76.9	3	23.1	7	53.8	5	38.5	1	7.7	0	0.0
	18-20	103	78	75.7	25	24.3	35	34.0	51	49.5	5	4.9	12	11.7
	21-30	1042	777	74.6	265	25.4	322	30.9	435	41.7	171	16.4	114	10.9
	31-40	650	494	76.0	156	24.0	172	26.5	258	39.7	152	23.4	68	10.5
	41-50	431	331	76.8	100	23.2	169	39.2	138	32.0	85	19.7	39	9.0
	51-60	276	213	77.2	63	22.8	145	52.5	49	17.8	67	24.3	15	5.4
	61-70	104	76	73.1	28	26.9	58	55.8	16	15.4	20	19.2	10	9.6
	71 & ABOVE	20	15	75.0	5	25.0	15	75.0	1	5.0	3	15.0	1	5.0
	TOTAL	2639	1994	75.6	645	24.4	923	35.0	953	36.1	504	19.1	259	9.8
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	10	71.4	2	14.3	0	0.0	2	14.3
	21-30	53	38	71.7	15	28.3	38	71.7	4	7.5	1	1.9	10	18.9
	31-40	50	40	80.0	10	20.0	44	88.0	2	4.0	0	0.0	4	8.0
	41-50	27	19	70.4	8	29.6	18	66.7	3	11.1	2	7.4	4	14.8
	51-60	31	15	48.4	16	51.6	25	80.6	3	9.7	0	0.0	3	9.7
	61-70	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	185	132	71.4	53	28.6	145	78.4	14	7.6	3	1.6	23	12.4

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	18-20	24	13	54.2	11	45.8	18	75.0	3	12.5	1	4.2	2	8.3
	21-30	232	172	74.1	60	25.9	176	75.9	35	15.1	9	3.9	12	5.2
	31-40	178	116	65.2	62	34.8	145	81.5	23	12.9	3	1.7	7	3.9
	41-50	99	63	63.6	36	36.4	76	76.8	13	13.1	6	6.1	4	4.0
	51-60	110	67	60.9	43	39.1	100	90.9	4	3.6	1	0.9	5	4.5
	61-70	57	44	77.2	13	22.8	54	94.7	3	5.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	TOTAL	720	492	68.3	228	31.7	587	81.5	81	11.3	20	2.8	32	4.4
FRESNO	UNDER 18	28	24	85.7	4	14.3	4	14.3	21	75.0	1	3.6	2	7.1
	18-20	202	161	79.7	41	20.3	36	17.8	143	70.8	9	4.5	14	6.9
	21-30	1499	1157	77.2	342	22.8	261	17.4	979	65.3	96	6.4	163	10.9
	31-40	828	631	76.2	197	23.8	172	20.8	517	62.4	58	7.0	81	9.8
	41-50	439	348	79.3	91	20.7	107	24.4	255	58.1	34	7.7	43	9.8
	51-60	288	227	78.8	61	21.2	108	37.5	146	50.7	17	5.9	17	5.9
	61-70	108	81	75.0	27	25.0	52	48.1	43	39.8	11	10.2	2	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	22	18	81.8	4	18.2	13	59.1	9	40.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3414	2647	77.5	767	22.5	753	22.1	2113	61.9	226	6.6	322	9.4
GLENN	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
	18-20	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	4	44.4	4	44.4	0	0.0	1	11.1
	21-30	51	38	74.5	13	25.5	23	45.1	21	41.2	4	7.8	3	5.9
	31-40	34	27	79.4	7	20.6	15	44.1	14	41.2	1	2.9	4	11.8
	41-50	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	15	57.7	10	38.5	0	0.0	1	3.8
	51-60	30	24	80.0	6	20.0	21	70.0	8	26.7	0	0.0	1	3.3
	61-70	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	10	76.9	3	23.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	168	131	78.0	37	22.0	90	53.6	62	36.9	6	3.6	10	6.0
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	18-20	42	31	73.8	11	26.2	20	47.6	10	23.8	1	2.4	11	26.2
	21-30	465	337	72.5	128	27.5	349	75.1	69	14.8	19	4.1	28	6.0
	31-40	297	219	73.7	78	26.3	221	74.4	45	15.2	7	2.4	24	8.1
	41-50	130	93	71.5	37	28.5	101	77.7	10	7.7	6	4.6	13	10.0
	51-60	87	64	73.6	23	26.4	78	89.7	3	3.4	2	2.3	4	4.6
	61-70	52	41	78.8	11	21.2	50	96.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1088	798	73.3	290	26.7	833	76.6	137	12.6	35	3.2	83	7.6

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	2	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	80	61	76.3	19	23.7	8	10.0	69	86.3	0	0.0	3	3.8
	21-30	252	186	73.8	66	26.2	33	13.1	207	82.1	5	2.0	7	2.8
	31-40	152	121	79.6	31	20.4	17	11.2	124	81.6	3	2.0	8	5.3
	41-50	104	90	86.5	14	13.5	25	24.0	74	71.2	2	1.9	3	2.9
	51-60	61	49	80.3	12	19.7	18	29.5	40	65.6	2	3.3	1	1.6
	61-70	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	9	47.4	8	42.1	0	0.0	2	10.5
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	674	526	78.0	148	22.0	111	16.5	527	78.2	12	1.8	24	3.6
INYO	18-20	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	36	28	77.8	8	22.2	15	41.7	7	19.4	0	0.0	14	38.9
	31-40	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	8	50.0	2	12.5	1	6.3	5	31.3
	41-50	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	5	35.7	3	21.4	1	7.1	5	35.7
	51-60	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	11	78.6	3	21.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0
	TOTAL	100	82	82.0	18	18.0	54	54.0	18	18.0	2	2.0	26	26.0
KERN	UNDER 18	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	3	20.0	12	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	234	206	88.0	28	12.0	52	22.2	169	72.2	9	3.8	4	1.7
	21-30	1856	1442	77.7	414	22.3	444	23.9	1217	65.6	138	7.4	57	3.1
	31-40	973	771	79.2	202	20.8	250	25.7	613	63.0	81	8.3	29	3.0
	41-50	479	388	81.0	91	19.0	147	30.7	266	55.5	52	10.9	14	2.9
	51-60	256	205	80.1	51	19.9	122	47.7	100	39.1	24	9.4	10	3.9
	61-70	106	84	79.2	22	20.8	60	56.6	30	28.3	12	11.3	4	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	26	25	96.2	1	3.8	15	57.7	11	42.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3945	3135	79.5	810	20.5	1093	27.7	2418	61.3	316	8.0	118	3.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	42	33	78.6	9	21.4	9	21.4	29	69.0	1	2.4	3	7.1
	21-30	281	219	77.9	62	22.1	69	24.6	181	64.4	16	5.7	15	5.3
	31-40	184	148	80.4	36	19.6	39	21.2	128	69.6	10	5.4	7	3.8
	41-50	96	79	82.3	17	17.7	21	21.9	60	62.5	6	6.3	9	9.4
	51-60	61	50	82.0	11	18.0	21	34.4	31	50.8	5	8.2	4	6.6
	61-70	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	10	41.7	11	45.8	3	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	690	552	80.0	138	20.0	171	24.8	440	63.8	41	5.9	38	5.5

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	18-20	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	7	50.0	4	28.6	1	7.1	2	14.3
	21-30	123	94	76.4	29	23.6	71	57.7	35	28.5	4	3.3	13	10.6
	31-40	108	84	77.8	24	22.2	66	61.1	27	25.0	6	5.6	9	8.3
	41-50	61	41	67.2	20	32.8	40	65.6	14	23.0	3	4.9	4	6.6
	51-60	66	45	68.2	21	31.8	51	77.3	12	18.2	2	3.0	1	1.5
	61-70	32	22	68.8	10	31.3	26	81.3	2	6.3	2	6.3	2	6.3
	71 & ABOVE	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	6	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3
	TOTAL	411	303	73.7	108	26.3	267	65.0	94	22.9	18	4.4	32	7.8
LASSEN	18-20	4	1	25.0	3	75.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0
	21-30	34	29	85.3	5	14.7	27	79.4	2	5.9	2	5.9	3	8.8
	31-40	22	14	63.6	8	36.4	18	81.8	1	4.5	0	0.0	3	13.6
	41-50	21	12	57.1	9	42.9	19	90.5	0	0.0	1	4.8	1	4.8
	51-60	11	6	54.5	5	45.5	8	72.7	1	9.1	0	0.0	2	18.2
	61-70	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	4	57.1	2	28.6	1	14.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	100	69	69.0	31	31.0	79	79.0	6	6.0	4	4.0	11	11.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	41	32	78.0	9	22.0	8	19.5	27	65.9	3	7.3	3	7.3
	18-20	1149	898	78.2	251	21.8	154	13.4	853	74.2	58	5.0	84	7.3
	21-30	11156	8462	75.9	2694	24.1	1738	15.6	7290	65.3	1133	10.2	995	8.9
	31-40	6177	5032	81.5	1145	18.5	999	16.2	3785	61.3	826	13.4	567	9.2
	41-50	3566	2910	81.6	656	18.4	670	18.8	2030	56.9	582	16.3	284	8.0
	51-60	2206	1858	84.2	348	15.8	604	27.4	975	44.2	476	21.6	151	6.8
	61-70	678	562	82.9	116	17.1	205	30.2	279	41.2	130	19.2	64	9.4
	71 & ABOVE	114	90	78.9	24	21.1	53	46.5	32	28.1	24	21.1	5	4.4
	TOTAL	25087	19844	79.1	5243	20.9	4431	17.7	15271	60.9	3232	12.9	2153	8.6
MADERA	UNDER 18	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	3	30.0	5	50.0	0	0.0	2	20.0
	18-20	77	70	90.9	7	9.1	13	16.9	60	77.9	1	1.3	3	3.9
	21-30	436	358	82.1	78	17.9	93	21.3	318	72.9	9	2.1	16	3.7
	31-40	258	212	82.2	46	17.8	59	22.9	184	71.3	8	3.1	7	2.7
	41-50	161	133	82.6	28	17.4	51	31.7	97	60.2	7	4.3	6	3.7
	51-60	95	74	77.9	21	22.1	47	49.5	42	44.2	3	3.2	3	3.2
	61-70	34	29	85.3	5	14.7	19	55.9	11	32.4	2	5.9	2	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	4	44.4	4	44.4	0	0.0	1	11.1
	TOTAL	1080	893	82.7	187	17.3	289	26.8	721	66.8	30	2.8	40	3.7

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	51	42	82.4	9	17.6	16	31.4	28	54.9	4	7.8	3	5.9
	21-30	345	276	80.0	69	20.0	145	42.0	165	47.8	13	3.8	22	6.4
	31-40	204	151	74.0	53	26.0	85	41.7	88	43.1	11	5.4	20	9.8
	41-50	168	113	67.3	55	32.7	102	60.7	46	27.4	10	6.0	10	6.0
	51-60	122	83	68.0	39	32.0	92	75.4	18	14.8	7	5.7	5	4.1
	61-70	73	46	63.0	27	37.0	65	89.0	4	5.5	2	2.7	2	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	20	14	70.0	6	30.0	17	85.0	1	5.0	2	10.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	991	732	73.9	259	26.1	527	53.2	353	35.6	49	4.9	62	6.3
MARIPOSA	18-20	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	30	23	76.7	7	23.3	21	70.0	6	20.0	0	0.0	3	10.0
	31-40	29	21	72.4	8	27.6	24	82.8	2	6.9	1	3.4	2	6.9
	41-50	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	13	81.3	3	18.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	18	10	55.6	8	44.4	14	77.8	1	5.6	0	0.0	3	16.7
	61-70	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	105	77	73.3	28	26.7	82	78.1	14	13.3	1	1.0	8	7.6
	MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	0
18-20		32	28	87.5	4	12.5	13	40.6	12	37.5	4	12.5	3	9.4
21-30		193	156	80.8	37	19.2	112	58.0	61	31.6	4	2.1	16	8.3
31-40		144	118	81.9	26	18.1	91	63.2	40	27.8	3	2.1	10	6.9
41-50		82	66	80.5	16	19.5	60	73.2	15	18.3	0	0.0	7	8.5
51-60		69	54	78.3	15	21.7	54	78.3	11	15.9	2	2.9	2	2.9
61-70		30	23	76.7	7	23.3	27	90.0	3	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		560	454	81.1	106	18.9	365	65.2	143	25.5	14	2.5	38	6.8
MERCED	UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	1	11.1	6	66.7	0	0.0	2	22.2
	18-20	86	70	81.4	16	18.6	12	14.0	68	79.1	4	4.7	2	2.3
	21-30	586	472	80.5	114	19.5	99	16.9	429	73.2	24	4.1	34	5.8
	31-40	322	268	83.2	54	16.8	64	19.9	222	68.9	19	5.9	17	5.3
	41-50	164	136	82.9	28	17.1	38	23.2	97	59.1	18	11.0	11	6.7
	51-60	110	88	80.0	22	20.0	39	35.5	56	50.9	9	8.2	6	5.5
	61-70	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	13	43.3	12	40.0	3	10.0	2	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	2	33.3	4	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1313	1073	81.7	240	18.3	268	20.4	894	68.1	77	5.9	74	5.6

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	21-30	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	11	73.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	26.7
	41-50	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	47	35	74.5	12	25.5	38	80.9	5	10.6	0	0.0	4	8.5
	MONO	18-20	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	0
21-30		44	35	79.5	9	20.5	31	70.5	10	22.7	0	0.0	3	6.8
31-40		31	27	87.1	4	12.9	21	67.7	6	19.4	2	6.5	2	6.5
41-50		20	16	80.0	4	20.0	13	65.0	5	25.0	1	5.0	1	5.0
51-60		12	5	41.7	7	58.3	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
61-70		8	5	62.5	3	37.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		123	95	77.2	28	22.8	88	71.5	26	21.1	3	2.4	6	4.9
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	4	22.2	13	72.2	0	0.0	1	5.6
	18-20	174	142	81.6	32	18.4	15	8.6	146	83.9	4	2.3	9	5.2
	21-30	886	718	81.0	168	19.0	152	17.2	689	77.8	23	2.6	22	2.5
	31-40	471	391	83.0	80	17.0	94	20.0	337	71.5	22	4.7	18	3.8
	41-50	253	190	75.1	63	24.9	76	30.0	163	64.4	8	3.2	6	2.4
	51-60	129	91	70.5	38	29.5	66	51.2	49	38.0	6	4.7	8	6.2
	61-70	74	51	68.9	23	31.1	52	70.3	18	24.3	2	2.7	2	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	17	10	58.8	7	41.2	14	82.4	2	11.8	0	0.0	1	5.9
	TOTAL	2022	1607	79.5	415	20.5	473	23.4	1417	70.1	65	3.2	67	3.3
NAPA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	33	27	81.8	6	18.2	4	12.1	27	81.8	0	0.0	2	6.1
	21-30	246	174	70.7	72	29.3	102	41.5	124	50.4	6	2.4	14	5.7
	31-40	158	135	85.4	23	14.6	72	45.6	69	43.7	6	3.8	11	7.0
	41-50	109	84	77.1	25	22.9	48	44.0	54	49.5	1	0.9	6	5.5
	51-60	83	60	72.3	23	27.7	52	62.7	19	22.9	2	2.4	10	12.0
	61-70	45	36	80.0	9	20.0	39	86.7	5	11.1	0	0.0	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	12	6	50.0	6	50.0	11	91.7	0	0.0	1	8.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	688	524	76.2	164	23.8	328	47.7	300	43.6	16	2.3	44	6.4

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	7	77.8	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	155	108	69.7	47	30.3	120	77.4	20	12.9	5	3.2	10	6.5
	31-40	102	74	72.5	28	27.5	86	84.3	7	6.9	4	3.9	5	4.9
	41-50	63	43	68.3	20	31.7	53	84.1	6	9.5	2	3.2	2	3.2
	51-60	61	41	67.2	20	32.8	57	93.4	3	4.9	0	0.0	1	1.6
	61-70	31	23	74.2	8	25.8	31	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	426	300	70.4	126	29.6	359	84.3	38	8.9	11	2.6	18	4.2
ORANGE	UNDER 18	39	28	71.8	11	28.2	12	30.8	21	53.8	1	2.6	5	12.8
	18-20	567	450	79.4	117	20.6	174	30.7	329	58.0	16	2.8	48	8.5
	21-30	4641	3500	75.4	1141	24.6	1445	31.1	2473	53.3	196	4.2	527	11.4
	31-40	2160	1729	80.0	431	20.0	744	34.4	1085	50.2	82	3.8	249	11.5
	41-50	1301	1011	77.7	290	22.3	536	41.2	544	41.8	39	3.0	182	14.0
	51-60	946	700	74.0	246	26.0	569	60.1	264	27.9	31	3.3	82	8.7
	61-70	290	216	74.5	74	25.5	187	64.5	55	19.0	14	4.8	34	11.7
	71 & ABOVE	65	46	70.8	19	29.2	48	73.8	9	13.8	3	4.6	5	7.7
	TOTAL	10009	7680	76.7	2329	23.3	3715	37.1	4780	47.8	382	3.8	1132	11.3
PLACER	UNDER 18	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	7	70.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	56	44	78.6	12	21.4	39	69.6	14	25.0	1	1.8	2	3.6
	21-30	423	322	76.1	101	23.9	293	69.3	80	18.9	24	5.7	26	6.1
	31-40	275	198	72.0	77	28.0	202	73.5	45	16.4	11	4.0	17	6.2
	41-50	198	131	66.2	67	33.8	144	72.7	32	16.2	10	5.1	12	6.1
	51-60	150	104	69.3	46	30.7	118	78.7	18	12.0	7	4.7	7	4.7
	61-70	54	39	72.2	15	27.8	45	83.3	2	3.7	3	5.6	4	7.4
	71 & ABOVE	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1178	855	72.6	323	27.4	860	73.0	194	16.5	56	4.8	68	5.8
PLUMAS	18-20	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	41	23	56.1	18	43.9	34	82.9	2	4.9	1	2.4	4	9.8
	31-40	23	16	69.6	7	30.4	19	82.6	2	8.7	1	4.3	1	4.3
	41-50	13	10	76.9	3	23.1	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	20	11	55.0	9	45.0	18	90.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	1	5.0
	61-70	12	8	66.7	4	33.3	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	121	78	64.5	43	35.5	107	88.4	6	5.0	2	1.7	6	5.0

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	29	21	72.4	8	27.6	7	24.1	16	55.2	3	10.3	3	10.3
	18-20	528	431	81.6	97	18.4	125	23.7	342	64.8	33	6.3	28	5.3
	21-30	3556	2745	77.2	811	22.8	917	25.8	2182	61.4	299	8.4	158	4.4
	31-40	1796	1425	79.3	371	20.7	506	28.2	1037	57.7	176	9.8	77	4.3
	41-50	1079	831	77.0	248	23.0	378	35.0	549	50.9	93	8.6	59	5.5
	51-60	783	603	77.0	180	23.0	423	54.0	264	33.7	67	8.6	29	3.7
	61-70	240	185	77.1	55	22.9	142	59.2	70	29.2	19	7.9	9	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	64	49	76.6	15	23.4	45	70.3	15	23.4	3	4.7	1	1.6
	TOTAL	8075	6290	77.9	1785	22.1	2543	31.5	4475	55.4	693	8.6	364	4.5
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	3	27.3	6	54.5	1	9.1	1	9.1
	18-20	152	125	82.2	27	17.8	57	37.5	56	36.8	19	12.5	20	13.2
	21-30	1449	1049	72.4	400	27.6	528	36.4	467	32.2	217	15.0	237	16.4
	31-40	853	641	75.1	212	24.9	315	36.9	260	30.5	167	19.6	111	13.0
	41-50	481	346	71.9	135	28.1	180	37.4	132	27.4	112	23.3	57	11.9
	51-60	350	255	72.9	95	27.1	158	45.1	53	15.1	104	29.7	35	10.0
	61-70	126	97	77.0	29	23.0	65	51.6	23	18.3	25	19.8	13	10.3
	71 & ABOVE	23	20	87.0	3	13.0	13	56.5	3	13.0	2	8.7	5	21.7
	TOTAL	3445	2540	73.7	905	26.3	1319	38.3	1000	29.0	647	18.8	479	13.9
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	5	23.8	16	76.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	114	86	75.4	28	24.6	27	23.7	78	68.4	4	3.5	5	4.4
	31-40	53	45	84.9	8	15.1	11	20.8	39	73.6	0	0.0	3	5.7
	41-50	57	48	84.2	9	15.8	16	28.1	39	68.4	1	1.8	1	1.8
	51-60	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	9	50.0	8	44.4	1	5.6	0	0.0
	61-70	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	4	40.0	5	50.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	283	227	80.2	56	19.8	75	26.5	192	67.8	7	2.5	9	3.2
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	24	23	95.8	1	4.2	8	33.3	14	58.3	1	4.2	1	4.2
	18-20	363	289	79.6	74	20.4	72	19.8	247	68.0	26	7.2	18	5.0
	21-30	3396	2620	77.1	776	22.9	764	22.5	2130	62.7	326	9.6	176	5.2
	31-40	1919	1537	80.1	382	19.9	487	25.4	1084	56.5	244	12.7	104	5.4
	41-50	1038	825	79.5	213	20.5	281	27.1	572	55.1	133	12.8	52	5.0
	51-60	793	646	81.5	147	18.5	328	41.4	289	36.4	141	17.8	35	4.4
	61-70	212	176	83.0	36	17.0	112	52.8	56	26.4	36	17.0	8	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	40	35	87.5	5	12.5	19	47.5	13	32.5	3	7.5	5	12.5
	TOTAL	7785	6151	79.0	1634	21.0	2071	26.6	4405	56.6	910	11.7	399	5.1

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	49	31	63.3	18	36.7	22	44.9	22	44.9	2	4.1	3	6.1
	18-20	623	497	79.8	126	20.2	201	32.3	331	53.1	43	6.9	48	7.7
	21-30	4537	3359	74.0	1178	26.0	1602	35.3	2073	45.7	450	9.9	412	9.1
	31-40	2123	1613	76.0	510	24.0	921	43.4	851	40.1	180	8.5	171	8.1
	41-50	1212	901	74.3	311	25.7	578	47.7	461	38.0	93	7.7	80	6.6
	51-60	937	710	75.8	227	24.2	548	58.5	255	27.2	80	8.5	54	5.8
	61-70	326	248	76.1	78	23.9	219	67.2	66	20.2	32	9.8	9	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	59	38	64.4	21	35.6	47	79.7	8	13.6	3	5.1	1	1.7
	TOTAL	9866	7397	75.0	2469	25.0	4138	41.9	4067	41.2	883	8.9	778	7.9
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	43	31	72.1	12	27.9	15	34.9	18	41.9	3	7.0	7	16.3
	21-30	360	271	75.3	89	24.7	125	34.7	99	27.5	47	13.1	89	24.7
	31-40	241	185	76.8	56	23.2	85	35.3	53	22.0	51	21.2	52	21.6
	41-50	105	85	81.0	20	19.0	58	55.2	7	6.7	24	22.9	16	15.2
	51-60	64	51	79.7	13	20.3	32	50.0	6	9.4	12	18.8	14	21.9
	61-70	23	20	87.0	3	13.0	15	65.2	1	4.3	2	8.7	5	21.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	841	647	76.9	194	23.1	332	39.5	185	22.0	141	16.8	183	21.8
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	3	30.0	7	70.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	102	79	77.5	23	22.5	29	28.4	60	58.8	4	3.9	9	8.8
	21-30	863	657	76.1	206	23.9	220	25.5	454	52.6	101	11.7	88	10.2
	31-40	545	439	80.6	106	19.4	144	26.4	275	50.5	54	9.9	72	13.2
	41-50	322	245	76.1	77	23.9	112	34.8	148	46.0	40	12.4	22	6.8
	51-60	206	151	73.3	55	26.7	93	45.1	65	31.6	37	18.0	11	5.3
	61-70	94	77	81.9	17	18.1	50	53.2	20	21.3	17	18.1	7	7.4
	71 & ABOVE	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	5	41.7	5	41.7	1	8.3	1	8.3
	TOTAL	2154	1665	77.3	489	22.7	656	30.5	1034	48.0	254	11.8	210	9.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	9	56.3	7	43.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	97	68	70.1	29	29.9	57	58.8	32	33.0	2	2.1	6	6.2
	21-30	730	565	77.4	165	22.6	389	53.3	282	38.6	23	3.2	36	4.9
	31-40	406	304	74.9	102	25.1	233	57.4	149	36.7	6	1.5	18	4.4
	41-50	239	168	70.3	71	29.7	162	67.8	56	23.4	4	1.7	17	7.1
	51-60	178	119	66.9	59	33.1	126	70.8	41	23.0	3	1.7	8	4.5
	61-70	90	68	75.6	22	24.4	75	83.3	9	10.0	3	3.3	3	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	19	14	73.7	5	26.3	17	89.5	2	10.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1775	1318	74.3	457	25.7	1068	60.2	578	32.6	41	2.3	88	5.0

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	7	58.3	4	33.3	0	0.0	1	8.3
	18-20	112	89	79.5	23	20.5	25	22.3	68	60.7	3	2.7	16	14.3
	21-30	906	698	77.0	208	23.0	236	26.0	390	43.0	51	5.6	229	25.3
	31-40	598	467	78.1	131	21.9	190	31.8	255	42.6	31	5.2	122	20.4
	41-50	309	237	76.7	72	23.3	111	35.9	114	36.9	27	8.7	57	18.4
	51-60	255	201	78.8	54	21.2	148	58.0	57	22.4	16	6.3	34	13.3
	61-70	78	60	76.9	18	23.1	53	67.9	10	12.8	6	7.7	9	11.5
	71 & ABOVE	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	7	63.6	2	18.2	1	9.1	1	9.1
	TOTAL	2281	1770	77.6	511	22.4	777	34.1	900	39.5	135	5.9	469	20.6
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	3	23.1	10	76.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	120	102	85.0	18	15.0	27	22.5	88	73.3	2	1.7	3	2.5
	21-30	890	699	78.5	191	21.5	264	29.7	539	60.6	30	3.4	57	6.4
	31-40	354	293	82.8	61	17.2	110	31.1	229	64.7	3	0.8	12	3.4
	41-50	177	144	81.4	33	18.6	69	39.0	100	56.5	7	4.0	1	0.6
	51-60	187	130	69.5	57	30.5	125	66.8	52	27.8	4	2.1	6	3.2
	61-70	95	67	70.5	28	29.5	66	69.5	24	25.3	1	1.1	4	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	12	8	66.7	4	33.3	9	75.0	3	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1848	1454	78.7	394	21.3	673	36.4	1045	56.5	47	2.5	83	4.5
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	19	12	63.2	7	36.8	5	26.3	13	68.4	0	0.0	1	5.3
	18-20	218	176	80.7	42	19.3	40	18.3	137	62.8	11	5.0	30	13.8
	21-30	1879	1445	76.9	434	23.1	395	21.0	1093	58.2	80	4.3	311	16.6
	31-40	1019	841	82.5	178	17.5	231	22.7	559	54.9	68	6.7	161	15.8
	41-50	547	437	79.9	110	20.1	172	31.4	246	45.0	30	5.5	99	18.1
	51-60	354	283	79.9	71	20.1	161	45.5	121	34.2	25	7.1	47	13.3
	61-70	113	86	76.1	27	23.9	62	54.9	26	23.0	7	6.2	18	15.9
	71 & ABOVE	27	22	81.5	5	18.5	16	59.3	4	14.8	1	3.7	6	22.2
	TOTAL	4176	3302	79.1	874	20.9	1082	25.9	2199	52.7	222	5.3	673	16.1
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	2	28.6	5	71.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	96	68	70.8	28	29.2	30	31.3	55	57.3	1	1.0	10	10.4
	21-30	620	468	75.5	152	24.5	275	44.4	290	46.8	15	2.4	40	6.5
	31-40	292	223	76.4	69	23.6	151	51.7	124	42.5	8	2.7	9	3.1
	41-50	184	139	75.5	45	24.5	107	58.2	62	33.7	4	2.2	11	6.0
	51-60	136	91	66.9	45	33.1	112	82.4	21	15.4	1	0.7	2	1.5
	61-70	66	49	74.2	17	25.8	55	83.3	9	13.6	0	0.0	2	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	11	73.3	3	20.0	0	0.0	1	6.7
	TOTAL	1416	1055	74.5	361	25.5	743	52.5	569	40.2	29	2.0	75	5.3

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	1	25.0
	18-20	30	19	63.3	11	36.7	24	80.0	4	13.3	2	6.7	0	0.0
	21-30	303	226	74.6	77	25.4	250	82.5	29	9.6	7	2.3	17	5.6
	31-40	206	156	75.7	50	24.3	155	75.2	28	13.6	7	3.4	16	7.8
	41-50	103	72	69.9	31	30.1	91	88.3	6	5.8	5	4.9	1	1.0
	51-60	96	68	70.8	28	29.2	91	94.8	3	3.1	1	1.0	1	1.0
	61-70	47	36	76.6	11	23.4	40	85.1	1	2.1	2	4.3	4	8.5
	71 & ABOVE	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	794	583	73.4	211	26.6	658	82.9	71	8.9	25	3.1	40	5.0
SIERRA	21-30	9	5	55.6	4	44.4	4	44.4	1	11.1	0	0.0	4	44.4
	31-40	4	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	41-50	6	3	50.0	3	50.0	4	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	33.3
	51-60	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	4	66.7	1	16.7	0	0.0	1	16.7
	61-70	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	28	17	60.7	11	39.3	14	50.0	4	14.3	0	0.0	10	35.7
	SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	1
18-20		6	4	66.7	2	33.3	3	50.0	1	16.7	1	16.7	1	16.7
21-30		91	70	76.9	21	23.1	69	75.8	10	11.0	6	6.6	6	6.6
31-40		70	59	84.3	11	15.7	49	70.0	13	18.6	1	1.4	7	10.0
41-50		48	36	75.0	12	25.0	39	81.3	4	8.3	3	6.3	2	4.2
51-60		51	41	80.4	10	19.6	42	82.4	3	5.9	0	0.0	6	11.8
61-70		27	19	70.4	8	29.6	20	74.1	2	7.4	1	3.7	4	14.8
71 & ABOVE		4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
TOTAL		300	236	78.7	64	21.3	225	75.0	35	11.7	12	4.0	28	9.3
SOLANO	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0
	18-20	68	53	77.9	15	22.1	20	29.4	31	45.6	11	16.2	6	8.8
	21-30	672	502	74.7	170	25.3	234	34.8	217	32.3	136	20.2	85	12.6
	31-40	475	364	76.6	111	23.4	170	35.8	141	29.7	117	24.6	47	9.9
	41-50	266	187	70.3	79	29.7	104	39.1	54	20.3	85	32.0	23	8.6
	51-60	193	135	69.9	58	30.1	119	61.7	19	9.8	41	21.2	14	7.3
	61-70	66	51	77.3	15	22.7	34	51.5	6	9.1	20	30.3	6	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	15	10	66.7	5	33.3	10	66.7	2	13.3	3	20.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1759	1306	74.2	453	25.8	691	39.3	471	26.8	416	23.6	181	10.3

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	5	38.5	7	53.8	1	7.7	0	0.0
	18-20	127	98	77.2	29	22.8	40	31.5	71	55.9	6	4.7	10	7.9
	21-30	929	725	78.0	204	22.0	420	45.2	418	45.0	37	4.0	54	5.8
	31-40	528	411	77.8	117	22.2	267	50.6	210	39.8	21	4.0	30	5.7
	41-50	322	228	70.8	94	29.2	192	59.6	100	31.1	13	4.0	17	5.3
	51-60	290	189	65.2	101	34.8	212	73.1	50	17.2	13	4.5	15	5.2
	61-70	136	90	66.2	46	33.8	115	84.6	12	8.8	7	5.1	2	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	35	24	68.6	11	31.4	26	74.3	5	14.3	1	2.9	3	8.6
	TOTAL	2380	1776	74.6	604	25.4	1277	53.7	873	36.7	99	4.2	131	5.5
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0
	18-20	112	91	81.3	21	18.8	28	25.0	79	70.5	2	1.8	3	2.7
	21-30	872	660	75.7	212	24.3	257	29.5	510	58.5	35	4.0	70	8.0
	31-40	454	368	81.1	86	18.9	151	33.3	249	54.8	21	4.6	33	7.3
	41-50	249	189	75.9	60	24.1	102	41.0	120	48.2	10	4.0	17	6.8
	51-60	166	139	83.7	27	16.3	74	44.6	65	39.2	22	13.3	5	3.0
	61-70	67	55	82.1	12	17.9	36	53.7	19	28.4	6	9.0	6	9.0
	71 & ABOVE	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	6	46.2	6	46.2	0	0.0	1	7.7
	TOTAL	1941	1521	78.4	420	21.6	654	33.7	1055	54.4	97	5.0	135	7.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	32	27	84.4	5	15.6	12	37.5	14	43.8	1	3.1	5	15.6
	21-30	194	150	77.3	44	22.7	65	33.5	101	52.1	9	4.6	19	9.8
	31-40	92	67	72.8	25	27.2	42	45.7	39	42.4	7	7.6	4	4.3
	41-50	65	51	78.5	14	21.5	26	40.0	27	41.5	1	1.5	11	16.9
	51-60	35	24	68.6	11	31.4	23	65.7	7	20.0	1	2.9	4	11.4
	61-70	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	10	58.8	5	29.4	1	5.9	1	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	438	336	76.7	102	23.3	179	40.9	195	44.5	20	4.6	44	10.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	11	42.3	14	53.8	0	0.0	1	3.8
	21-30	115	95	82.6	20	17.4	63	54.8	45	39.1	5	4.3	2	1.7
	31-40	63	51	81.0	12	19.0	41	65.1	22	34.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	51	33	64.7	18	35.3	39	76.5	10	19.6	2	3.9	0	0.0
	51-60	40	37	92.5	3	7.5	31	77.5	8	20.0	0	0.0	1	2.5
	61-70	22	15	68.2	7	31.8	18	81.8	2	9.1	0	0.0	2	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	TOTAL	326	259	79.4	67	20.6	208	63.8	104	31.9	7	2.1	7	2.1

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	18-20	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	21-30	57	42	73.7	15	26.3	49	86.0	3	5.3	0	0.0	5	8.8
	31-40	35	34	97.1	1	2.9	28	80.0	2	5.7	1	2.9	4	11.4
	41-50	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	14	77.8	1	5.6	0	0.0	3	16.7
	51-60	19	10	52.6	9	47.4	16	84.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	15.8
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	144	113	78.5	31	21.5	121	84.0	6	4.2	1	0.7	16	11.1
TULARE	UNDER 18	16	11	68.8	5	31.3	3	18.8	13	81.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	149	126	84.6	23	15.4	23	15.4	116	77.9	4	2.7	6	4.0
	21-30	1169	912	78.0	257	22.0	162	13.9	928	79.4	31	2.7	48	4.1
	31-40	612	503	82.2	109	17.8	119	19.4	455	74.3	16	2.6	22	3.6
	41-50	321	267	83.2	54	16.8	78	24.3	224	69.8	6	1.9	13	4.0
	51-60	180	152	84.4	28	15.6	57	31.7	109	60.6	6	3.3	8	4.4
	61-70	60	49	81.7	11	18.3	24	40.0	28	46.7	1	1.7	7	11.7
	71 & ABOVE	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2514	2025	80.5	489	19.5	472	18.8	1874	74.5	64	2.5	104	4.1
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
	18-20	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	121	96	79.3	25	20.7	95	78.5	21	17.4	0	0.0	5	4.1
	31-40	66	52	78.8	14	21.2	51	77.3	12	18.2	3	4.5	0	0.0
	41-50	33	21	63.6	12	36.4	29	87.9	3	9.1	0	0.0	1	3.0
	51-60	35	25	71.4	10	28.6	32	91.4	2	5.7	1	2.9	0	0.0
	61-70	32	21	65.6	11	34.4	29	90.6	2	6.3	0	0.0	1	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	304	231	76.0	73	24.0	250	82.2	42	13.8	4	1.3	8	2.6
VENTURA	UNDER 18	33	25	75.8	8	24.2	12	36.4	19	57.6	0	0.0	2	6.1
	18-20	197	156	79.2	41	20.8	55	27.9	126	64.0	6	3.0	10	5.1
	21-30	1388	1070	77.1	318	22.9	454	32.7	788	56.8	68	4.9	78	5.6
	31-40	734	586	79.8	148	20.2	256	34.9	418	56.9	27	3.7	33	4.5
	41-50	420	311	74.0	109	26.0	193	46.0	200	47.6	13	3.1	14	3.3
	51-60	342	251	73.4	91	26.6	204	59.6	110	32.2	10	2.9	18	5.3
	61-70	130	103	79.2	27	20.8	90	69.2	31	23.8	3	2.3	6	4.6
	71 & ABOVE	20	16	80.0	4	20.0	15	75.0	3	15.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
	TOTAL	3264	2518	77.1	746	22.9	1279	39.2	1695	51.9	127	3.9	163	5.0

TABLE B1: 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	11	11	100.0	0	0.0	1	9.1	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	50	39	78.0	11	22.0	15	30.0	26	52.0	1	2.0	8	16.0
	21-30	251	193	76.9	58	23.1	92	36.7	113	45.0	14	5.6	32	12.7
	31-40	118	94	79.7	24	20.3	43	36.4	44	37.3	11	9.3	20	16.9
	41-50	68	52	76.5	16	23.5	20	29.4	31	45.6	7	10.3	10	14.7
	51-60	65	47	72.3	18	27.7	38	58.5	20	30.8	3	4.6	4	6.2
	61-70	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	11	52.4	4	19.0	4	19.0	2	9.5
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	586	456	77.8	130	22.2	221	37.7	249	42.5	40	6.8	76	13.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0
	18-20	21	15	71.4	6	28.6	7	33.3	12	57.1	1	4.8	1	4.8
	21-30	140	98	70.0	42	30.0	81	57.9	42	30.0	8	5.7	9	6.4
	31-40	121	91	75.2	30	24.8	81	66.9	27	22.3	4	3.3	9	7.4
	41-50	81	55	67.9	26	32.1	60	74.1	15	18.5	2	2.5	4	4.9
	51-60	43	33	76.7	10	23.3	33	76.7	10	23.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	18	13	72.2	5	27.8	13	72.2	4	22.2	1	5.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	TOTAL	429	309	72.0	120	28.0	278	64.8	110	25.6	17	4.0	24	5.6

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		98430	100.0	75949	77.2	22481	22.8
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	1	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	78	3.1	42	2.3	36	5.6
	21-30	1009	40.7	724	39.3	285	44.5
	31-40	606	24.4	464	25.2	142	22.2
	41-50	433	17.5	338	18.4	95	14.8
	51-60	259	10.4	196	10.7	63	9.8
	61-70	86	3.5	68	3.7	18	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.4	7	0.4	2	0.3
	TOTAL	2481	100.0	1840	100.0	641	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	8	40.0	7	46.7	1	20.0
	31-40	3	15.0	3	20.0	0	0.0
	41-50	5	25.0	2	13.3	3	60.0
	51-60	3	15.0	2	13.3	1	20.0
	61-70	1	5.0	1	6.7	0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	100.0	15	100.0	5
AMADOR	18-20	2	1.5	2	2.1	0	0.0
	21-30	50	37.0	36	37.1	14	36.8
	31-40	27	20.0	19	19.6	8	21.1
	41-50	23	17.0	17	17.5	6	15.8
	51-60	24	17.8	16	16.5	8	21.1
	61-70	7	5.2	6	6.2	1	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.5	1	1.0	1	2.6
	TOTAL	135	100.0	97	100.0	38	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	3	0.3	2	0.3	1	0.4
	18-20	59	6.1	47	6.7	12	4.6
	21-30	414	42.8	305	43.3	109	41.4
	31-40	211	21.8	154	21.8	57	21.7
	41-50	130	13.4	91	12.9	39	14.8
	51-60	102	10.5	68	9.6	34	12.9
	61-70	43	4.4	33	4.7	10	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.6	5	0.7	1	0.4
	TOTAL	968	100.0	705	100.0	263	100.0
CALAVERAS	18-20	4	1.9	4	2.6	0	0.0
	21-30	53	25.2	39	25.5	14	24.6
	31-40	48	22.9	35	22.9	13	22.8
	41-50	40	19.0	30	19.6	10	17.5
	51-60	44	21.0	32	20.9	12	21.1
	61-70	16	7.6	11	7.2	5	8.8
	71 & ABOVE	5	2.4	2	1.3	3	5.3
	TOTAL	210	100.0	153	100.0	57	100.0
COLUSA	18-20	6	4.6	5	4.9	1	3.4
	21-30	42	32.1	32	31.4	10	34.5
	31-40	44	33.6	38	37.3	6	20.7
	41-50	17	13.0	9	8.8	8	27.6
	51-60	17	13.0	13	12.7	4	13.8
	61-70	4	3.1	4	3.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.8	1	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	131	100.0	102	100.0	29	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	3	0.2	3	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	61	3.6	53	4.3	8	1.8
	21-30	657	39.1	472	37.9	185	42.3
	31-40	426	25.3	318	25.6	108	24.7
	41-50	268	15.9	213	17.1	55	12.6
	51-60	172	10.2	111	8.9	61	14.0
	61-70	77	4.6	60	4.8	17	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	17	1.0	14	1.1	3	0.7
	TOTAL	1681	100.0	1244	100.0	437	100.0
DEL NORTE	18-20	2	1.8	1	1.1	1	5.0
	21-30	43	39.1	31	34.4	12	60.0
	31-40	26	23.6	24	26.7	2	10.0
	41-50	17	15.5	14	15.6	3	15.0
	51-60	11	10.0	9	10.0	2	10.0
	61-70	10	9.1	10	11.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.9	1	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	110	100.0	90	100.0	20	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	2	0.3	1	0.2	1	0.5
	18-20	38	5.9	32	7.1	6	3.2
	21-30	199	31.1	140	31.1	59	31.1
	31-40	156	24.4	116	25.8	40	21.1
	41-50	101	15.8	70	15.6	31	16.3
	51-60	97	15.2	60	13.3	37	19.5
	61-70	36	5.6	23	5.1	13	6.8
	71 & ABOVE	11	1.7	8	1.8	3	1.6
TOTAL	640	100.0	450	100.0	190	100.0	
FRESNO	UNDER 18	10	0.3	9	0.3	1	0.1
	18-20	178	5.0	149	5.2	29	4.1
	21-30	1607	45.2	1267	44.4	340	48.6
	31-40	870	24.5	702	24.6	168	24.0
	41-50	478	13.4	386	13.5	92	13.1
	51-60	290	8.2	236	8.3	54	7.7
	61-70	106	3.0	93	3.3	13	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.5	13	0.5	3	0.4
TOTAL	3555	100.0	2855	100.0	700	100.0	
GLENN	18-20	9	7.0	8	7.5	1	4.8
	21-30	58	45.3	48	44.9	10	47.6
	31-40	20	15.6	14	13.1	6	28.6
	41-50	22	17.2	21	19.6	1	4.8
	51-60	15	11.7	12	11.2	3	14.3
	61-70	1	0.8	1	0.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2.3	3	2.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	128	100.0	107	100.0	21	100.0
HUMBOLDT	18-20	22	2.8	16	3.0	6	2.4
	21-30	338	42.9	231	42.8	107	43.3
	31-40	219	27.8	144	26.7	75	30.4
	41-50	116	14.7	84	15.6	32	13.0
	51-60	62	7.9	39	7.2	23	9.3
	61-70	23	2.9	19	3.5	4	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.9	7	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	787	100.0	540	100.0	247	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	18-20	21	4.9	20	6.0	1	1.1
	21-30	190	44.6	141	42.6	49	51.6
	31-40	87	20.4	68	20.5	19	20.0
	41-50	70	16.4	52	15.7	18	18.9
	51-60	39	9.2	33	10.0	6	6.3
	61-70	17	4.0	15	4.5	2	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.5	2	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	426	100.0	331	100.0	95	100.0
INYO	18-20	4	3.8	2	2.8	2	6.3
	21-30	34	32.7	19	26.4	15	46.9
	31-40	24	23.1	19	26.4	5	15.6
	41-50	14	13.5	8	11.1	6	18.8
	51-60	16	15.4	13	18.1	3	9.4
	61-70	11	10.6	10	13.9	1	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.0	1	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	104	100.0	72	100.0	32	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	4	0.1	2	0.1	2	0.4
	18-20	187	6.7	160	7.2	27	4.8
	21-30	1286	45.9	1019	45.7	267	47.0
	31-40	679	24.3	543	24.3	136	23.9
	41-50	355	12.7	276	12.4	79	13.9
	51-60	214	7.6	169	7.6	45	7.9
	61-70	59	2.1	52	2.3	7	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	15	0.5	10	0.4	5	0.9
TOTAL	2799	100.0	2231	100.0	568	100.0	
KINGS	18-20	13	3.2	10	3.0	3	3.8
	21-30	181	44.0	147	44.1	34	43.6
	31-40	109	26.5	89	26.7	20	25.6
	41-50	59	14.4	46	13.8	13	16.7
	51-60	31	7.5	27	8.1	4	5.1
	61-70	17	4.1	13	3.9	4	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	411	100.0	333	100.0	78	100.0
LAKE	18-20	16	5.5	13	5.9	3	4.1
	21-30	103	35.2	79	35.9	24	32.9
	31-40	58	19.8	45	20.5	13	17.8
	41-50	49	16.7	38	17.3	11	15.1
	51-60	41	14.0	25	11.4	16	21.9
	61-70	20	6.8	17	7.7	3	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	6	2.0	3	1.4	3	4.1
	TOTAL	293	100.0	220	100.0	73	100.0
LASSEN	18-20	2	2.1	2	2.6	0	0.0
	21-30	38	40.4	34	44.2	4	23.5
	31-40	23	24.5	19	24.7	4	23.5
	41-50	15	16.0	9	11.7	6	35.3
	51-60	9	9.6	7	9.1	2	11.8
	61-70	5	5.3	4	5.2	1	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	2	2.1	2	2.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	94	100.0	77	100.0	17	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	4	0.0	2	0.0	2	0.0
	18-20	786	4.0	631	4.1	155	3.7
	21-30	8683	44.1	6584	42.5	2099	50.3
	31-40	4962	25.2	3999	25.8	963	23.1
	41-50	2822	14.3	2297	14.8	525	12.6
	51-60	1770	9.0	1454	9.4	316	7.6
	61-70	562	2.9	462	3.0	100	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	84	0.4	72	0.5	12	0.3
	TOTAL	19673	100.0	15501	100.0	4172	100.0
MADERA	18-20	24	4.2	20	4.0	4	4.9
	21-30	236	41.0	206	41.6	30	37.0
	31-40	147	25.5	126	25.5	21	25.9
	41-50	86	14.9	72	14.5	14	17.3
	51-60	59	10.2	49	9.9	10	12.3
	61-70	21	3.6	19	3.8	2	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.5	3	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	576	100.0	495	100.0	81	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	5	0.5	3	0.4	2	0.8
	18-20	53	5.2	43	5.6	10	4.0
	21-30	325	31.8	243	31.6	82	32.4
	31-40	251	24.6	203	26.4	48	19.0
	41-50	177	17.3	134	17.4	43	17.0
	51-60	149	14.6	103	13.4	46	18.2
	61-70	46	4.5	31	4.0	15	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	16	1.6	9	1.2	7	2.8
TOTAL	1022	100.0	769	100.0	253	100.0	
MARIPOSA	21-30	20	42.6	15	51.7	5	27.8
	31-40	9	19.1	5	17.2	4	22.2
	41-50	7	14.9	3	10.3	4	22.2
	51-60	8	17.0	5	17.2	3	16.7
	61-70	2	4.3	1	3.4	1	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	1	2.1	0	0.0	1	5.6
	TOTAL	47	100.0	29	100.0	18	100.0
MENDOCINO	18-20	18	3.8	15	4.0	3	2.9
	21-30	184	38.7	142	38.0	42	41.2
	31-40	118	24.8	99	26.5	19	18.6
	41-50	68	14.3	46	12.3	22	21.6
	51-60	57	12.0	45	12.0	12	11.8
	61-70	27	5.7	23	6.1	4	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.8	4	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	476	100.0	374	100.0	102	100.0
MERCED	18-20	35	4.5	32	5.2	3	2.0
	21-30	317	41.1	247	40.0	70	45.8
	31-40	223	28.9	185	29.9	38	24.8
	41-50	109	14.1	85	13.8	24	15.7
	51-60	62	8.0	52	8.4	10	6.5
	61-70	25	3.2	17	2.8	8	5.2
	TOTAL	771	100.0	618	100.0	153	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	21-30	9	42.9	7	50.0	2	28.6
	31-40	5	23.8	2	14.3	3	42.9
	41-50	3	14.3	1	7.1	2	28.6
	51-60	4	19.0	4	28.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	21	100.0	14	100.0	7	100.0
MONO	18-20	1	1.3	1	1.5	0	0.0
	21-30	27	35.1	23	34.3	4	40.0
	31-40	11	14.3	9	13.4	2	20.0
	41-50	13	16.9	12	17.9	1	10.0
	51-60	16	20.8	15	22.4	1	10.0
	61-70	9	11.7	7	10.4	2	20.0
	TOTAL	77	100.0	67	100.0	10	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	93	5.4	82	5.9	11	3.2
	21-30	810	46.9	648	46.7	162	47.8
	31-40	410	23.8	338	24.4	72	21.2
	41-50	235	13.6	186	13.4	49	14.5
	51-60	123	7.1	89	6.4	34	10.0
	61-70	45	2.6	35	2.5	10	2.9
	TOTAL	1726	100.0	1387	100.0	339	100.0
NAPA	18-20	27	4.1	21	4.2	6	4.0
	21-30	240	36.7	179	35.5	61	40.7
	31-40	182	27.8	141	28.0	41	27.3
	41-50	97	14.8	77	15.3	20	13.3
	51-60	72	11.0	57	11.3	15	10.0
	61-70	31	4.7	24	4.8	7	4.7
	TOTAL	654	100.0	504	100.0	150	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	7	2.0	4	1.5	3	3.0
	21-30	131	36.6	93	35.9	38	38.4
	31-40	98	27.4	71	27.4	27	27.3
	41-50	48	13.4	36	13.9	12	12.1
	51-60	46	12.8	34	13.1	12	12.1
	61-70	24	6.7	18	6.9	6	6.1
	TOTAL	358	100.0	259	100.0	99	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	24	0.2	23	0.3	1	0.0
	18-20	499	5.1	403	5.4	96	4.3
	21-30	4450	45.5	3395	45.1	1055	46.9
	31-40	2251	23.0	1763	23.4	488	21.7
	41-50	1328	13.6	1014	13.5	314	13.9
	51-60	907	9.3	694	9.2	213	9.5
	61-70	277	2.8	204	2.7	73	3.2
	TOTAL	9779	100.0	7528	100.0	2251	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
PLACER	UNDER 18	6	0.5	5	0.6	1	0.3
	18-20	47	4.0	36	4.3	11	3.2
	21-30	440	37.4	334	40.1	106	30.9
	31-40	287	24.4	198	23.8	89	25.9
	41-50	187	15.9	124	14.9	63	18.4
	51-60	140	11.9	89	10.7	51	14.9
	61-70	56	4.8	38	4.6	18	5.2
	71 & ABOVE	13	1.1	9	1.1	4	1.2
	TOTAL	1176	100.0	833	100.0	343	100.0
PLUMAS	18-20	4	3.9	4	5.4	0	0.0
	21-30	35	34.0	22	29.7	13	44.8
	31-40	17	16.5	13	17.6	4	13.8
	41-50	15	14.6	11	14.9	4	13.8
	51-60	22	21.4	15	20.3	7	24.1
	61-70	7	6.8	7	9.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2.9	2	2.7	1	3.4
	TOTAL	103	100.0	74	100.0	29	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	13	0.2	8	0.2	5	0.4
	18-20	301	5.0	237	5.1	64	4.7
	21-30	2679	44.6	2043	44.1	636	46.3
	31-40	1392	23.2	1100	23.7	292	21.2
	41-50	792	13.2	614	13.2	178	12.9
	51-60	558	9.3	421	9.1	137	10.0
	61-70	214	3.6	161	3.5	53	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	61	1.0	51	1.1	10	0.7
TOTAL	6010	100.0	4635	100.0	1375	100.0	
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	3	0.1	3	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	172	3.9	131	4.1	41	3.5
	21-30	1881	43.1	1354	42.2	527	45.6
	31-40	1123	25.7	859	26.8	264	22.9
	41-50	586	13.4	408	12.7	178	15.4
	51-60	431	9.9	320	10.0	111	9.6
	61-70	140	3.2	112	3.5	28	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	27	0.6	21	0.7	6	0.5
TOTAL	4363	100.0	3208	100.0	1155	100.0	
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	10	5.1	10	6.5	0	0.0
	21-30	77	39.5	58	37.4	19	47.5
	31-40	59	30.3	51	32.9	8	20.0
	41-50	21	10.8	16	10.3	5	12.5
	51-60	17	8.7	11	7.1	6	15.0
	61-70	10	5.1	8	5.2	2	5.0
	TOTAL	195	100.0	155	100.0	40	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	5	0.1	5	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	210	4.0	170	4.2	40	3.5
	21-30	2359	45.1	1818	44.6	541	46.9
	31-40	1270	24.3	990	24.3	280	24.3
	41-50	692	13.2	524	12.8	168	14.6
	51-60	522	10.0	424	10.4	98	8.5
	61-70	151	2.9	126	3.1	25	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	24	0.5	22	0.5	2	0.2
	TOTAL	5233	100.0	4079	100.0	1154	100.0
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	11	0.1	9	0.1	2	0.1
	18-20	445	5.5	348	5.7	97	5.0
	21-30	3598	44.7	2723	44.7	875	44.9
	31-40	1804	22.4	1381	22.7	423	21.7
	41-50	1078	13.4	810	13.3	268	13.7
	51-60	806	10.0	602	9.9	204	10.5
	61-70	257	3.2	184	3.0	73	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	48	0.6	40	0.7	8	0.4
	TOTAL	8047	100.0	6097	100.0	1950	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	7	1.6	5	1.5	2	1.6
	21-30	191	42.6	133	41.0	58	46.8
	31-40	107	23.9	82	25.3	25	20.2
	41-50	73	16.3	50	15.4	23	18.5
	51-60	48	10.7	34	10.5	14	11.3
	61-70	21	4.7	19	5.9	2	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	448	100.0	324	100.0	124	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	4	0.2	4	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	94	4.9	77	5.1	17	4.3
	21-30	799	41.7	626	41.1	173	44.2
	31-40	468	24.5	394	25.9	74	18.9
	41-50	279	14.6	215	14.1	64	16.4
	51-60	193	10.1	149	9.8	44	11.3
	61-70	64	3.3	48	3.2	16	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.7	10	0.7	3	0.8
	TOTAL	1914	100.0	1523	100.0	391	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	4	0.3	4	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	59	4.4	36	3.6	23	6.4
	21-30	633	46.7	489	49.2	144	39.8
	31-40	302	22.3	223	22.5	79	21.8
	41-50	150	11.1	103	10.4	47	13.0
	51-60	138	10.2	87	8.8	51	14.1
	61-70	57	4.2	41	4.1	16	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.9	10	1.0	2	0.6
	TOTAL	1355	100.0	993	100.0	362	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	8	0.5	8	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	60	3.4	46	3.3	14	3.5
	21-30	719	40.5	539	39.1	180	45.3
	31-40	479	27.0	391	28.4	88	22.2
	41-50	258	14.5	203	14.7	55	13.9
	51-60	173	9.8	126	9.2	47	11.8
	61-70	62	3.5	53	3.8	9	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	15	0.8	11	0.8	4	1.0
	TOTAL	1774	100.0	1377	100.0	397	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	100	6.1	76	5.9	24	7.1
	21-30	736	45.2	593	45.9	143	42.3
	31-40	374	23.0	300	23.2	74	21.9
	41-50	172	10.6	139	10.8	33	9.8
	51-60	160	9.8	118	9.1	42	12.4
	61-70	69	4.2	52	4.0	17	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	17	1.0	12	0.9	5	1.5
	TOTAL	1629	100.0	1291	100.0	338	100.0
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	11	0.3	9	0.3	2	0.3
	18-20	179	4.9	136	4.8	43	5.5
	21-30	1714	47.1	1318	46.0	396	51.1
	31-40	822	22.6	676	23.6	146	18.8
	41-50	484	13.3	392	13.7	92	11.9
	51-60	310	8.5	243	8.5	67	8.6
	61-70	106	2.9	81	2.8	25	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.3	8	0.3	4	0.5
	TOTAL	3638	100.0	2863	100.0	775	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	4	0.4	3	0.4	1	0.4
	18-20	59	6.5	47	6.9	12	5.3
	21-30	413	45.2	313	45.6	100	43.9
	31-40	193	21.1	146	21.3	47	20.6
	41-50	109	11.9	81	11.8	28	12.3
	51-60	96	10.5	68	9.9	28	12.3
	61-70	31	3.4	22	3.2	9	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	9	1.0	6	0.9	3	1.3
	TOTAL	914	100.0	686	100.0	228	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	28	4.7	23	5.3	5	3.0
	21-30	207	34.5	147	34.1	60	35.5
	31-40	154	25.7	113	26.2	41	24.3
	41-50	76	12.7	52	12.1	24	14.2
	51-60	91	15.2	64	14.8	27	16.0
	61-70	40	6.7	28	6.5	12	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.5	3	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	600	100.0	431	100.0	169	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SIERRA	21-30	3	33.3	2	33.3	1	33.3
	31-40	1	11.1	0	0.0	1	33.3
	41-50	2	22.2	1	16.7	1	33.3
	51-60	1	11.1	1	16.7	0	0.0
	61-70	1	11.1	1	16.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	11.1	1	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	9	100.0	6	100.0	3	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	1	0.8	0	0.0	1	2.9
	18-20	7	5.6	6	6.6	1	2.9
	21-30	36	28.6	28	30.8	8	22.9
	31-40	34	27.0	29	31.9	5	14.3
	41-50	25	19.8	17	18.7	8	22.9
	51-60	11	8.7	7	7.7	4	11.4
	61-70	11	8.7	3	3.3	8	22.9
	TOTAL	126	100.0	91	100.0	35	100.0
SOLANO	18-20	28	2.8	22	2.9	6	2.4
	21-30	380	37.8	278	36.9	102	40.6
	31-40	261	26.0	206	27.4	55	21.9
	41-50	165	16.4	125	16.6	40	15.9
	51-60	124	12.4	85	11.3	39	15.5
	61-70	33	3.3	25	3.3	8	3.2
	TOTAL	1004	100.0	753	100.0	251	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	11	0.5	11	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	111	5.3	87	5.6	24	4.5
	21-30	792	38.0	603	38.8	189	35.6
	31-40	474	22.7	367	23.6	107	20.2
	41-50	317	15.2	221	14.2	96	18.1
	51-60	257	12.3	181	11.6	76	14.3
	TOTAL	2086	100.0	1555	100.0	531	100.0
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	4	0.3	3	0.2	1	0.3
	18-20	87	5.5	66	5.3	21	6.3
	21-30	660	41.6	508	40.6	152	45.2
	31-40	401	25.3	333	26.6	68	20.2
	41-50	213	13.4	165	13.2	48	14.3
	51-60	161	10.1	127	10.2	34	10.1
	TOTAL	1587	100.0	1251	100.0	336	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	2	0.6	2	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	20	6.4	18	7.5	2	2.8
	21-30	128	41.2	104	43.3	24	33.8
	31-40	68	21.9	51	21.2	17	23.9
	41-50	46	14.8	27	11.3	19	26.8
	51-60	30	9.6	25	10.4	5	7.0
	TOTAL	311	100.0	240	100.0	71	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
TEHAMA	18-20	8	4.4	8	5.9	0	0.0
	21-30	64	35.6	53	39.0	11	25.0
	31-40	45	25.0	30	22.1	15	34.1
	41-50	28	15.6	17	12.5	11	25.0
	51-60	21	11.7	14	10.3	7	15.9
	61-70	11	6.1	11	8.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.7	3	2.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	180	100.0	136	100.0	44	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	5	6.7	4	7.5	1	4.5
	21-30	27	36.0	20	37.7	7	31.8
	31-40	13	17.3	11	20.8	2	9.1
	41-50	13	17.3	4	7.5	9	40.9
	51-60	9	12.0	6	11.3	3	13.6
	61-70	8	10.7	8	15.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE						
	TOTAL	75	100.0	53	100.0	22	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	7	0.4	6	0.4	1	0.3
	18-20	127	7.0	113	7.6	14	4.2
	21-30	800	44.1	646	43.6	154	46.7
	31-40	443	24.4	353	23.8	90	27.3
	41-50	237	13.1	196	13.2	41	12.4
	51-60	147	8.1	123	8.3	24	7.3
	61-70	41	2.3	37	2.5	4	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.6	9	0.6	2	0.6
TOTAL	1813	100.0	1483	100.0	330	100.0	
TUOLUMNE	18-20	7	2.9	5	3.2	2	2.4
	21-30	71	29.7	55	35.7	16	18.8
	31-40	47	19.7	26	16.9	21	24.7
	41-50	43	18.0	24	15.6	19	22.4
	51-60	36	15.1	17	11.0	19	22.4
	61-70	34	14.2	26	16.9	8	9.4
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	239	100.0	154	100.0	85	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	9	0.3	7	0.3	2	0.3
	18-20	146	5.6	120	6.0	26	4.4
	21-30	1146	44.1	885	44.2	261	43.7
	31-40	577	22.2	445	22.2	132	22.1
	41-50	349	13.4	265	13.2	84	14.1
	51-60	278	10.7	217	10.8	61	10.2
	61-70	81	3.1	56	2.8	25	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	15	0.6	9	0.4	6	1.0
TOTAL	2601	100.0	2004	100.0	597	100.0	
YOLO	18-20	26	4.5	20	4.6	6	4.3
	21-30	269	46.4	197	44.9	72	51.1
	31-40	143	24.7	108	24.6	35	24.8
	41-50	70	12.1	57	13.0	13	9.2
	51-60	39	6.7	31	7.1	8	5.7
	61-70	30	5.2	24	5.5	6	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.5	2	0.5	1	0.7
	TOTAL	580	100.0	439	100.0	141	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
YUBA	18-20	19	7.1	12	5.8	7	11.9
	21-30	105	39.3	84	40.4	21	35.6
	31-40	57	21.3	48	23.1	9	15.3
	41-50	41	15.4	31	14.9	10	16.9
	51-60	34	12.7	24	11.5	10	16.9
	61-70	10	3.7	8	3.8	2	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	267	100.0	208	100.0	59	100.0

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE		93926	4133	371	11803	118	8
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND 1	13	3	0	0	50	34
	FREMONT	950	13	2	477	234	48
	PLEASANTON	36	3	0	14	201	44
	OAKLAND 2	1360	19	7	482	146	78
	HAYWARD	68	7	0	20	99	8
	TOTAL	2427	45	9	993	173	63
ALPINE	ALPINE	19	1	0	1	69	7
	TOTAL	19	1	0	1	69	7
AMADOR	JACKSON	130	5	0	20	110	23
	TOTAL	130	5	0	20	110	23
BUTTE	BUTTE	920	37	5	111	135	23
	BUTTE JUV	6	0	0	0	127	34
	TOTAL	926	37	5	111	135	23
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	198	12	0	7	95	3
	TOTAL	198	12	0	7	95	3
COLUSA	COLUSA	127	2	2	24	86	9
	TOTAL	127	2	2	24	86	9
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA	20	8	0	0	289	7
	MARTINEZ	2	1	0	0	57	5
	CONCORD	1	0	0	0	223	323
	RICHMOND	875	25	7	6	222	5
	WALNUT CREEK	736	3	3	2	310	4
	TOTAL	1634	37	10	8	249	5
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	104	6	0	22	73	169
	TOTAL	104	6	0	22	73	169
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	193	5	0	21	181	18
	PLACERVILLE	406	32	4	46	178	7
	TOTAL	599	37	4	67	178	8

^aViolations of CVC 23153. They do not include 4th offenses of CVC 23152 (in 10 years) which are statutorily defined as violations of CVC 23153.

^bViolations of CVC 23140.

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
FRESNO	FRESNO JUV	16	1	0	0	149	31
	FRESNO CENTRAL	3307	219	11	481	132	12
	USDT FRESNO	1	0	0	0	696	3
	TOTAL	3324	220	11	481	132	12
GLENN	GLENN	123	4	1	27	120	14
	TOTAL	123	4	1	27	120	14
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	763	23	1	144	138	17
	TOTAL	763	23	1	144	138	17
IMPERIAL	BRAWLEY	73	0	1	13	310	9
	EL CENTRO	338	12	2	40	289	8
	TOTAL	411	12	3	53	290	8
INYO	INYO	3	1	0	0	71	25
	BISHOP	96	4	0	25	106	3
	TOTAL	99	5	0	25	106	3
KERN	KERN	3	0	0	0	96	55
	KERN JUV	10	0	0	0	115	18
	LAMONT	203	8	3	87	60	6
	BAKERSFIELD	1867	27	7	382	76	17
	DELANO	137	10	0	20	60	4
	SHAFTER	144	3	0	13	62	1
	MOJAVE	248	2	0	56	73	0
	RIDGECREST	126	1	0	51	81	0
	TOTAL	2738	51	10	609	69	13
KINGS	HANFORD	401	9	1	34	306	0
	TOTAL	401	9	1	34	306	0
LAKE	LAKE	284	9	0	39	141	7
	TOTAL	284	9	0	39	141	7
LASSEN	SUSANVILLE	93	1	0	12	265	7
	TOTAL	93	1	0	12	265	7
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	37	27	0	0	265	8
	POMONA 1	14	5	0	0	253	9
	LANCASTER 1	19	20	0	0	189	6
	SAN FERNANDO 1	8	14	0	0	312	26

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont)	PASADENA 1	8	2	0	0	234	5
	VAN NUYS 1	10	5	0	0	271	5
	LONG BEACH 1	4	10	0	0	269	6
	COMPTON 1	6	8	0	0	161	6
	NORWALK	8	8	0	0	301	18
	TORRANCE 1	9	6	0	0	349	18
	SANTA MONICA 1	9	5	0	0	273	19
	EASTLAKE JUV	3	0	0	0	125	18
	LA AIRPORT	816	26	1	101	121	10
	ALHAMBRA	321	9	0	42	148	5
	LANCASTER 2	1006	24	2	100	96	6
	BEVERLY HILLS	0	0	2	0	78	36
	BURBANK	199	4	1	33	114	9
	WEST COVINA	1204	33	4	82	116	5
	CHATSWORTH	2	0	6	1	109	3
	COMPTON 2	685	41	2	75	144	6
	DOWNEY	748	31	2	26	152	5
	EAST LOS ANGELES	631	8	0	41	130	5
	EL MONTE	399	5	1	32	142	8
	GLENDALE	342	3	0	51	205	5
	INGLEWOOD	508	13	0	41	164	10
	LONG BEACH 2	1119	15	1	49	92	5
	LA METRO	3580	41	10	514	66	9
	BELLFLOWER	1176	51	3	45	134	5
	SANTA CLARITA	717	1	2	77	150	5
	PASADENA 2	535	13	1	137	136	5
	POMONA 2	939	37	1	56	133	9
	TORRANCE 2	1060	26	2	102	122	6
	SAN FERNANDO 2	1122	35	1	145	57	6
	VAN NUYS 2	1791	39	4	249	81	6
	AVALON	7	0	0	0	165	9
	USDT LOS ANGELES	20	0	0	1	124	25
	TOTAL	19062	565	46	2000	109	6

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MADERA	MADERA	73	19	0	6	320	33
	CHOWCHILLA	446	0	2	150	286	12
	BASS LAKE SIERRA	36	0	0	17	337	48
	TOTAL	555	19	2	173	291	13
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	967	43	12	72	94	22
	TOTAL	967	43	12	72	94	22
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	47	0	0	3	116	4
	TOTAL	47	0	0	3	116	4
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	5	27	0	0	162	215
	UKIAH	354	2	3	43	93	217
	FORT BRAGG	74	11	0	19	59	448
	TOTAL	433	40	3	62	87	238
MERCED	MERCED	504	42	2	85	197	2
	LOS BANOS	211	12	0	30	189	2
	TOTAL	715	54	2	115	192	2
MODOC	ALTURAS	20	1	0	7	133	3
	TOTAL	20	1	0	7	133	3
MONO	BRIDGEPORT	0	1	0	0	88	18
	MAMMOTH LAKES	76	0	0	10	114	9
	TOTAL	76	1	0	10	114	10
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	212	39	0	37	269	38
	MONTEREY JUV	1	1	0	0	198	87
	MARINA	11	1	6	3	65	15
	SALINAS	1438	16	0	143	57	17
	KING CITY	0	1	0	0	74	116
	TOTAL	1662	58	6	183	67	18
NAPA	NAPA	633	18	3	89	105	2
	TOTAL	633	18	3	89	105	2
NEVADA	NEVADA JUV	1	0	0	0	24	92
	NEVADA CITY	200	12	0	23	121	19
	TRUCKEE	140	5	0	18	80	3
	TOTAL	341	17	0	41	107	11

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
ORANGE	ORANGE JUV	30	2	0	1	104	83
	FULLERTON	2676	99	7	38	154	0
	WESTMINSTER	2640	102	6	103	171	0
	LAGUNA HILLS	1	0	0	0	418	7
	NEWPORT BEACH	2310	91	10	139	163	0
	SANTA ANA	1723	75	7	85	153	0
	TOTAL	9380	369	30	366	160	0
PLACER	PLACER JUV	7	0	2	0	168	2
	ROSEVILLE	63	6	1	6	68	8
	ROSEVILLE TRAFFIC	953	58	1	118	128	3
	TAHOE CITY	79	6	0	7	99	4
	TOTAL	1102	70	4	131	116	4
PLUMAS	QUINCY	99	4	0	3	55	3
	TOTAL	99	4	0	3	55	3
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	2688	130	0	141	142	1
	INDIO	1254	37	0	106	105	11
	RIVERSIDE JUV	14	0	0	0	118	22
	MURRIETA JUV	3	0	1	0	123	7
	HEMET	1	0	0	0	132	260
	BANNING	608	21	0	25	106	20
	BLYTHE	64	1	0	7	123	3
	MURRIETA	1147	37	0	97	104	5
	TEMECULA	4	0	0	0	244	90
TOTAL	5783	226	1	376	124	3	
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	3895	430	2	314	82	6
	SACRAMENTO JUV	3	0	0	0	83	14
	SACRAMENTO CM	16	1	15	1	90	3
	USDT SACRAMENTO	1	0	0	0	282	6
	TOTAL	3915	431	17	315	82	6
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	178	13	1	17	101	6
	JUV SAN BENITO	3	0	0	0	41	5
	TOTAL	181	13	1	17	101	6

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO 1	1341	66	2	296	293	5
	R CUCAMONGA	2454	119	5	172	224	2
	VICTORVILLE 1	878	67	0	167	189	6
	BARSTOW	1	1	2	0	107	18
	JOSHUA TREE	236	9	3	75	107	8
	S BERNARDINO JUV	6	1	0	0	111	37
	FONTANA	0	0	9	0	107	3
	VICTORVILLE 2	12	1	0	4	171	28
	SUP R CUCAMONGA	17	3	0	2	267	20
	TOTAL	4945	267	21	716	224	4
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	61	88	0	1	133	5
	VISTA 1	45	108	0	0	125	31
	SAN DIEGO JUV	30	1	0	0	100	45
	EL CAJON	1447	75	9	308	75	63
	VISTA 2	2084	59	3	400	60	24
	VISTA 3	60	2	6	17	59	20
	KEARNY MESA	2723	11	16	406	81	5
	CHULA VISTA	1123	79	10	201	87	8
	USDT SOUTH SD	7	0	0	3	469	4
	TOTAL	7580	423	44	1336	75	15
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	1	7	0	0	249	3
	SAN FRAN TRAFFIC	424	14	2	124	154	6
	TOTAL	425	21	2	124	155	6
SAN JOAQUIN	SAN JOAQUIN	7	0	0	0	277	6
	LODI	337	12	0	27	55	19
	MANTECA	556	16	0	136	70	11
	STOCKTON	948	34	4	139	71	9
	TOTAL	1848	62	4	302	68	12
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO JUV	3	1	3	1	192	25
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1278	66	4	231	84	1
	TOTAL	1281	67	7	232	85	1

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	1685	67	5	528	158	82
	SAN MATEO JUV	11	0	1	0	168	41
	REDWOOD CITY	0	0	5	0	124	51
	TOTAL	1696	67	11	528	157	81
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA	712	35	4	124	112	52
	SUP SANTA MARIA	653	40	2	62	80	15
	LOMPOC	171	11	1	19	67	10
	TOTAL	1536	86	7	205	90	21
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	69	78	0	1	136	88
	SANTA CLARA JUV	17	1	0	0	107	22
	PALO ALTO	604	11	1	82	127	19
	SAN JOSE	2316	47	6	419	110	25
	SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	0	0	9	0	171	0
	SAN MARTIN	467	11	1	72	88	6
	TOTAL	3473	148	17	574	113	22
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ JUV	8	0	0	1	195	18
	SANTA CRUZ TRAF	766	14	8	144	74	14
	WATSONVILLE	116	1	1	18	57	2
	TOTAL	890	15	9	163	72	10
SHASTA	SHASTA JUV	1	0	0	0	25	380
	BURNEY	10	0	0	2	99	25
	REDDING	529	57	3	108	110	9
	TOTAL	540	57	3	110	109	9
SIERRA	SIERRA	9	0	0	2	129	129
	TOTAL	9	0	0	2	129	129
SISKIYOU	DORRIS	1	0	0	0	273	13
	YREKA	121	3	1	25	120	8
	TOTAL	122	3	1	25	121	8
SOLANO	SOLANO JUV	1	0	0	0	507	2
	FAIRFIELD	764	11	4	136	211	9
	VALLEJO	218	5	1	74	167	7
	TOTAL	983	16	5	210	200	8

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2016 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SONOMA	SONOMA	1977	85	8	214	70	19
	SONOMA JUV	12	0	0	0	48	13
	SANTA ROSA	0	0	4	0	79	3
	TOTAL	1989	85	12	214	70	18
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS	1501	75	2	91	95	24
	STANISLAUS JUV	3	0	0	2	99	215
	MODESTO	0	0	6	0	84	3
	TOTAL	1504	75	8	93	95	24
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	282	24	5	70	100	46
	TOTAL	282	24	5	70	100	46
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	72	8	0	17	68	162
	TEHAMA JUV	0	1	0	0	125	7
	RED BLUFF	99	0	0	15	53	188
	TOTAL	171	9	0	32	63	162
TRINITY	TRINITY	71	2	2	5	111	5
	TOTAL	71	2	2	5	111	5
TULARE	VISALIA JUV	9	1	1	0	71	14
	PORTERVILLE	490	26	5	43	87	13
	VISALIA DIV	1206	72	3	75	125	14
	TOTAL	1705	99	9	118	116	14
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE	233	5	0	0	64	29
	TUOLUMNE JUV	1	0	0	0	138	34
	TOTAL	234	5	0	0	64	29
VENTURA	VENTURA	2468	117	16	0	131	0
	TOTAL	2468	117	16	0	131	0
YOLO	YOLO	546	31	3	63	133	28
	TOTAL	546	31	3	63	133	28
YUBA	YUBA	257	9	1	41	117	42
	TOTAL	257	9	1	41	117	42

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016^a

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			98430	95.9	73.6	67.7	22.3	0.3	7.7
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND RCD	1 ST	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	81.3	93.8	0.0	18.8	0.0	0.0
	FREMONT	1 ST	691	99.3	99.3	92.6	4.1	0.0	3.0
		2 ND	212	99.1	99.1	21.2	75.5	0.0	19.8
		3 RD	55	98.2	96.4	7.3	89.1	0.0	36.4
		4 ^{TH+}	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	57.1
		TOTAL	965	99.2	99.1	71.5	25.2	0.0	9.0
	PLEASANTON	1 ST	19	100.0	100.0	78.9	15.8	0.0	26.3
		2 ND	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	90.9	0.0	45.5
		3 RD	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7
		4 ^{TH+}	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	39	97.4	97.4	38.5	53.8	0.0	41.0
	OAKLAND TRAFFIC	1 ST	1026	98.4	98.3	88.9	3.2	0.1	1.4
		2 ND	267	99.6	99.6	18.4	76.4	0.0	4.5
		3 RD	79	98.7	94.9	8.9	86.1	1.3	11.4
		4 ^{TH+}	14	100.0	100.0	7.1	78.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1386	98.7	98.4	69.9	22.8	0.1	2.5
HAYWARD	1 ST	34	97.1	94.1	85.3	2.9	0.0	8.8	
	2 ND	21	100.0	100.0	4.8	85.7	0.0	4.8	
	3 RD	9	100.0	88.9	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	4 ^{TH+}	11	90.9	100.0	9.1	81.8	0.0	63.6	
	TOTAL	75	97.3	96.0	41.3	49.3	0.0	14.7	
ALPINE	ALPINE	1 ST	18	100.0	83.3	83.3	16.7	0.0	16.7
		2 ND	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	20	100.0	85.0	85.0	20.0	0.0	20.0

^aEntries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2016 receiving each sanction by county, court, and offender status.

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
AMADOR	JACKSON	1 ST	100	97.0	96.0	92.0	4.0	0.0	7.0
		2 ND	29	100.0	100.0	13.8	82.8	0.0	72.4
		3 RD	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	80.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	135	97.0	97.0	71.1	24.4	0.0	24.4
BUTTE	BUTTE	1 ST	679	96.9	97.3	94.7	1.9	0.1	1.8
		2 ND	211	93.4	98.1	14.2	73.9	2.8	9.5
		3 RD	54	83.3	92.6	7.4	25.9	48.1	27.8
		4 ^{TH+}	18	72.2	94.4	0.0	33.3	44.4	38.9
		TOTAL	962	94.9	97.2	70.4	19.6	4.3	5.6
	BUTTE JUV	1 ST	6	83.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	83.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	1 ST	145	99.3	97.9	95.2	0.7	0.0	3.4
		2 ND	47	100.0	100.0	8.5	91.5	0.0	76.6
		3 RD	14	85.7	100.0	0.0	64.3	0.0	78.6
		4 ^{TH+}	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	210	98.1	98.6	67.6	26.2	0.0	26.2
COLUSA	COLUSA	1 ST	89	94.4	97.8	88.8	1.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	30	93.3	100.0	40.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	11	100.0	100.0	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	131	94.7	98.5	72.5	22.1	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA	1 ST	6	83.3	83.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	10	50.0	90.0	10.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		4 ^{TH+}	9	44.4	88.9	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	57.1	89.3	17.9	21.4	0.0	3.6
	MARTINEZ	1 ST	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CONCORD	1 ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
CONTRA COSTA (cont)	RICHMOND	1 ST	361	98.3	95.8	92.8	1.1	0.0	0.6
		2 ND	77	98.7	97.4	7.8	80.5	0.0	11.7
		3 RD	30	100.0	100.0	6.7	76.7	0.0	56.7
		4 ^{TH+}	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	57.1
		TOTAL	475	98.3	96.4	72.2	19.8	0.0	6.7
	PITTSBURG	1 ST	316	98.1	96.8	93.4	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	70	98.6	100.0	10.0	85.7	0.0	4.3
		3 RD	34	100.0	97.1	2.9	91.2	0.0	23.5
		4 ^{TH+}	12	83.3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	41.7
		TOTAL	432	97.9	97.5	70.1	24.8	0.0	3.7
	WALNUT CREEK	1 ST	596	99.2	94.6	94.8	1.5	0.0	0.5
		2 ND	88	96.6	97.7	20.5	72.7	0.0	12.5
		3 RD	40	97.5	97.5	5.0	92.5	0.0	37.5
		4 ^{TH+}	18	88.9	100.0	0.0	77.8	0.0	44.4
TOTAL		742	98.5	95.3	78.8	16.7	0.0	5.0	
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	1 ST	79	94.9	97.5	84.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	24	83.3	91.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	54.2
		3 RD	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	85.7	14.3	85.7
		TOTAL	110	92.7	96.4	69.1	16.4	0.9	17.3
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	1 ST	135	100.0	99.3	85.2	0.7	0.0	6.7
		2 ND	50	96.0	98.0	14.0	68.0	0.0	72.0
		3 RD	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	53.8	0.0	76.9
		TOTAL	198	99.0	99.0	62.1	21.2	0.0	27.8
	PLACERVILLE	1 ST	312	97.4	97.1	81.4	2.6	0.0	39.1
		2 ND	84	97.6	96.4	11.9	77.4	0.0	58.3
		3 RD	30	96.7	100.0	16.7	53.3	0.0	63.3
		4 ^{TH+}	16	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	43.8
TOTAL	442	96.6	97.3	60.9	21.9	0.0	44.6		
FRESNO	FRESNO JUV	1 ST	17	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO (cont)	FRESNO	1 ST	2317	95.8	98.2	91.6	2.2	0.0	2.2
		2 ND	862	95.1	99.2	10.6	80.2	0.0	28.2
		3 RD	250	87.6	98.8	5.2	64.8	0.0	54.8
		4 ^{TH+}	108	51.9	98.1	4.6	34.3	0.9	13.0
		TOTAL	3537	93.7	98.5	63.1	26.6	0.0	12.6
	USDT FRESNO	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GLENN	GLENN	1 ST	96	99.0	42.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	18	100.0	77.8	11.1	61.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	10	90.0	90.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0
		4 ^{TH+}	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	128	97.7	52.3	64.1	14.1	2.3	1.6
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	1 ST	554	99.3	90.6	96.2	0.5	0.0	0.7
		2 ND	174	98.9	96.6	12.6	83.3	0.0	73.0
		3 RD	45	95.6	95.6	8.9	80.0	4.4	77.8
		4 ^{TH+}	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	28.6
		TOTAL	787	98.9	92.4	71.0	24.7	0.3	21.6
IMPERIAL	BRAWLEY	1 ST	59	96.6	50.8	78.0	6.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	13	100.0	76.9	0.0	84.6	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	74	97.3	56.8	62.2	23.0	0.0	1.4
	EL CENTRO	1 ST	270	93.0	20.4	75.9	3.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	66	89.4	83.3	6.1	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	13	92.3	61.5	7.7	53.8	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	352	92.0	34.4	59.7	17.0	0.0	0.0		
INYO	INYO	1 ST	4	75.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
INYO (cont)	BISHOP	1 ST	71	94.4	21.1	83.1	1.4	0.0	7.0
		2 ND	22	100.0	72.7	18.2	59.1	0.0	22.7
		3 RD	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	33.3
		4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	100	95.0	37.0	63.0	17.0	0.0	12.0
KERN	KERN	1 ST	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	KERN JUV	1 ST	10	80.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	80.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LAMONT	1 ST	148	98.6	97.3	52.7	0.7	0.0	0.7
		2 ND	51	98.0	100.0	11.8	17.6	0.0	5.9
		3 RD	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	214	98.1	98.1	39.3	5.1	0.0	1.9
	BAKERSFIELD	1 ST	1349	97.8	98.1	87.6	1.0	0.1	1.2
		2 ND	410	97.1	99.3	27.8	43.2	0.7	38.3
		3 RD	121	86.8	97.5	18.2	32.2	0.8	57.9
		4 ^{TH+}	21	42.9	100.0	9.5	9.5	9.5	14.3
		TOTAL	1901	96.3	98.4	69.4	12.2	0.4	12.9
	DELANO	1 ST	98	98.0	98.0	87.8	6.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	36	94.4	100.0	16.7	72.2	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	10	100.0	100.0	10.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	147	96.6	98.6	63.3	27.2	0.0	0.0
	SHAFTER	1 ST	109	100.0	100.0	91.7	3.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	24	100.0	100.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	4.2
		3 RD	12	100.0	100.0	8.3	75.0	0.0	8.3
4 ^{TH+}		2	100.0	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	
TOTAL		147	100.0	100.0	72.1	23.8	0.0	2.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN (cont)	MOJAVE	1 ST	175	100.0	95.4	65.1	2.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	54	98.1	100.0	7.4	44.4	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	15	86.7	93.3	6.7	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	250	97.2	96.4	47.6	12.8	0.0	0.0
	RIDGECREST	1 ST	90	100.0	100.0	54.4	0.0	0.0	1.1
		2 ND	28	100.0	100.0	35.7	0.0	0.0	32.1
		3 RD	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	75.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	127	100.0	100.0	46.5	1.6	0.0	12.6
KINGS	KINGS TRAFFIC	1 ST	249	98.0	99.2	86.3	6.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	102	88.2	97.1	12.7	75.5	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	33	84.8	100.0	3.0	81.8	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	388	94.3	98.7	59.0	32.0	0.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1 ST	13	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	9	77.8	88.9	11.1	77.8	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	91.3	95.7	60.9	34.8	0.0	0.0
		LAKE	LAKE	1 ST	218	95.4	78.9	85.3	3.2
2 ND	60			86.7	98.3	15.0	63.3	1.7	16.7
3 RD	12			100.0	100.0	16.7	75.0	8.3	33.3
4 ^{TH+}	3			66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	293			93.5	83.6	67.2	18.4	0.7	5.8
LASSEN	SUSANVILLE	1 ST	65	100.0	83.1	84.6	1.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	26	100.0	96.2	61.5	30.8	0.0	3.8
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	94	100.0	87.2	76.6	10.6	0.0	1.1

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	1 ST	35	57.1	82.9	37.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	9	33.3	88.9	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	8	62.5	100.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	12	33.3	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	64	50.0	89.1	23.4	4.7	0.0	0.0
	POMONA 1	1 ST	8	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		4 ^{TH+}	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	21.1	100.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	5.3
	LANCASTER 1	1 ST	24	54.2	100.0	29.2	4.2	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	9	33.3	100.0	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	39	48.7	100.0	20.5	7.7	2.6	0.0
	SAN FERNANDO 1	1 ST	15	33.3	93.3	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	27.3	95.5	9.1	4.5	0.0	0.0
	PASADENA 1	1 ST	4	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	50.0	100.0	20.0	30.0	0.0	0.0
	VAN NUYS 1	1 ST	5	60.0	80.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	4	50.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		15	46.7	86.7	20.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	LONG BEACH 1	1 ST	8	75.0	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	57.1	92.9	7.1	7.1	0.0	0.0
	COMPTON 1	1 ST	8	37.5	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	42.9	92.9	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
	NORWALK	1 ST	14	64.3	85.7	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	62.5	87.5	31.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TORRANCE 1	1 ST	8	62.5	87.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	40.0	93.3	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA MONICA 1	1 ST	8	62.5	62.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	50.0	78.6	28.6	14.3	0.0	0.0
	EASTLAKE JUV	1 ST	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LA AIRPORT	1 ST	673	99.1	24.1	88.6	3.3	0.1	0.0
		2 ND	135	98.5	85.9	9.6	80.0	0.7	0.0
		3 RD	27	96.3	100.0	11.1	77.8	3.7	0.0
4 ^{TH+}		8	62.5	75.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	
TOTAL		843	98.6	36.9	72.7	18.0	0.4	0.1	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	ALHAMBRA	1 ST	248	100.0	17.3	87.5	4.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	66	100.0	90.9	6.1	78.8	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	14	85.7	100.0	0.0	64.3	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	330	99.1	36.1	67.0	21.8	0.0	0.0
	LANCASTER 2	1 ST	759	93.9	34.8	86.7	2.5	0.1	0.0
		2 ND	223	87.9	93.7	4.9	78.5	0.9	2.2
		3 RD	39	79.5	92.3	0.0	64.1	7.7	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	11	18.2	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	9.1
		TOTAL	1032	91.3	50.4	64.8	21.3	0.6	0.6
	BEVERLY HILLS	1 ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BURBANK	1 ST	155	98.1	22.6	87.1	3.9	0.0	1.3
		2 ND	42	97.6	83.3	9.5	71.4	4.8	2.4
		3 RD	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	204	97.5	37.7	68.1	20.6	1.0	2.0
	WEST COVINA	1 ST	935	98.2	28.1	91.8	2.6	0.1	0.1
		2 ND	244	94.3	93.4	10.7	77.5	0.0	0.4
		3 RD	50	88.0	96.0	0.0	82.0	2.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	41.7	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1241	96.9	44.4	71.2	20.9	0.6	0.2
	CHATSWORTH	1 ST	8	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	COMPTON 2	1 ST	574	95.1	26.7	83.1	3.7	0.0	0.0
2 ND		129	93.8	91.5	7.0	75.2	1.6	0.0	
3 RD		13	76.9	92.3	7.7	69.2	0.0	0.0	
4 ^{TH+}		12	41.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		728	93.7	40.5	66.9	18.0	0.3	0.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	DOWNEY	1 ST	622	97.4	26.5	78.3	2.1	0.3	0.0
		2 ND	123	94.3	91.1	7.3	74.0	0.0	1.6
		3 RD	29	82.8	96.6	3.4	51.7	10.3	6.9
		4 ^{TH+}	7	14.3	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	781	95.6	39.9	63.8	15.2	0.6	0.5
	EAST LOS ANGELES	1 ST	510	99.2	32.9	88.4	2.9	0.2	0.0
		2 ND	111	98.2	87.4	9.0	81.1	0.9	0.0
		3 RD	17	94.1	100.0	0.0	70.6	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	639	98.9	44.3	72.1	18.5	0.3	0.0
	EL MONTE	1 ST	317	99.4	41.3	91.8	4.1	0.3	0.0
		2 ND	69	98.6	95.7	4.3	89.9	1.4	0.0
		3 RD	16	100.0	93.8	0.0	75.0	12.5	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	405	98.5	53.1	72.6	21.5	1.0	0.0
	GLENDALE	1 ST	277	96.4	14.4	75.1	2.2	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	54	98.1	88.9	11.1	70.4	0.0	1.9
		3 RD	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	61.5	0.0	7.7
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	345	95.9	29.6	62.0	15.4	0.0	0.6
	INGLEWOOD	1 ST	398	97.7	39.2	87.9	3.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	105	97.1	91.4	9.5	79.0	1.9	0.0
		3 RD	17	88.2	94.1	5.9	64.7	11.8	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	521	97.3	51.4	69.3	20.5	0.8	0.0
	LONG BEACH 2	1 ST	881	98.4	32.1	89.9	3.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	204	96.6	87.7	6.4	86.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	44	90.9	95.5	2.3	70.5	9.1	2.3
4 ^{TH+}		6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		1135	97.4	44.9	71.0	21.1	0.4	0.1	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	LA METRO	1 ST	2889	98.3	40.9	89.9	5.4	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	602	96.7	96.8	6.1	88.4	0.0	0.3
		3 RD	120	87.5	100.0	0.8	81.7	0.0	1.7
		4 ^{TH+}	20	30.0	95.0	0.0	25.0	5.0	5.0
		TOTAL	3631	97.3	52.4	72.6	21.8	0.1	0.1
	BELLFLOWER	1 ST	961	98.4	14.2	90.2	2.2	0.1	0.0
		2 ND	205	96.1	89.8	12.2	80.5	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	50	92.0	98.0	0.0	78.0	8.0	2.0
		4 ^{TH+}	14	21.4	100.0	0.0	7.1	7.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1230	96.9	31.1	72.5	18.4	0.5	0.1
	SANTA CLARITA	1 ST	561	98.8	14.3	76.3	1.8	0.2	0.0
		2 ND	127	97.6	85.0	11.0	63.0	0.8	0.0
		3 RD	31	96.8	96.8	3.2	67.7	3.2	6.5
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	720	98.5	30.4	61.5	15.4	0.4	0.3
	PASADENA 2	1 ST	427	99.3	9.6	94.6	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	92	98.9	87.0	7.6	87.0	2.2	0.0
		3 RD	20	90.0	90.0	0.0	60.0	30.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	549	98.2	27.1	74.9	18.9	1.6	0.0
	POMONA 2	1 ST	764	97.1	22.3	87.4	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	169	94.7	93.5	10.7	75.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	36	86.1	94.4	0.0	69.4	5.6	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		977	95.8	37.9	70.2	17.9	0.2	0.0	
TORRANCE 2	1 ST	853	98.5	17.6	94.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND	190	98.9	91.1	8.9	84.2	1.6	0.5	
	3 RD	39	92.3	97.4	2.6	66.7	17.9	2.6	
	4 ^{TH+}	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1088	98.1	33.6	75.4	19.0	0.9	0.2	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	SAN FERNANDO 2	1 ST	896	97.5	17.0	88.8	4.9	0.1	0.0
		2 ND	211	95.3	94.8	6.6	82.0	0.9	0.0
		3 RD	38	81.6	97.4	7.9	55.3	15.8	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	13	15.4	100.0	0.0	7.7	7.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1158	95.7	34.7	70.2	20.6	0.9	0.0
	VAN NUYS 2	1 ST	1464	98.0	30.7	89.6	3.9	0.1	0.0
		2 ND	305	99.0	93.1	6.6	86.2	1.3	0.0
		3 RD	56	89.3	98.2	1.8	62.5	5.4	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	9	55.6	88.9	11.1	22.2	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1834	97.7	43.4	72.7	19.5	0.5	0.0
	AVALON	1 ST	5	100.0	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	42.9	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
	USDT LOS ANGELES	1 ST	20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA	MADERA	1 ST	71	77.5	95.8	62.0	7.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	25	84.0	100.0	24.0	52.0	4.0	0.0
		3 RD	14	85.7	78.6	14.3	42.9	7.1	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	18	50.0	94.4	0.0	22.2	11.1	5.6
		TOTAL	128	75.8	94.5	40.6	21.9	3.1	0.8
	CHOWCHILLA	1 ST	294	98.3	91.8	91.5	4.4	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	106	96.2	95.3	20.8	74.5	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	42	90.5	100.0	11.9	78.6	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	448	96.9	93.5	66.1	29.0	0.0	0.0
		MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1 ST	730	98.4	62.2	93.2	3.2
2 ND	217			97.7	96.3	5.5	88.5	0.0	22.1
3 RD	58			91.4	98.3	1.7	48.3	0.0	58.6
4 ^{TH+}	17			76.5	100.0	0.0	64.7	0.0	64.7
TOTAL	1022			97.5	72.1	67.8	24.9	0.0	11.3

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1 ST	28	100.0	100.0	71.4	10.7	0.0	3.6
		2 ND	15	100.0	100.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	60.0
		3 RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	47	100.0	100.0	46.8	42.6	0.0	27.7
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1 ST	18	83.3	94.4	72.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4 ^{TH+}	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	32	71.9	93.8	40.6	21.9	0.0	3.1
	UKIAH	1 ST	263	97.0	95.8	88.6	1.9	0.0	4.9
		2 ND	72	97.2	100.0	13.9	81.9	0.0	45.8
		3 RD	22	100.0	100.0	0.0	90.9	0.0	59.1
		4 ^{TH+}	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	359	96.9	96.9	67.7	23.7	0.0	16.7
	FORT BRAGG	1 ST	56	98.2	98.2	91.1	5.4	0.0	3.6
		2 ND	22	90.9	100.0	9.1	81.8	0.0	45.5
		3 RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
		4 ^{TH+}	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	40.0
		TOTAL	85	95.3	98.8	63.5	31.8	0.0	17.6
MERCED	MERCED	1 ST	399	97.5	99.2	16.8	4.5	0.0	1.3
		2 ND	106	99.1	100.0	4.7	82.1	0.0	28.3
		3 RD	31	100.0	100.0	6.5	80.6	0.0	29.0
		4 ^{TH+}	12	58.3	91.7	0.0	25.0	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	548	97.1	99.3	13.5	24.3	0.0	8.4
	LOS BANOS	1 ST	159	98.1	98.1	89.3	5.7	0.0	1.9
		2 ND	47	95.7	100.0	17.0	76.6	0.0	46.8
		3 RD	14	92.9	100.0	14.3	71.4	0.0	64.3
		4 ^{TH+}	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	223	96.9	98.7	68.2	26.0	0.0	15.2

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
MODOC	ALTURAS	1 ST	12	91.7	75.0	50.0	8.3	0.0	8.3
		2 ND	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	25.0
		TOTAL	21	95.2	76.2	28.6	28.6	9.5	9.5
MONO	BRIDGEPORT	1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MAMMOTH LAKES	1 ST	57	100.0	68.4	94.7	5.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	17	100.0	100.0	17.6	82.4	0.0	5.9
		3 RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	76	100.0	76.3	75.0	25.0	0.0	1.3
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	1 ST	144	87.5	97.2	72.2	4.9	0.0	5.6
		2 ND	57	89.5	93.0	10.5	71.9	0.0	42.1
		3 RD	29	69.0	96.6	6.9	44.8	0.0	31.0
		4 ^{TH+}	21	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	19.0
		TOTAL	251	84.1	96.4	44.6	27.1	0.0	17.9
	MONTEREY JUV	1 ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARINA	1 ST	15	60.0	60.0	53.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	18	66.7	66.7	44.4	16.7	0.0	11.1
	SALINAS	1 ST	1090	99.8	99.0	92.3	2.5	0.1	8.1
		2 ND	288	97.9	100.0	6.6	82.3	0.0	58.7
		3 RD	72	100.0	98.6	2.8	77.8	0.0	76.4
		4 ^{TH+}	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	1454	99.4	99.2	70.6	22.1	0.1	21.7
	KING CITY	1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
NAPA	NAPA	1 ST	492	98.6	98.2	95.1	2.0	0.0	34.3
		2 ND	124	96.8	94.4	14.5	79.8	0.0	80.6
		3 RD	30	93.3	96.7	3.3	90.0	0.0	83.3
		4 ^{TH+}	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	654	97.6	97.4	74.5	21.4	0.0	45.6
NEVADA	NEVADA JUV	1 ST	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	NEVADA CITY	1 ST	151	99.3	100.0	96.0	0.7	0.0	1.3
		2 ND	53	100.0	100.0	5.7	92.5	0.0	43.4
		3 RD	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	71.4
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	212	98.6	100.0	69.8	25.9	0.0	14.6
	TRUCKEE	1 ST	101	100.0	100.0	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	35	100.0	100.0	62.9	37.1	0.0	2.9
		3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	50.0	0.0	25.0
		4 ^{TH+}	5	100.0	100.0	40.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	145	100.0	100.0	86.9	14.5	0.0	1.4
	ORANGE	ORANGE JUV	1 ST	32	87.5	25.0	81.3	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			32	87.5	25.0	81.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
FULLERTON		1 ST	2105	97.8	40.2	91.7	1.6	0.0	0.1
		2 ND	539	95.9	93.7	5.0	85.0	0.0	8.3
		3 RD	110	86.4	97.3	0.0	79.1	0.0	8.2
		4 ^{TH+}	28	50.0	89.3	0.0	50.0	3.6	7.1
		TOTAL	2782	96.5	53.3	70.3	21.3	0.0	2.1
WESTMINSTER		1 ST	2053	98.5	19.9	92.5	1.4	0.0	0.1
		2 ND	531	97.2	92.8	5.5	86.8	0.0	7.3
		3 RD	140	90.7	95.7	1.4	80.7	0.0	8.6
		4 ^{TH+}	24	54.2	95.8	0.0	41.7	0.0	4.2
		TOTAL	2748	97.5	38.5	70.2	22.3	0.0	2.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
ORANGE (cont)	NEWPORT BEACH	1 ST	1770	99.2	67.0	93.6	1.4	0.0	0.1
		2 ND	496	97.6	93.8	6.0	86.1	0.0	10.1
		3 RD	117	92.3	94.9	3.4	80.3	0.0	9.4
		4 ^{TH+}	29	79.3	100.0	0.0	62.1	0.0	6.9
		TOTAL	2412	98.3	74.3	70.1	23.3	0.0	2.7
	SANTA ANA	1 ST	1325	97.9	29.6	91.0	2.0	0.0	0.3
		2 ND	369	97.3	93.8	4.6	84.8	0.3	10.0
		3 RD	84	89.3	94.0	1.2	84.5	0.0	9.5
		4 ^{TH+}	27	48.1	96.3	0.0	29.6	0.0	11.1
		TOTAL	1805	96.6	46.7	67.8	23.2	0.1	2.9
PLACER	PLACER JUV	1 ST	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ROSEVILLE	1 ST	50	96.0	98.0	92.0	4.0	0.0	6.0
		2 ND	13	92.3	100.0	7.7	84.6	0.0	61.5
		3 RD	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	60.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	70	90.0	98.6	67.1	22.9	0.0	20.0
	ROSEVILLE TRAFFIC	1 ST	732	95.6	99.3	93.4	2.2	0.0	5.2
		2 ND	217	94.9	99.1	10.1	71.0	0.0	73.3
		3 RD	50	76.0	98.0	4.0	70.0	0.0	62.0
		4 ^{TH+}	13	76.9	84.6	0.0	53.8	0.0	38.5
		TOTAL	1012	94.3	99.0	70.0	20.9	0.0	23.0
	TAHOE CITY	1 ST	63	93.7	98.4	73.0	0.0	0.0	7.9
		2 ND	19	100.0	94.7	0.0	42.1	0.0	36.8
		3 RD	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
4 ^{TH+}		1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		85	92.9	97.6	54.1	10.6	0.0	15.3	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
PLUMAS	QUINCY	1 ST	77	100.0	94.8	96.1	2.6	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	22	90.9	95.5	9.1	72.7	0.0	4.5
		3 RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	103	98.1	95.1	73.8	21.4	0.0	1.0
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	1 ST	2111	98.2	98.4	94.9	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	525	94.5	97.1	5.0	89.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	124	91.1	95.2	1.6	89.5	0.0	1.6
		4 ^{TH+}	58	60.3	89.7	0.0	53.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2818	96.4	97.8	72.1	23.5	0.0	0.1
	INDIO	1 ST	973	98.5	90.2	94.0	3.0	0.0	0.2
		2 ND	244	96.3	96.3	4.9	89.3	0.0	4.9
		3 RD	59	86.4	100.0	1.7	84.7	0.0	22.0
		4 ^{TH+}	15	86.7	100.0	0.0	86.7	0.0	6.7
		TOTAL	1291	97.4	91.9	71.9	24.0	0.0	2.2
	RIVERSIDE JUV	1 ST	13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MURRIETA JUV	1 ST	4	50.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	HEMET	1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BANNING	1 ST	454	97.6	84.8	93.8	2.6	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	132	94.7	93.2	13.6	81.8	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	37	83.8	97.3	0.0	83.8	0.0	2.7
4 ^{TH+}		6	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		629	95.5	87.4	70.6	24.3	0.0	0.2	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE (cont)	BLYTHE	1 ST	48	97.9	89.6	93.8	4.2	0.0	2.1
		2 ND	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	10.0
		3 RD	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
		4 ^{TH+}	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	65	92.3	92.3	69.2	23.1	0.0	4.6
	MURRIETA	1 ST	872	98.3	96.1	96.3	1.7	0.0	0.2
		2 ND	225	96.0	97.8	10.2	86.7	0.0	2.7
		3 RD	59	91.5	88.1	1.7	86.4	0.0	18.6
		4 ^{TH+}	28	53.6	82.1	3.6	57.1	0.0	10.7
		TOTAL	1184	96.5	95.7	73.1	23.4	0.0	1.9
	TEMECULA	1 ST	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	1 ST	2949	99.0	97.7	94.4	1.4
		2 ND	978	96.0	99.2	8.7	82.2	0.0	4.2
		3 RD	286	89.5	100.0	3.1	66.8	0.0	7.7
		4 ^{TH+}	114	48.2	100.0	1.8	30.7	0.0	30.7
		TOTAL	4327	96.4	98.2	66.6	24.7	0.0	3.8
	SACRAMENTO JUV	1 ST	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SACRAMENTO CM	1 ST	25	36.0	36.0	32.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	32	50.0	50.0	28.1	18.8	0.0	0.0
	USDT SACRAMENTO	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	1 ST	127	96.1	97.6	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.8
		2 ND	50	100.0	96.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	22.0
		3 RD	12	83.3	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3
		4 ^{TH+}	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	192	95.3	96.9	8.9	1.0	0.0	11.5
	SAN BENITO JUV	1 ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO 1	1 ST	987	92.7	71.4	87.3	3.2	0.0	0.3
		2 ND	299	93.3	86.3	32.8	56.5	0.0	1.3
		3 RD	99	83.8	88.9	10.1	55.6	0.0	6.1
		4 ^{TH+}	24	58.3	70.8	4.2	29.2	0.0	4.2
		TOTAL	1409	91.6	75.8	68.9	18.7	0.0	1.0
	R CUCAMONGA	1 ST	1821	91.7	68.0	86.3	3.3	0.0	0.5
		2 ND	567	91.0	86.8	10.6	77.1	0.0	9.0
		3 RD	138	87.0	90.6	5.8	72.5	0.0	17.4
		4 ^{TH+}	52	46.2	90.4	5.8	23.1	0.0	11.5
		TOTAL	2578	90.4	73.8	63.7	23.6	0.0	3.5
	VICTORVILLE 1	1 ST	653	92.8	80.4	85.9	4.4	0.0	0.2
		2 ND	206	88.3	93.7	17.0	68.4	0.0	0.5
		3 RD	56	83.9	89.3	7.1	75.0	0.0	5.4
		4 ^{TH+}	30	40.0	90.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	6.7
		TOTAL	945	89.6	84.1	63.5	23.5	0.0	0.7
	BARSTOW	1 ST	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	1 ST	175	88.6	72.6	84.0	1.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	56	87.5	89.3	21.4	66.1	0.0	1.8
		3 RD	11	90.9	90.9	9.1	90.9	0.0	18.2
		4 ^{TH+}	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	248	87.5	77.4	64.5	21.8	0.0	1.2

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%	
SAN BERNARDINO (cont)	S BERNARDINO JUV	1 ST	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	FONTANA	1 ST	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	VICTORVILLE 2	1 ST	11	100.0	90.9	90.9	9.1	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	13	100.0	92.3	76.9	15.4	0.0	0.0	
	SUP R CUCAMONGA	1 ST	11	90.9	63.6	81.8	9.1	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND	8	87.5	100.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	25.0	
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	20	90.0	80.0	50.0	45.0	0.0	10.0	
	SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	1 ST	72	75.0	94.4	16.7	2.8	0.0	0.0
			2 ND	34	50.0	100.0	0.0	14.7	0.0	2.9
			3 RD	11	36.4	90.9	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
4 ^{TH+}			32	50.0	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL			149	61.1	96.6	8.1	6.0	0.7	0.7	
VISTA 1		1 ST	72	69.4	97.2	55.6	0.0	0.0	1.4	
		2 ND	45	53.3	97.8	0.0	46.7	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 ^{TH+}	24	37.5	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		153	59.5	98.0	26.1	22.9	0.0	0.7		
SAN DIEGO JUV		1 ST	31	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	31	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EL CAJON		1 ST	1060	97.1	13.2	92.4	2.2	0.1	1.7	
		2 ND	356	94.7	93.5	5.9	85.4	0.0	13.8	
		3 RD	94	81.9	100.0	0.0	78.7	0.0	26.6	
		4 ^{TH+}	21	52.4	90.5	0.0	28.6	0.0	9.5	
		TOTAL	1531	95.0	38.3	65.3	26.6	0.1	6.1	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO (cont)	VISTA 2	1 ST	1550	99.2	16.1	95.5	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	479	99.0	87.3	6.5	90.2	0.0	0.4
		3 RD	113	96.5	96.5	3.5	91.2	0.0	0.9
		4 ^{TH+}	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2146	98.9	36.3	70.6	26.7	0.0	0.1
	VISTA 3	1 ST	60	90.0	18.3	86.7	3.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	68	91.2	27.9	76.5	14.7	0.0	0.0
	KEARNY MESA	1 ST	2180	97.5	7.8	92.5	2.2	0.0	24.0
		2 ND	469	95.5	90.6	9.8	83.2	0.0	57.8
		3 RD	94	89.4	96.8	3.2	83.0	0.0	59.6
		4 ^{TH+}	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	57.1
		TOTAL	2750	96.9	25.2	75.1	18.9	0.0	31.1
	CHULA VISTA	1 ST	909	96.0	14.2	90.1	1.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	218	95.0	89.0	9.2	81.7	0.0	0.5
		3 RD	74	87.8	95.9	2.7	81.1	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	11	36.4	100.0	0.0	36.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1212	94.8	33.4	69.4	21.3	0.0	0.1
	USDT SOUTH SD	1 ST	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 ND		1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	25.0
	SAN FRAN TRAFFIC	1 ST	331	97.9	98.8	96.7	1.8	0.0	1.8
		2 ND	93	97.8	100.0	15.1	79.6	0.0	69.9
		3 RD	15	100.0	100.0	6.7	86.7	0.0	80.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	440	98.0	99.1	76.1	21.4	0.0	19.1

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN JOAQUIN	SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST	7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LODI	1 ST	250	98.0	98.4	92.8	1.6	0.8	2.0
		2 ND	75	98.7	98.7	24.0	72.0	1.3	38.7
		3 RD	18	100.0	100.0	5.6	16.7	66.7	55.6
		4 ^{TH+}	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3
		TOTAL	349	98.0	98.3	71.9	17.8	5.2	13.2
	MANTECA	1 ST	401	100.0	100.0	97.0	2.7	0.0	1.0
		2 ND	118	100.0	100.0	25.4	71.2	3.4	21.2
		3 RD	38	97.4	100.0	2.6	63.2	31.6	55.3
		4 ^{TH+}	15	86.7	93.3	0.0	80.0	6.7	40.0
		TOTAL	572	99.5	99.8	73.4	22.9	3.0	9.8
	STOCKTON	1 ST	662	98.0	99.2	95.3	1.5	0.3	0.8
		2 ND	225	98.7	99.1	5.8	80.9	10.2	19.1
		3 RD	77	97.4	100.0	5.2	75.3	14.3	36.4
		4 ^{TH+}	22	72.7	100.0	4.5	50.0	13.6	22.7
		TOTAL	986	97.6	99.3	65.8	26.5	4.0	8.2
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO JUV	1 ST	7	100.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			7	100.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO		1 ST	934	98.6	97.4	93.1	1.3	0.0	0.2
		2 ND	291	95.9	98.3	8.9	80.8	0.0	2.1
		3 RD	88	92.0	97.7	4.5	77.3	0.0	3.4
		4 ^{TH+}	35	71.4	97.1	5.7	11.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1348	96.9	97.6	66.9	23.7	0.0	0.8
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	1 ST	1239	98.6	99.0	92.9	2.4	0.0	0.6
		2 ND	395	96.5	99.2	7.3	83.3	0.0	12.2
		3 RD	98	95.9	98.0	3.1	90.8	0.0	29.6
		4 ^{TH+}	25	84.0	100.0	0.0	56.0	0.0	28.0
		TOTAL	1757	97.8	99.0	67.3	26.3	0.0	5.2

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN MATEO (cont)	SAN MATEO JUV	1 ST	12	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	REDWOOD CITY	1 ST	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA	1 ST	549	98.0	85.4	93.4	1.6	0.0	0.9
		2 ND	155	91.6	95.5	7.1	81.3	0.0	12.9
		3 RD	34	88.2	91.2	0.0	88.2	0.0	41.2
		4 ^{TH+}	13	76.9	92.3	0.0	76.9	0.0	23.1
		TOTAL	751	95.9	87.9	69.8	23.3	0.0	5.6
	SUP SANTA MARIA	1 ST	509	98.8	79.4	92.1	4.7	0.0	2.4
		2 ND	138	97.1	97.8	10.1	81.9	0.0	57.2
		3 RD	36	88.9	97.2	0.0	80.6	0.0	52.8
		4 ^{TH+}	12	50.0	100.0	0.0	41.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	695	97.1	84.3	69.5	24.6	0.0	15.8
	LOMPOC	1 ST	134	98.5	82.8	81.3	1.5	0.0	2.2
		2 ND	34	97.1	94.1	2.9	55.9	0.0	20.6
		3 RD	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	38.5	0.0	7.7
		4 ^{TH+}	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	183	98.4	86.3	60.1	14.2	0.0	6.6
	SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	1 ST	53	77.4	100.0	69.8	9.4	0.0
2 ND			29	75.9	100.0	0.0	69.0	0.0	44.8
3 RD			19	73.7	100.0	0.0	68.4	0.0	47.4
4 ^{TH+}			46	73.9	100.0	0.0	73.9	0.0	80.4
TOTAL			147	75.5	100.0	25.2	49.0	0.0	44.2
SANTA CLARA JUV		1 ST	18	100.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	100.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
PALO ALTO		1 ST	481	99.8	98.5	94.8	2.5	0.0	2.1
		2 ND	102	99.0	99.0	13.7	82.4	0.0	42.2
		3 RD	29	96.6	100.0	0.0	89.7	0.0	72.4
		4 ^{TH+}	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	616	99.5	98.7	76.3	20.5	0.0	12.7

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%	
SANTA CLARA (cont)	SAN JOSE	1 ST	1759	99.5	99.0	96.6	2.4	0.0	3.6	
		2 ND	481	99.2	99.0	13.7	84.2	0.0	62.0	
		3 RD	119	99.2	99.2	4.2	93.3	0.0	84.9	
		4 ^{TH+}	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	80.0	
		TOTAL	2369	99.4	99.0	74.8	24.0	0.0	19.8	
	SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	1 ST	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	SAN MARTIN	1 ST	327	99.1	98.8	94.2	3.7	0.0	4.3	
		2 ND	112	98.2	100.0	17.9	77.7	0.0	42.9	
		3 RD	38	100.0	100.0	0.0	94.7	0.0	71.1	
		4 ^{TH+}	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	
		TOTAL	479	99.0	99.2	68.5	28.6	0.0	18.8	
	SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ JUV	1 ST	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			TOTAL	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA CRUZ TRAF		1 ST	573	90.4	89.5	53.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND	171	90.1	90.6	9.4	42.7	0.0	0.6	
		3 RD	39	87.2	87.2	2.6	20.5	0.0	5.1	
		4 ^{TH+}	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	788	90.2	89.7	41.5	10.9	0.0	0.4	
WATSONVILLE		1 ST	84	84.5	85.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND	30	83.3	83.3	6.7	36.7	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD	4	50.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	118	83.1	83.9	38.1	10.2	0.0	0.0	
SHASTA		SHASTA JUV	2 ND	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BURNEY	1 ST	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
		2 ND	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	
		TOTAL	10	100.0	100.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SHASTA (cont)	REDDING	1 ST	387	97.2	95.9	80.6	3.4	0.0	31.5
		2 ND	145	95.9	100.0	6.9	76.6	0.0	77.2
		3 RD	44	93.2	97.7	0.0	77.3	0.0	72.7
		4 ^{TH+}	13	76.9	92.3	0.0	30.8	0.0	46.2
		TOTAL	589	96.1	96.9	54.7	27.5	0.0	46.2
SIERRA	SIERRA	1 ST	8	100.0	100.0	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	100.0	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	DORRIS	1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	YREKA	1 ST	89	86.5	82.0	69.7	1.1	0.0	1.1
		2 ND	28	85.7	82.1	0.0	64.3	0.0	42.9
		3 RD	7	42.9	42.9	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
TOTAL	125	84.0	80.0	49.6	16.8	0.0	11.2		
SOLANO	SOLANO JUV	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1 ST	539	96.7	98.5	94.1	2.2	0.0	1.1
		2 ND	169	95.9	100.0	13.0	81.1	0.0	16.6
		3 RD	52	94.2	100.0	1.9	90.4	0.0	65.4
		4 ^{TH+}	19	52.6	94.7	0.0	52.6	0.0	42.1
	TOTAL	779	95.3	98.8	68.0	26.4	0.0	9.8	
	VALLEJO	1 ST	133	99.2	99.2	98.5	0.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	54	100.0	100.0	5.6	90.7	0.0	7.4
		3 RD	31	87.1	100.0	3.2	74.2	0.0	71.0
4 ^{TH+}		6	66.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	
TOTAL	224	96.9	99.6	60.3	33.9	0.0	12.9		

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	
SONOMA	SONOMA	1 ST	1471	97.5	96.7	93.8	1.6	0.0	9.2	
		2 ND	449	96.2	99.3	6.9	86.9	0.0	78.6	
		3 RD	123	94.3	97.6	0.8	89.4	0.0	87.8	
		4 ^{TH+}	27	70.4	92.6	0.0	74.1	0.0	70.4	
		TOTAL	2070	96.7	97.3	68.2	26.3	0.0	29.7	
	SONOMA JUV	1 ST	11	63.6	9.1	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	12	58.3	16.7	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	SANTA ROSA	1 ST	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS	1 ST	1062	99.1	99.2	95.5	2.5	0.0	0.5
			2 ND	378	95.8	99.7	10.1	83.3	2.1	9.0
3 RD			99	91.9	100.0	4.0	73.7	14.1	31.3	
4 ^{TH+}			39	38.5	100.0	0.0	33.3	2.6	7.7	
TOTAL			1578	96.3	99.4	66.9	27.1	1.5	4.6	
STANISLAUS JUV		1 ST	3	100.0	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	3	100.0	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MODESTO		1 ST	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SUTTER		YUBA CITY	1 ST	219	93.6	96.8	91.8	1.4	0.0	3.2
			2 ND	71	93.0	98.6	7.0	85.9	0.0	56.3
	3 RD		14	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	
	4 ^{TH+}		7	71.4	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	57.1	
	TOTAL		311	93.2	97.4	66.2	26.4	0.0	20.3	
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	1 ST	51	94.1	100.0	88.2	3.9	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND	20	80.0	100.0	5.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	
		4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	80	90.0	100.0	57.5	30.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
TEHAMA (cont)	TEHAMA JUV	1 ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	RED BLUFF	1 ST	76	100.0	100.0	90.8	9.2	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	17	94.1	100.0	17.6	76.5	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	99	99.0	100.0	72.7	25.3	0.0	0.0		
TRINITY	TRINITY	1 ST	59	96.6	93.2	91.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	13	100.0	100.0	30.8	61.5	0.0	38.5
		3 RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	75	96.0	94.7	77.3	13.3	0.0	9.3
TULARE	VISALIA	1 ST	873	97.5	26.2	91.3	3.4	0.0	0.1
		2 ND	272	95.2	89.7	14.7	77.2	0.0	17.3
		3 RD	103	96.1	92.2	3.9	81.6	0.0	35.0
		4 ^{TH+}	44	90.9	43.2	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	1292	96.7	45.4	65.1	27.6	0.0	8.2
	PORTERVILLE	1 ST	351	93.2	89.5	85.8	3.1	0.0	2.3
		2 ND	110	96.4	98.2	11.8	79.1	0.0	19.1
		3 RD	45	93.3	97.8	0.0	77.8	0.0	33.3
		4 ^{TH+}	15	86.7	46.7	0.0	40.0	0.0	26.7
		TOTAL	521	93.7	90.8	60.3	26.7	0.0	9.2
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE	1 ST	161	98.1	9.9	87.6	3.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	57	100.0	15.8	3.5	84.2	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	11	100.0	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	9	55.6	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	238	97.1	17.2	60.1	22.7	0.0	0.0
	TUOLUMNE JUV	1 ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VENTURA	SIMI VALLEY	2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0

**TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2016 – continued**

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
VENTURA (cont)	VENTURA JUV	1 ST	11	54.5	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	54.5	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VENTURA	1 ST	1968	97.4	90.7	94.9	1.8	0.0	2.6
		2 ND	496	97.2	97.2	7.5	87.1	0.0	81.7
		3 RD	99	88.9	98.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	83.8
		4 ^{TH+}	26	57.7	92.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	57.7
		TOTAL	2589	96.6	92.2	73.6	21.7	0.0	21.4
YOLO	YOLO	1 ST	420	96.7	94.3	86.2	2.9	0.0	2.1
		2 ND	125	94.4	92.0	18.4	72.8	0.0	30.4
		3 RD	24	95.8	95.8	16.7	83.3	0.0	50.0
		4 ^{TH+}	11	45.5	63.6	9.1	27.3	0.0	9.1
		TOTAL	580	95.2	93.3	67.2	21.7	0.0	10.3
YUBA	YUBA	1 ST	188	98.4	56.9	89.4	1.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	55	98.2	85.5	14.5	67.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	18	100.0	88.9	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	6	66.7	83.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	267	97.8	65.5	67.0	21.7	0.0	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 3-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR FIRST DUI OFFENDERS
ARRESTED IN 2016

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MEAN MONTHS IN STUDY	MEAN 3-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES			
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
FDO													
3-month program	21,013 (74.1%)	27.2	34.1	1.1	19.5	0.46	0.25	0.008	0.73	0.135	0.0349	0.0217	0.1037
9-month program	7,331 (25.9%)	28.8	37.5	1.4	19.6	0.53	0.35	0.007	0.54	0.135	0.0352	0.0220	0.1035
		$\chi^2 = 6.7^*$	$F = 394.0^*$	$\chi^2 = 3.0$	$F = 5.2^*$	$F = 67.2^*$	$F = 256.5^*$	$F = 0.8$	$F = 173.4^*$	$F = 1.1$	$F = 5.4^*$	$F = 4.4^*$	$F = 0.4$

Note. FDO = First DUI offenders.

* $p < .05$.